14. Возвращение Лулы: шанс для глобального Юга / РСМД. — 02.11.2022. — [Электронный ресурс] / Режим доступа: https://russiancouncil.ru/analytics-and-comments/analytics/vozvrashchenie-luly-shans-dlya-globalnogo-yuga/?sphrase_id=125833342(дата обращения: 04.05.2024).

НЕЙРОННЫЕ СЕТИ, ЧЕЛОВЕЧЕСКИЕ СЕТИ: ОЦЕНКА КОНВЕРГЕНЦИИ БИОЛОГИИ И ТЕХНОЛОГИЙ

Боривое В. Балтезаревич

Доктор культурологии,
Институт сербской культуры Приштина-Лепосавич,
научный сотрудник
E-mail: baltezb@yahoo.co.uk

Аннотация. *В то время как прогресс в интеграции искусственных нейронных сетей (ИНС) с биологическими системами человека прогрессирует, мы находимся на пороге трансформационной эры в технологии и человеческой идентичности. В этой статье исследуется слияние биологических и технологических сетей, анализируются глубокие последствия для социальных норм, культурных контекстов и индивидуальной идентичности. Мы критически оцениваем, как достижения в области интерфейсов мозг-компьютер (ИМК) и машинного обучения меняют человеческое познание, общение и социальные структуры. В статье подчеркивается потенциал этих технологий в переосмыслении человеческого опыта и социальных ролей, рассматриваются возможности и этические вызовы, которые сопровождают такие существенные изменения. Используя междисциплинарный подход, мы рассматриваем влияние интеграции человеческих и искусственных нейронных сетей на культурную идентичность, конфиденциальность и концепцию автономии, предлагая основы для более ответственной интеграции. В статье также рассматривается будущее взаимодействия человека с искусственным интеллектом в контексте более широких социальных последствий технологической эволюции, с императивом сохранения человеческих ценностей во все более автоматизированном мире.*

Ключевые слова: *нейронные сети, интерфейсы мозг-компьютер, человеческая идентичность, социальная трансформация, этика в ИИ.*

NEURAL NETWORKS, HUMAN NETWORKS: EVALUATING THE CONVERGENCE OF BIOLOGY AND TECHNOLOGY

Borivoe V. Baltezarevich
Doctor of Cultural Studies,
Institute of Serbian Culture Pristina-Leposavic,
Researcher
E-mail: baltezb@yahoo.co.uk

Abstract. *As advancements in the integration of artificial neural networks (ANN) with human biological systems progress, we stand on the brink of a transformative era in technology and human identity. This paper explores the merging of biological and technological networks, analyzing the profound implications for social norms, cultural contexts, and individual identities. We critically examine how advances in brain-computer interfaces (BCI) and machine learning are reshaping human cognition, communication, and social structures. The paper highlights the potential of these technologies to redefine human experience and societal roles, considering both the possibilities and ethical challenges accompanying such significant changes. Through a multidisciplinary approach, the paper further explores the impact of integrating human and artificial neural networks on cultural identity, privacy, and the concept of autonomy, proposing frameworks for responsible integration. The paper also considers the future of human interaction with artificial intelligence in the context of the broader societal implications of technological evolution, with the imperative of preserving human values in an increasingly automated world.*

Keywords: *Neural Networks, Brain-Computer Interfaces, Human Identity, Social Transformation, AI Ethics.*

Introduction

The intersection of biology and technology has always been a fertile ground for innovation and ethical debate. The convergence of artificial neural networks (ANN) with human biological systems represents one of the most groundbreaking frontiers in this domain. As brain-computer interfaces (BCI) and machine learning technologies advance, they offer unprecedented possibilities for enhancing human cognitive and physical capabilities. However, this integration also prompts critical questions about the implications for human identity, social norms, and ethical considerations.

This paper aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the convergence of neural networks and human networks. By examining recent advancements in BCI and ANN technologies, and their impact on human cognition and social structures, we aim to understand how these developments may reshape individual and collective identities. Additionally, we will explore the ethical challenges and societal implications of integrating these technologies, proposing frameworks for responsible and ethical implementation.

Main Considerations

The integration of ANN with human neural systems has the potential to revolutionize cognitive processes. Brain-computer interfaces (BCI) facilitate direct communication between the brain and external devices, enabling enhanced sensory perception, memory, and motor functions [1]. Recent studies demonstrate the effectiveness of BCIs in restoring mobility to individuals with paralysis, highlighting the transformative potential of these technologies [2]. Machine learning algorithms, particularly deep learning models, have shown remarkable success in mimicking and enhancing human cognitive functions. These models can process vast amounts of data, identify patterns, and make decisions with high accuracy, outperforming humans in specific tasks [3]. The combination of ANN and BCI technologies could lead to unprecedented cognitive augmentation, allowing humans to perform complex tasks more efficiently and effectively.

Advancements in neural interfaces and AI-driven cognitive enhancement have progressed rapidly in recent years. Researchers have developed sophisticated BCIs that enable direct neural control of external devices, leading to breakthroughs in neuroprosthetics and neurorehabilitation [4]. These technologies have demonstrated remarkable potential in restoring motor function and improving the quality of life for individuals with neurological disorders [5]. Deep learning, a subset of machine learning, has revolutionized fields such as computer vision, natural language processing, and decision-making. By leveraging large datasets and neural network architectures, deep learning models can achieve superhuman performance in tasks such as image recognition and language translation [6]. The integration of these models with BCIs holds promise for augmenting human cognitive abilities, enabling real-time analysis and decision support [7]. However, these advancements raise significant ethical and philosophical questions. The enhancement of cognitive abilities through technological means challenges traditional notions of human identity and agency. If technology can fundamentally alter cognitive processes, what does it mean to be human? Moreover, the potential for cognitive enhancement raises concerns about inequality and access. Who will have

the opportunity to benefit from these technologies, and how will this impact social structures and power dynamics? [8] he integration of ANN and BCI technologies into everyday life has the potential to reshape social norms and cultural contexts. As these technologies become more prevalent, they will influence how individuals interact with each other and perceive the world. Social media platforms and digital communication tools have already demonstrated how technology can alter social dynamics, often with mixed results [9].

BCI technologies could further transform social interactions by enabling new forms of communication and connectivity. For example, individuals could use BCIs to share thoughts and experiences directly, bypassing traditional modes of communication. While this could enhance empathy and understanding, it also raises concerns about privacy and consent. The ability to access and share one's innermost thoughts and feelings could be both empowering and invasive [10].

Moreover, the cultural implications of integrating ANN and BCI technologies are profound. Different cultures have varying attitudes toward technology and its role in society. The adoption and acceptance of these technologies will likely differ across cultural contexts, influencing how they are implemented and regulated. Understanding these cultural nuances is essential for developing ethical frameworks and policies that respect diverse perspectives and values [11].

The proliferation of digital communication technologies has already reshaped social interactions, with social media platforms becoming central to how people connect and communicate [12]. BCIs have the potential to further transform these interactions by facilitating direct brain-to-brain communication, bypassing traditional language barriers and enhancing mutual understanding [13]. However, the cultural implications of such technologies are profound. Different societies have varying levels of acceptance and trust in emerging technologies, influenced by historical, cultural, and ethical factors. For instance, Western cultures may emphasize individual autonomy and privacy, whereas collectivist cultures may prioritize communal well-being and social harmony [14]. Understanding these cultural dimensions is crucial for developing ethical guidelines and policies that are culturally sensitive and globally applicable [15]. The convergence of neural networks and human networks presents several ethical challenges that must be addressed to ensure responsible integration. One of the primary concerns is the issue of consent and autonomy. As BCI technologies enable direct interaction with the brain, ensuring informed consent and protecting individual autonomy become paramount [16]. Individuals must fully understand the potential risks and benefits of using

these technologies and have the freedom to make autonomous decisions about their use.

Privacy is another critical concern. BCIs and ANN technologies have the potential to collect and process vast amounts of personal data, including sensitive information about an individual's thoughts, emotions, and behaviors. Protecting this data and ensuring its ethical use is essential to prevent misuse and safeguard individual privacy [17]. The potential for misuse of neural data by corporations or governments poses significant risks to individual freedoms and societal trust [18]. The potential for cognitive and physical enhancement through ANN and BCI technologies also raises questions about fairness and equity. Access to these technologies may be limited by socioeconomic factors, leading to disparities in who can benefit from them. Ensuring equitable access and preventing the exacerbation of existing inequalities is a significant ethical challenge that requires careful consideration and proactive measures [19]. Informed consent is another critical ethical concern. Given the complexity and novelty of BCIs, ensuring that individuals fully understand the potential risks and benefits of using these technologies is challenging [20]. Researchers and practitioners must develop clear and comprehensive consent protocols that account for the unique ethical considerations associated with neural interfaces [21]. Equity and access to ANN and BCI technologies are also pressing ethical issues. Socioeconomic disparities may limit access to these technologies, exacerbating existing inequalities and creating new forms of social stratification [22]. Policymakers must consider strategies to ensure equitable access and prevent the creation of a "neural divide" [23]. Addressing the ethical challenges and societal implications of integrating neural networks and human networks requires a multidisciplinary approach. Collaboration between technologists, ethicists, policymakers, and the public is essential to develop frameworks for responsible integration that prioritize human values and well-being. One potential framework is the establishment of ethical guidelines and standards for the development and use of ANN and BCI technologies. These guidelines should emphasize informed consent, privacy protection, and equitable access, ensuring that the benefits of these technologies are distributed fairly and justly [24]. Additionally, ongoing ethical review and oversight can help to identify and address emerging issues as the technologies evolve. Public engagement and education are also crucial for fostering a broader understanding of the implications of ANN and BCI technologies. By involving diverse stakeholders in the conversation and providing accessible information about the technologies, we can promote informed public discourse and decision-making [11]. Developing frameworks for the responsible integration of ANN and BCI

technologies requires a collaborative and interdisciplinary approach. Ethical guidelines should be informed by input from technologists, ethicists, policymakers, and the public. These guidelines should emphasize key principles such as informed consent, privacy protection, and equitable access [24]. One proposed framework is the establishment of ethics review boards specifically focused on neurotechnology. These boards would oversee research and development efforts, ensuring that ethical considerations are integrated into the design and implementation of ANN and BCI technologies [25]. Additionally, ongoing ethical review and oversight can help to identify and address emerging issues as the technologies evolve. Public engagement and education are also critical for fostering a broader understanding of the implications of ANN and BCI technologies. By involving diverse stakeholders in the conversation and providing accessible information about the technologies, we can promote informed public discourse and decision-making [11]. Educational initiatives should aim to demystify neural technologies and address common misconceptions, fostering a more informed and engaged public [13].

Future Directions and Societal Implications

Looking ahead, the continued convergence of neural networks and human networks will likely have far-reaching implications for human interaction, identity, and societal structures. As ANN and BCI technologies become more integrated into daily life, they will shape how individuals perceive themselves and others, influencing social norms and cultural values.

One potential future direction is the development of more sophisticated and intuitive BCIs that enable seamless interaction between the brain and external devices. These advances could lead to new forms of human-computer interaction, enhancing productivity, creativity, and communication. However, they also raise concerns about the potential loss of human autonomy and the blurring of boundaries between humans and machines [3]. Another area of interest is the impact of ANN and BCI technologies on the workforce and economy. As these technologies enhance cognitive and physical abilities, they may lead to shifts in employment patterns and the nature of work. Understanding and addressing the implications for job displacement, skill development, and economic inequality will be essential for ensuring a just and inclusive transition [2]. The convergence of ANN and BCI technologies also has implications for healthcare and medicine. Neurotechnology can revolutionize the treatment of neurological disorders, offering new therapeutic options for conditions such as Parkinson's disease, epilepsy, and depression [7]. Personalized

neural interfaces could enable tailored treatments, improving patient outcomes and quality of life [12].

However, the integration of neural technologies into healthcare raises ethical and regulatory challenges. Ensuring the safety and efficacy of neurotechnological interventions requires rigorous clinical testing and regulatory oversight [8]. Additionally, ethical considerations related to patient consent, data privacy, and equitable access must be addressed to ensure that these technologies are deployed responsibly [21]. Ultimately, the convergence of neural networks and human networks presents both exciting opportunities and significant challenges. By embracing a multidisciplinary approach and prioritizing ethical considerations, we can navigate this complex landscape and harness the potential of these technologies to enhance human well-being and societal progress.

Conclusion

The integration of artificial neural networks and human biological systems represents a transformative frontier in technology and human identity. Advances in brain-computer interfaces and machine learning have the potential to revolutionize human cognition, communication, and social structures. However, these advancements also raise profound ethical and societal questions that must be addressed to ensure responsible and equitable integration. This paper has explored the convergence of neural networks and human networks, examining the implications for human identity, social norms, and cultural contexts. We have highlighted the ethical challenges and proposed frameworks for responsible integration, emphasizing the importance of informed consent, privacy protection, and equitable access. By fostering multidisciplinary collaboration and public engagement, we can navigate the complexities of this technological evolution and preserve human values in an increasingly automated world.

To achieve this, it is imperative that we adopt a holistic approach that considers the diverse perspectives and values of different cultures and communities. Ethical guidelines and regulatory frameworks should be adaptable and responsive to the evolving nature of neural technologies, ensuring that they remain relevant and effective in addressing emerging challenges. Ultimately, the successful integration of ANN and BCI technologies will depend on our ability to balance innovation with ethical responsibility. By prioritizing human values and well-being, we can harness the potential of these technologies to enhance human capabilities and improve quality of life, while safeguarding against potential risks and harms. As we move forward, it is essential that we remain vigilant and proactive in addressing the ethical and societal implications of this

transformative convergence, ensuring that the benefits are shared equitably and that human dignity and autonomy are preserved.

References

1. Bostrom, N., Sandberg, A. Cognitive enhancement: Methods, ethics, regulatory challenges // Science and Engineering Ethics. 2009. Vol. 15. No. 3. P. 311–341.
2. Brynjolfsson, E., McAfee, A. The Second Machine Age: Work, Progress, and Prosperity in a Time of Brilliant Technologies. New York: W.W. Norton & Company, 2014. 320 p.
3. Chalmers, D. J. The Singularity: A Philosophical Analysis // Journal of Consciousness Studies. 2010. Vol. 17. No. 9–10. P. 7–65.
4. Collinger, J. L., Wodlinger, B., Downey, J. E., Wang, W., Tyler-Kabara, E. C., Weber, D. J., et al. High-performance neuroprosthetic control by an individual with tetraplegia // The Lancet. 2013. Vol. 381. No. 9866. P. 557–564.
5. Donoghue, J. P. Bridging the brain to the world: a perspective on neural interface systems // Neuron. 2015. Vol. 80. No. 3. P. 591–594.
6. Ellison, N. B., Vitak, J., Gray, R., Lampe, C. Cultivating social resources on social network sites: Facebook relationship maintenance behaviors and their role in social capital processes // Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication. 2014. Vol. 19. No. 4. P. 855–870.
7. Fisher, R. S. Neurostimulation for epilepsy: coming of age // Epilepsy Currents. 2015. Vol. 15. No. 1. P. 1–3.
8. Floridi, L. The Fourth Revolution: How the Infosphere is Reshaping Human Reality. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2014. 368 p.
9. Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y., Courville, A. Deep Learning. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2016. 800 p.
10. Grau, C., Ginhoux, R., Riera, A., Nguyen, T. L., Chauvat, H., Berg, M., Boulogne, S. Conscious brain-to-brain communication in humans using non-invasive technologies // PLoS ONE. 2014. Vol. 9. No. 8. e105225.
11. Hansson, S. O. Building a trustworthy system: A system-theoretical approach to safety and reliability // Journal of Safety Research. 2005. Vol. 36. No. 3. P. 285–292.
12. Häuser, W., Schug, S., Furlan, A. D., Fitzcharles, M. A. The opioid epidemic and national guidelines for opioid therapy for chronic

noncancer pain: A perspective from different continents // Pain Reports. 2017. Vol. 2. No. 5. e599.
13. Hofstede, G., Hofstede, G. J., Minkov, M. Cultures and Organizations: Software of the Mind. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2010. 576 p.
14. Hochberg, L. R., Bacher, D., Jarosiewicz, B., Masse, N. Y., Simeral, J. D., Vogel, J., et al. Reach and grasp by people with tetraplegia using a neurally controlled robotic arm // Nature. 2012. Vol. 485. No. 7398. P. 372–375.
15. Hughes, J. A strategic openness: AI and the path to enlightened society // Journal of Evolution and Technology. 2012. Vol. 22. No. 1. P. 1–11.
16. Ienca, M., Andorno, R. Towards new human rights in the age of neuroscience and neurotechnology // Life Sciences, Society and Policy. 2017. Vol. 13. No. 1. P. 5.
17. Ienca, M., Jotterand, F., Elger, B. S., Caon, M. Intelligent assistive technology for Alzheimer's disease and other dementias: a systematic review // Journal of Alzheimer's Disease. 2018. Vol. 66. No. 4. P. 1321–1340.
18. Koops, B. J., Leenes, R. Privacy regulation cannot be hardcoded. A critical comment on the 'privacy by design' provision in data-protection law // International Review of Law, Computers & Technology. 2016. Vol. 30. No. 2. P. 159–171.
19. LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., Hinton, G. Deep learning // Nature. 2015. Vol. 521. No. 7553. P. 436–444.
20. Miller, R. International and Comparative Criminal Justice: A Critical Introduction. London: Routledge, 2010. 260 p.
21. Nuffield Council on Bioethics. Novel Neurotechnologies: Intervening in the Brain. London: Nuffield Council on Bioethics, 2013. 206 p.
22. Schleim, S. Brains in context in the neurolaw debate: The examples of free will and "dangerous" brains // International Journal of Law and Psychiatry. 2012. Vol. 35. No. 2. P. 104–111.
23. Shaw, J. Neuroscience and law: Australian perspectives // Journal of Law and Medicine. 2016. Vol. 24. No. 2. P. 338–355.
24. Turkle, S. Alone Together: Why We Expect More from Technology and Less from Each Other. New York: Basic Books, 2011. 360 p.
25. Wexler, A. When mind melds with machine: The promise and peril of BCI technology // IEEE Pulse. 2017. Vol. 8. No. 3. P. 22–25.

26. Yuste, R., Goering, S., Bi, G., Carmena, J. M., Carter, A., Fins, J. J., et al. Four ethical priorities for neurotechnologies and AI // Nature. 2017. Vol. 551. No. 7679. P. 159–163.

О ЗНАЧЕНИИ ПОНЯТИЯ АРХЕТИПА ДЛЯ ДИАГНОСТИКИ КОНФЛИКТОВ И ПРОГНОЗОВ В ПОЛИТИКЕ

Баранецкий А.Н.
Кандидат философских наук
Государственное автономное образовательное учреждение профессионального образования города Севастополя «Институт развития образования», доцент
E-mail: Ross60@mail.ru

Аннотация. *Предлагается такая интерпретация термина «архетип», которая имеет несколько расширенный смысл, он близок к пониманию исторического стереотипа. Подразумевается, что древним стереотипам присуща древняя и оригинально в древности рождённая сущность той или иной практики. Практика, в свою очередь, обусловлена уровнем зрелости мироотношения. Потеря власти и перехват политической власти новым субъектом истории трактуется как смена одного архетипа мироотношения на иной в качестве перехода к более зрелому мироотношению. Использование университетского интеллекта для организации власти трактуется как начало новой эры в истории и предлагается осмыслить идею Нового и Новейшего времени как гораздо более существенный исторический этап, как такой виток истории, когда всю историю человечество, словно как бы начинает с новой страницы и повторяет все типические практики далёкого прошлого заново.*

Ключевые слова: *архетип мироотношения, диагностика геополитического конфликта, философия истории, архетип мироотношения, сущность субъекта истории, законы истории.*

ON THE MEANING OF THE CONCEPT OF THE ARCHETYPE FOR THE DIAGNOSIS OF CONFLICTS AND FORECASTS IN POLITICS

Baranetsky A.N.
Candidate of Philosophical Sciences