



UNIVERSITY OF  
KRAJUJEVAC



FACULTY OF  
AGRONOMY  
ČAČAK

# SYMBIOTECH

## 3rd INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON BIOTECHNOLOGY

13–14 March 2025

Faculty of Agronomy in Čačak, University of Kragujevac, Serbia

**- PROCEEDINGS -**

**3rd INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON BIOTECHNOLOGY**  
**XXX Savetovanje o biotehnologiji sa međunarodnim učešćem**

**- PROCEEDINGS -**

**ORGANIZER AND PUBLISHER**

**University of Kragujevac, Serbia**  
**Faculty of Agronomy in Čačak**

**Organizing Committee**

Prof. Dr Pavle Mašković, Serbia; Dr Miloš Petrović, Serbia; Dr Vladimir Dasković, Serbia; Dr Nenad Pavlović, Serbia; Dr Nemanja Miletić, Serbia; Dr Igor Đurović, Serbia; Dr Milevica Bojović, Serbia; Dr Radmila Ilić, Serbia; Kristina Markeljić, MSc, Serbia.

**International Programme Committee**

Prof. Dr Vladimir Kurćubić, Serbia; Prof. Dr Tomo Milošević, Serbia; Prof. Dr Barbara Jeršek, Slovenia; Prof. Dr Predrag Putnik, Croatia; Prof. Dr Danijela Bursać Kovačević, Croatia; Prof. Dr Aleksandar Mešić, Croatia; Prof. Dr. Marija Cerjak, Croatia; Prof. Dr Zvonko Antunović, Croatia; Dr Kristina Kljak, Croatia; Prof. Dr Zoran M. Jovović, Montenegro; Prof. Dr Sanja Radonjić, Montenegro; Prof. Prof. Dr Gjoko Bunevski, North Macedonia; Prof. Dr Ivana Janseska-Stamenkoska, North Macedonia; Prof. Dr Enisa Omanović-Mikličanin, B&H; Prof. Dr Jasmin Grahić, B&H; Prof. Dr Željko Vaško, B&H; Prof. Dr Enver Karahmet, B&H; Prof. Dr Amir Ganić, B&H; Prof. Dr Adrijana Filipović, B&H; Prof. Dr Dragomir Valchev, Bulgaria; Prof. Dr Suzana Jovanović-Šanta, Serbia; Prof. Dr Milan Mitić, Serbia; Prof. Dr Igor Tomašević, Serbia; Dr Slaviša Stajić, Serbia; Prof. Dr Dragan Vasilev, Serbia; Prof. Dr Neđeljko Karabasil, Serbia; Prof. Dr Marko Cincović, Serbia; Prof. Dr Natalija Fratrić, Serbia; Dr Nikola Delić, Serbia; Dr Nikola Stanišić, Serbia; Dr Ivana Branković Lazić, Serbia; Dr Darko Jevremović, Serbia; Dr Vesna Đorđević, Serbia; Dr Čedomir Radović, Serbia; Prof. Dr Vladan Bogdanović, Serbia; Dr Nenad Magazin, Serbia; Prof. Dr Vladimir Jakovljević, Serbia; Prof. Dr Vladimir Živković, Serbia; Prof. Dr Branko Čupina, Serbia; Dr Nataša Tolimir, Serbia; Dr Marijana Pešaković, Serbia; Prof. Dr Leka Mandić, Serbia; Prof. Dr Milun Petrović, Serbia; Prof. Dr Vladeta Stevović, Serbia; Prof. Dr Snežana Tanasković, Serbia; Prof. Dr Tomislav Trišović, Serbia; Prof. Dr Gordana Šekularac, Serbia; Prof. Dr Andrej Bončina, Slovenia; Prof. Dr Milomirka Madić, Serbia; Prof. Dr Snežana Bošković-Bogosavljević, Serbia; Prof. Dr Goran Dugalić, Serbia; Prof. Dr Ljiljana Bošković-Rakočević, Serbia; Prof. Dr Radojica Đoković, Serbia; Prof. Dr Biljana Veljković, Serbia; Prof. Dr Mlađan Garić, Serbia; Prof. Dr Jelena Mladenović, Serbia; Dr Ivan Glišić, Serbia; Dr Jelena Mašković, Serbia; Dr Milan Nikolić, Serbia; Dr Dragan Vujić, Serbia; Dr Simeon Rakonjac, Serbia; Dr Mirjana Radovanović, Serbia; Dr Dalibor Tomić, Serbia; Dr Vesna Đurović, Serbia; Dr Vesna Matejić, Serbia; Vera Vukosavljević, MSc, Serbia; Dragan Đurović, MSc, Serbia; Miloš Marjanović, MSc, Serbia; Jelena Pantović, BSc (Hons), Serbia.

## **Honorary Committee**

Prof. Dr Marina Pintar, Slovenia; Prof. Dr Andrej Bončina, Slovenia; Prof. Dr Branko Kramberger, Slovenia; Prof. Dr Tomaž Langerholc, Slovenia; Prof. Dr. Aleksandar Mešić, Croatia; Prof. Dr. Marija Cerjak, Croatia; Prof. Dr Ivica Kisić, Croatia; Dr Kristina Kljak, Croatia; Prof. Dr Krunoslav Zmaić, Croatia; Prof. Dr Zvonko Antunović, Croatia; Prof. Dr Cosmin Salasan, Romania; Prof. Dr Muhamed Brka, B&H; Prof. Dr Enisa Omanović-Miklićanin, B&H; Prof. Dr Ivan Ostojić, B&H; Prof. Dr Zlatan Kovačević, B&H; Prof. Dr Željko Vaško, B&H; Prof. Dr Adrijana Filipović, B&H; Prof. Dr Božidarka Marković, Montenegro; Prof. Dr Sanja Radonjić, Montenegro; Prof. dr Mile Markovski, North Macedonia; Prof. Dr Vjekoslav Tanaskovikj, North Macedonia; Prof. Dr Ivana Janeska-Stamenkoska, North Macedonia; Prof. Dr Dragutin Đukić, Serbia; Prof. Dr. Nenad Magazin, Serbia; Prof. Dr Nedeljko Tica, Serbia; Prof. Dr Branko Čupina, Serbia; Prof. Dr Vladan Bogdanović, Serbia; Prof. dr Vladimir Jakovljević, Serbia; Prof. dr Vladimir Živković, Serbia; Dr Darko Jevremović, Serbia; Dr Marijana Pešaković, Serbia.

## **Technical editors**

Prof. Dr Vladimir Kurćubić; Dr Miloš Petrović; Kristina Markeljić, MSc.

**Print-run: 30**

## **Printed by**

MEDIGRAF - Čačak, Aleksandra Savića 42, 32000 Čačak

ISBN 978-86-87611-96-2

**Year of publication: 2025**

© Faculty of Agronomy in Čačak 2025





**3<sup>rd</sup> International Symposium on Biotechnology**  
30<sup>th</sup> Symposium on Biotechnology with International Participation  
13-14 March 2025  
**Faculty of Agronomy, Čačak, Republic of Serbia**

## **PREFACE**

*"I would like to see a return to trust in small farmers, in their knowledge of the land and their skills that have been accumulated over generations – helping them to find sustainable solutions to the balance of nature. Ultimately, just as countless small businesses are the mainstay of any economy, so are small farmers the backbone, lifeblood and protectors of the rural environment."*

*Prince Charles, concluding part of the lecture for the Howard Lecture 2008 – the Future of Food*

Agricultural science and agriculture as a profession monitor and study changes occurring in this area, point out problems in agricultural practice, and find solutions. The Faculty of Agronomy in Čačak, in addition to educating students, 30y traditionally organizes the Symposium on Biotechnology (SYMBIOTECH) every year. The main goal is to acquaint the wider scientific and professional public with the results of the latest scientific research, and bring together domestic and foreign scientists in the fields of primary agricultural production, food processing, and environmental protection. We work tirelessly in pursuit of excellence.

At the 3<sup>rd</sup> International Symposium on Biotechnology, a total of 74 papers were presented in the 8 sections: Field, Vegetable and Forage Crops, Pomology and Viticulture, Livestock Production, Plant Protection, Food Safety and the Environment, Food Technology, Applied Chemistry, and Nutritionism.

We owe great gratitude to the **Ministry of Science, Technological Development and Innovation of the Republic of Serbia** and the **City of Čačak** for their traditional financial support and patronage of SYMBIOTECH25. We thank companies, entrepreneurs, stakeholders and all long-time friends of the Faculty of Agriculture for their material and organizational support.

In Čačak, March 2025

## IMPROVING GERMINATION AND PROTECTION OF WHEAT SEEDS WITH NEW BACTERIAL ISOLATES FROM ALKALINE SOIL

Marina Jovković<sup>1</sup>, Magdalena Knežević<sup>1</sup>, Marina Dervišević<sup>2</sup>, Galina Jevđenović<sup>3</sup>, Jelena Maksimović<sup>1</sup>, Jelena Pavlović<sup>1</sup>,  
Mira Milinković<sup>1</sup>, Aneta Buntić<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract:** The objective of this research is to investigate the application of new *Bacillus* spp. isolates from alkaline soil as potential biocontrol agents for the management of wheat pest-wireworms (*A. lineatus* larvae), diseases caused by the phytopathogenic fungi *Fusarium* spp., and their plant growth-promoting potential. Among five new *Bacillus* spp. isolates, BHC 1.3 and BHC 1.5 showed ability to suppress only mycelial growth of *F. proliferatum*. Insecticidal activity resulting in a wireworm mortality rate of 17.24% after ten days of experimentation was observed for BHC 1.5. The final percentage of seed germination was in the range of 95% - 100% with the additional highest production of indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) by BHC 1.5. The results of this study indicate that the new *Bacillus* spp. isolate may have the potential for formulating microbial inoculants effective in promoting wheat plant growth and biocontrol of soil-borne diseases and pests.

**Keywords:** biocontrol, *Fusarium* spp., wireworms, plant growth promoting traits, wheat seedlings.

### Introduction

Wheat is one of the world's oldest and most widely cultivated food crops, playing a crucial role in ensuring global food and nutrition security. It accounts for one-fifth of the total food calories and protein consumed by the global population, supporting food security worldwide (Shiferaw et al., 2013; Voučko et al., 2025). Additionally, wheat is a key ingredient in a wide range of food products. As one of the most important staple crops, wheat holds undeniable agronomic significance, supporting the economies of many countries (Tadesse

---

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Soil Science, Belgrade, Serbia ([jovkovic.marina.90@gmail.com](mailto:jovkovic.marina.90@gmail.com))

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Pesticides and Environmental Protection, Belgrade, Serbia

<sup>3</sup>University of Belgrade, Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy, Belgrade, Serbia

et al., 2016; Igrejas and Branlard, 2020). The growing global population is increasing the demand for food, highlighting the need for sustainable agricultural practices (Tilman et al., 2011). Intensive and unsustainable farming practices pose significant risks to food security, the economy, and the environment. Climate change further exacerbates these challenges, with rising temperatures, altered precipitation patterns, and more frequent extreme weather events disrupting the lifecycle and development of phytopathogens and pests, ultimately leading to reduced crop yields (Xu et al., 2010; Latz et al., 2018; Anjana et al., 2021; Paudel et al., 2023). Wheat production faces significant challenges from various diseases and pest attacks that consistently lead to yield losses. Addressing these challenges requires a multidisciplinary approach, integrating advanced technologies and investing in research and innovation (Robles-Zazueta et al., 2023).

The genus *Fusarium* is considered one of the most significant biological stressors due to its diversity and widespread distribution. These fungi cause a range of diseases, including rots, blights, wilts, and cankers, and pose a serious threat to staple crops such as wheat, with implications for the environment, livestock, and human health (Matić et al., 2020; Baard et al., 2023). Several species of *Fusarium*, including *F. graminearum*, *F. oxysporum*, *F. poae*, *F. verticillioides*, *F. solani* (Munkvold, 2003), and *F. proliferatum*, *F. culmorum* (Baard et al., 2023), are responsible for diseases in cereal crops. In addition, the production of small grains is also threatened by pests that are transmitted in the soil, such as wireworms. Wireworm *Agriotes lineatus* L. (Coleoptera: Elateridae), is a cosmopolitan soil pest which causes a significant yield losses of cereals by feeding on the germinating seeds and the belowground plant organs, killing plants directly (Barsics et al., 2013; Kozina et al., 2015; Furlan et al., 2021).

An alternative approach for protecting wheat production from diseases and pests is the use of biologically active substances (biopesticides) with the aim of reducing conventional synthetic chemicals (European commission, 2020). Microbial inoculants (biopesticides) are consisted of microorganisms which are beneficial and can be effective against plant diseases and insect pests that cause damage to agricultural crops (Thakur et al., 2020). In addition, these microorganisms often have a wide array of plant growth promoting (PGP) properties. In recent years, strains of the *Bacillus* genus have attracted attention as an alternative for simultaneous biocontrol and plant growth promotion of various crops (Zhao et al., 2014; Villa-Rodriguez et al., 2021).

The aim of this study was to evaluate the potential of new *Bacillus* spp. isolated from alkaline soil in the biocontrol of phytopathogenic fungi *F. poae*, *F.*

*graminearum* and *F. proliferatum* and pest *A. lineatus* larvae, as well as in enhancing seed germination to contribute to the plant growth promotion of wheat. Detection of biocontrol and promote plant growth traits was performed using qualitative or quantitative tests, while the seed germination test was performed in vitro through final germination.

## **Materials and methods**

### **Soil sampling and analysis**

The sampling of soil was done in the territory of Veliki Crljeni, Serbia. The soil was taken from the topsoil layer (0-30 cm of depth) and placed in plastic sterile bags and transferred to the laboratory in a portable refrigerator (4°C) for bacterial isolation, while for the chemical analysis the sampled soil was air-dried, crushed and passed through a sieve ( $\leq 2$  mm) prior to the analyses in accordance with the SRPS ISO 11464:2004.

Soil acidity (pH in water and 1M KCl) was measured according to the SRPS ISO 10390:2007 and the carbonate content was determined based on the SRPS ISO 10693:2005. Plant – available phosphorus ( $P_2O_5$ ) and potassium ( $K_2O$ ) were analyzed by Al-method where  $K_2O$  content was determined by flame emission photometry and  $P_2O_5$  content by spectrophotometer (Egnér et al., 1960), while the soil organic matter (SOM) content was determined following the Kotzmann method (JDPZ, 1966).

### **Isolation of bacteria from soil**

A 10 g sample of rhizosphere soil was mixed with 90 mL of distilled water and agitated (150 rpm, 15 min) followed by a heating treatment for *Bacillus* spp. isolation (80°C, 15 min). After the suspension settled, the supernatant was subjected to a 10-fold serial dilution, from which two concentrations ( $10^{-5}$  to  $10^{-6}$ ) were selected (Li et al., 2023). A 100  $\mu$ L aliquot from each dilution was spread onto Nutrient Agar (NA) plates and incubated at 28°C for 24 hours. Individual colonies were then isolated and purified through streaking on NA plates. The purified cultures were preserved at 4°C on NA slants for subsequent analysis.

### **Antifungal activity of bacterial isolates**

Out of the bacterial properties which are significant for their antifungal potential, the ability of bacterial isolates to produce cellulase, amylase and hydrogen cyanide (HCN) was evaluated. According to Mihajlovski et al. (2015) the production of cellulase and amylase was determined by inoculating bacterial isolates on the media supplemented with 1 g L<sup>-1</sup> of carboxymethyl cellulose and starch, respectively. The ability of bacterial isolates to produce HCN was evaluated by Cyantesmo paper by following a procedure described in Knezevic et al. (2021).

The antagonistic effect of bacterial isolates against *Fusarium* species (*F. poae*, *F. graminearum* and *F. proliferatum*) was evaluated on potato-dextrose agar (PDA) by methode dicribed by Knežević et al. 2021.

### **Insecticidal activity of bacterial isolates**

Ability of bacterial isolates to produce substances significant for their insecticidal effect, such as lipase and protease was determined by inoculating isolates on the medium enriched by Tween 80 and on Skim Milk Agar (SMA) (Figueira et al., 2019) and incubated (28°C, 5 days). The appearance of calcium complex precipitate or clear halo zones around the inoculation site was considered as lipolytic or proteolytic activity, respectively.

Wireworms (*A. lineatus* larvae) were previously collected from wireworms-infested soils. For the wireworm bioassay, the seeds of wheat were suspended in the cultures of bacterial isolates (BHC 1.1; BHC 1.2; BHC 1.3; BHC 1.4 and BHC 1.5), or in sterile water for the control sample. Seeds were then placed in Petri dishes and left to germinate for 3 days. After that, ten larvae were introduced into each Petri dish monitored daily for 10 days, and mortality data were calculated using Abbott's formula (1925).

### **Plant growth promoting traits of bacterial isolates**

Key plant growth-promoting traits of bacterial strains, including siderophore production, indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) synthesis, and phosphate solubilization, were assessed. Siderophore production was tested on CAS agar, with a color change from blue to yellow indicating a positive result (Milagres et al., 1999). Phosphate solubilization was evaluated on Pikovskaya medium (PVK) after 7 days at 28°C, with halo formation signifying activity (Rokhbakhsh-Zamin et al.,

2011). The IAA concentration was quantified spectrophotometrically in tryptophan-supplemented broth using Salkowski reagent (Gordon and Weber, 1951).

### Seed germination assay

The ability of bacterial isolates to improve the germination of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) seeds was accessed in vitro on Petri dishes by using filter paper method. Ten wheat seeds were soaked in over-night bacterial culture of each isolate which showed good PGP potential in previous PGP tests and placed in Petri dishes containing wetted filter paper. For the control, bacterial inoculation of seeds was not applied. Petri dishes were kept for two weeks in a transparent sealed box at 25 °C, and final seed germination percentage (FG %) was calculated as described by Ali et al. (2015).

## Results and discussion

The chemical analysis of the BHC 1 is submersed in Table 1. According to the interpretation of the results this soil sample belongs to group of alkaline, low – carbonate soils. Considering the granulometric composition of the soil it has high organic matter content. The content of plant-available phosphorus is low, whereas the content of plant-available potassium is high (Manojlović et al., 1969).

Table 1. The basic chemical analysis of the soil

| Soil sample | Soil parameter |                       |                                              |                                                           |                        |          |
|-------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|----------|
|             | pH (KCl)       | pH (H <sub>2</sub> O) | K <sub>2</sub> O<br>(mg 100g <sup>-1</sup> ) | P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub><br>(mg 100g <sup>-1</sup> ) | CaCO <sub>3</sub><br>% | SOM<br>% |
| BHC 1       | 8.10           | 8.62                  | 37.1                                         | 11.0                                                      | 1.40                   | 2.71     |

A total of five *Bacillus*-like isolates were isolated from alkaline soil sample BHC 1 and the isolated were coded as BHC 1.1, BHC 1.2, BHC 1.3, BHC 1.4 and BHC 1.5. Among tested three antifungal traits, none of the five isolates were capable to produce HCN. Isolates BHC 1.3 and BHC 1.5 only characterized as amylase and cellulase producers (Table 2). The suppression of mycelia growth was observed for *F. proliferatum* among the three *Fusarium* fungi tested (*F. poae*, *F. graminearum* and *F. proliferatum*). Isolates BHC 1.5 and BHC 1.3 showed antifungal activity against *F. proliferatum* and inhibiting rate of mycelial growth

was about 20% and 15%, respectively. In the literature, various research obtained different inhibition percentage of mycelia growth of *F. proliferatum*. Baard et al. 2023 tested four *Bacillus* strains against phytopathogenic fungi, and the most inhibited strains were *B. tequilensis* strains B3 and B4 with inhibition percentages of  $60.75 \pm 0.21$  and  $64.79 \pm 0.40\%$ , respectively. Bjelić et al. 2018 showed that the percentage of inhibition of *F. proliferatum* BL16 ranged from 4.5% to 44.3%. Among the eleven *Bacillus* strains tested, most of them showed inhibition of mycelial growth between 16% and 25% (Bjelić et al., 2018) which was in accordance with obtained result in our research for *Bacillus* isolate BHC 1.5.

Table 2. Antifungal and plant growth promoting bacterial properties

| Strain  | Amylase | Cellulase | HCN | IAA*<br>( $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) | Phosphate<br>solubilization<br>(mm) | Siderophore      |
|---------|---------|-----------|-----|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| BHC 1.1 | -       | -         | -   | $1.10 \pm 0.13$                   | -                                   | -                |
| BHC 1.2 | -       | -         | -   | $0.87 \pm 0.19$                   | -                                   | -                |
| BHC 1.3 | +       | ++        | -   | $2.42 \pm 0.15$                   | -                                   | -                |
| BHC 1.4 | -       | -         | -   | $0.55 \pm 0.12$                   | -                                   | -                |
| BHC 1.5 | +++     | ++        | -   | $3.19 \pm 0.20$                   | -                                   | $18.67 \pm 0.58$ |

- no production; + low production; ++ moderate production; +++ high production

\*IAA-indole-3-acetic acid

Isolates BHC 1.1, BHC 1.2, BHC 1.3 and BHC 1.4 were not shown insecticidal activity against wireworms. After ten days all larvae were vital. Individual mortality of wireworms was recorded for BHC 1.5 and it was 17.24%. In addition, two isolates showed proteolytic activity (BHC 1.3 and BHC 1.5), but none showed lytic activity. The biocontrol of wireworms using bacteria was rarely studied and its insecticidal activity begins with lysis of epithelial cells in the midgut of insects by proteases and lipase (Kumar et al., 2021). The percentage of mortality varied from species to species, but the most studied and the most effective was *B. thuringiensis* species (Park et al., 2014).

The ability to produce IAA was obtained for all tested isolates. The highest producer was BHC 1.5 followed by BHC 1.3, BHC 1.1 BHC 1.2 and BHC 1.4 (Table 2). Among the isolates there are no phosphate solubilization bacteria. Siderophore produces was only BHC 1.5 ( $18.67 \pm 0.58$  mm). Final seed germination percentage was in a range from 95% - 100%. The highest FG% (100%) was recorded for the isolate BHC 1.5. The impact of *Bacillus* spp. on the improving seeds germination was previously tested by other authors.

Miljaković et al. (2024) demonstrated that IAA-producing strains *B. subtilis* B43 and *B. amyloliquefaciens* B50, had the highest effect on final germination of green peas. Similarly, Song et al. (2023) indicated that *B. subtilis* HS5B5 had a positive effect on maize (*Zea mays* L.) seed germination and seedling growth. In general, these results indicate that a proper selection of *Bacillus* spp. with PGP properties offers a good solution for improving the germination rate of different crops, including cereals.

## Conclusion

Among the five new *Bacillus* spp. isolates, BHC 1.5 particularly stood out for its antifungal and insecticidal activity and its positive effect on plant growth, making it a promising candidate for further development as a bio-based pest management solution.

## Acknowledgement

This research was funded by the Ministry of Science, Technological Development and Innovations of the Republic of Serbia, contract Nos. 451-03-136/2025-03/200011, 451-03-136/2025-03/200214 and 451-03-66/2024-03/200135 and by the Science Fund of the Republic of Serbia, GRANT No. 10815, The necessity of healthy crops: Development of a multifunctional bacterial inoculant for the biological protection of cereals - BioHealCrop.

## References

- Barsics F., Haubruge E., Verheggen F. J. (2013). Wireworms' Management: An Overview of the Existing Methods, with Particular Regards to *Agriotes* spp. (Coleoptera: Elateridae). *Insects*, 4(1), 117–152. doi:10.3390/insects4010117
- Furlan L., Benvegnù I., Bilò M. F., Lehnhus J., Ruzzier E., (2021). Species Identification of Wireworms (*Agriotes* spp.; Coleoptera: Elateridae) of Agricultural Importance in Europe: A New “Horizontal Identification Table”. *Insects* 12(6), 534. doi: 10.3390/insects12060534.
- Kozina A., Čačija M., Igrc Barčić J., Bažok R. (2013). Influence of climatic conditions on the distribution, abundance and activity of *Agriotes lineatus* L. adults in sex pheromone traps in Croatia. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 57(4), 509–519. doi:10.1007/s00484-012-0577-z

European commission. (2020). Farm to Fork Strategy: For a fair, healthy and environmentally – friendly food system. [https://food.ec.europa.eu/system/files/2020-05/f2f\\_action-plan\\_2020\\_strategy-info\\_en.pdf](https://food.ec.europa.eu/system/files/2020-05/f2f_action-plan_2020_strategy-info_en.pdf).

Thakur N., Kaur S., Tomar P., Thakur S., Yadav A.N. (2020). Chapter 15 – Microbial biopesticides: current status and advancement for sustainable agriculture and environment. Published in *New and future developments in microbial biotechnology and bioengineering*, Rastegari A. A., Yadav A. N., Yadav N. (eds), 243-282, Elsevier. doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-820526-6.00016-6.

Zhao Y., Selvaraj J. N., Xing F., Zhou L., Wang Y., Song H., Tan X., Sun L., Sangare L., Folly Y. M. E., Liu, Y. (2014). Antagonistic action of *Bacillus subtilis* strain SG6 on *Fusarium graminearum*. PLoS ONE, 9(3), e92486. doi.10.1371/journal.pone.0092486

Villa-Rodriguez E., Moreno-Ulloa A., Castro-Longoria E., Parra-Cota F. I., de los Santos-Villalobos S. (2021). Integrated omics approaches for deciphering antifungal metabolites produced by a novel *Bacillus* species, *B. cabrialesii* TE3T, against the spot blotch disease of wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L. subsp. *durum*). Microbiological Research, 251, 126826. doi.10.1016/j.micres.2021.126826

Shiferaw B., Smale M., Braun H., Duveiller E., Reynolds M., Muricho G. (2013). Crops that feed the world 10. Past successes and future challenges to the role played by wheat in global food security. Food Security, 5(3), 291–317. doi:10.1007/s12571-013-0263-y

Igrejas G., Branlard G. (2020). The importance of wheat. Published in: *Wheat Quality for Improving Processing and Human Health*, Igrejas G., Ikeda T.M., Guzmán C. (eds), 1–7, Springer International Publishing, Cham. doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-34163-3\_1

Tilman D., Balzer C., Hill J., Befort B.L. (2011). Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 108(50), 20260–20264. doi:10.1073/pnas.1116437108

Xu Z., Zhou G., Shimizu H. (2010). Plant responses to drought and rewatering. Plant Signaling & Behavior, 5(6), 649–654. doi:10.4161/psb.5.6.11398

Dhakal A., Adhikari C., Manandhar D., Bhattarai S., Shrestha S. (2021). Effect of Abiotic Stress in Wheat: A Review. Reviews in Food and Agriculture (RFNA). 2(2), 69-72. doi:10.26480/rfna.02.2021.69.72

Paudel H., Bhandari R., Dhakal A., Nyaupane S., Panthi B., Poudel M.R. (2023). RESPONSE OF WHEAT TO DIFFERENT ABIOTIC STRESS CONDITIONS: A REVIEW. Science Heritage Journal (GWS). 7(1), 27-31. doi:10.26480/gws.01.2023.27.31.

Voučko B., Bartkiene E., Rakszegi M., Rocha JMF. (2025) Editorial: Wheat: from nutrition to cultivation and technology. *Frontiers in Nutrition*. 12, 1563397. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2025.1563397

Robles-Zazueta CA., Piñera-Chavez FJ, Crespo-Herrera LA, Rivera-Amado C, Aradottir GI. (2023). Climate change impacts on crop breeding: targeting interacting biotic and abiotic stresses for wheat improvement. *Plant Genome*. 17(1), e20365. doi:10.1002/tpg2.20365.

Matić M., Baličević R., Novoselović D., Ćosić J., Vrandečić K. (2020). Integrirana zaštita pšenice u suzbijanju fitopatogene gljive *Fusarium graminearum*. *Poljoprivreda*, 26(1), 3–9. doi:10.18047/poljo.26.1.1

Latz M.A.C., Jensen B., Collinge D.B., Jørgensen H.J.L. (2018). Endophytic fungi as biocontrol agents: Elucidating mechanisms in disease suppression. *Plant Ecology & Diversity*, 11(5–6), 555–567. doi.org/10.1080/17550874.2018.1534146

Tadesse W., Amri A., Ogbonnaya F. C., Sanchez-Garcia M., Sohail Q., Baum M. (2016). Chapter Wheat. Published in *Genetic and Genomic Resources for Grain Cereals Improvement*, Singh M., Upadhyaya H.D. (eds), 81–124. Oxford: Academic Press. doi:10.1016/b978-0-12-802000-5.00002-2

Munkvold G.P. (2003). Epidemiology of *Fusarium* diseases and their mycotoxins in maize ears. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, 109(7), 705–713. doi:10.1023/A:1026078324268

Li Y., Zhang X., He K., Song X., Yu J., Guo Z., Xu M. (2023). Isolation and Identification of *Bacillus subtilis* LY-1 and Its Antifungal and Growth-Promoting Effects. *Plants*, 12(24), 4158. doi.org/10.3390/plants12244158

SRPS ISO 11464:2004. Soil quality—Pretreatment of samples for physico-chemical analyses. SRPS, Institute for Standardisation of Republic of Serbia, Belgrade, Serbia, 2004.

Egnér H., Riehm H., Domingo W.R. (1960). Untersuchungen über die chemische Bodenanalyse als Grundlage für die Beurteilung des Nährstoffzustandes der Böden. II. Chemische Extraktionsmethoden zur Phosphor- und Kaliumbestimmung. *Kungl. Lantbrukshögskolans Ann.* 26, 199–215.

JDPZ - Jugoslovensko društvo za proučavanje zemljišta. (1966). Priručnik za ispitivanje zemljišta, knjiga I. Hemijske metode ispitivanja zemljišta. Beograd, 44–45.

SRPS ISO 10390:2007. Soil quality—Determination of pH. SRPS, Institute for Standardisation of Republic of Serbia, Belgrade, Serbia, 2007.

SRPS ISO 10693:2005. Soil quality—Determination of carbonate content, volumetric method. SRPS, Institute for Standardisation of Republic of Serbia, Belgrade, Serbia, 2005.

Knežević, M., Stajković-Srbinović, O., Assel, M., Milić, M., Mihajlovski, K., Delić, D. Buntić, A., 2021. The ability of a new strain of *Bacillus pseudomycoloides* to improve the germination of alfalfa seeds in the presence of fungal infection or chromium. *Rhizosphere* 18, p.100353. doi.org/10.1016/j.rhisph.2021.100353.

Mihajlovski K.R., Radovanović N.R., Miljković M.G., Šiler–Marinković S., Rajilić–Stojanović M.D., Dimitrijević–Branković S.I. (2015).  $\beta$ -Amylase production from packaging–industry wastewater using a novel strain *Paenibacillus chitinolyticus* CKS 1. RSC Advances, 5(110), 90895–90903. doi:10.1039/c5ra11964b

Figueira C., Ferreira M.J., Silva H., Cunha A. (2019). Improved germination efficiency of *Salicornia ramosissima* seeds inoculated with *Bacillus aryabhattai* SP101620. *Annals of Applied Biology* 174(3), 319–328. doi.org/10.1111/aab.12495.

Abbott W.S. (1925). A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 18(2), 265–267. doi.org/10.1093/jee/18.2.265a

Milagres A.M., Machuca A., Napoleão D. (1999). Detection of siderophore production from several fungi and bacteria by a modification of chrome azurol S (CAS) agar plate assay. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 37(1), 1–6. doi:10.1016/s0167-7012(99)00028-7

Rokhbakhsh-Zamin F., Sachdev D., Kazemi–Pour N., Engineer A., Pardesi K.R., Zinjarde S., Dhakephalkar P.K., Chopade B.A. (2011). Characterization of plant-growth-promoting traits of *Acinetobacter* species isolated from rhizosphere of *Pennisetum glaucum*. *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 21(6), 556–566. doi.org/10.4014/jmb.1012.12006.

Gordon S.A., Weber R.P. (1951). Colorimetric estimation of indoleacetic acid. *Plant Physiology*. 26(1), 192–195. doi:10.1104/pp.26.1.192

Ali A., Phull A.R., Zi, M., Shah A.M.A., Malik R.N. (2015). Phytotoxicity of River Chenab sediments: In vitro morphological and biochemical response of *Brassica napus* L. *Environmental Nanotechnology, Monitoring & Management*, 4, 74–84. doi.10.1016/j.enmm.2015.09.003

Baard V., Bakare O. O., Daniel A. I., Nkomo M., Gokul A., Keyster M., Klein A. (2023). Biocontrol potential of *Bacillus subtilis* and *Bacillus tequilensis* against four *Fusarium* species. *Pathogens*, 12(2), 254. doi: 10.3390/pathogens12020254.

Bjelić D., Ignjatov M., Marinković J., Milošević D., Nikolić Z., Gvozdanović–Varga J., Karaman M. (2018). *Bacillus* isolates as potential biocontrol agents of

*Fusarium* clove rot of garlic. Zemdirbyste-Agriculture, 105(4), 369-376. doi:10.13080/z-a.2018.105.047

Kumar P., Kamle M., Borah R., Mahato D.K., Sharma B. (2021). *Bacillus thuringiensis* as microbial biopesticide: uses and application for sustainable agriculture. Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control, 31(1):95. doi:10.1186/s41938-021-00440-3

Miljaković D., Marinković J., Tamindžić G., Milošević D., Ignjatov M., Karačić V., Jakšić S. (2024). Bio-Priming with *Bacillus* Isolates Suppress the Seed Infection and Improve the Germination of Garden Pea in the Presence of *Fusarium* Strains. Journal of Fungi, 10(5):358. doi: 10.3390/jof10050358.

Park Y., Hua G., Taylor M.D., Adang M.J. (2014). A coleopteran cadherin fragment synergizes toxicity of *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins Cry3Aa, Cry3Bb, and Cry8Ca against lesser mealworm, *Alphitobius diaperinus* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). Journal of Invertebrate Pathology, 123, 1–5. doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2014.08.008

Song P., Zhao B., Sun X., Li L., Wang Z., Ma C., Zhang J. (2023). Effects of *Bacillus subtilis* HS5B5 on Maize Seed Germination and Seedling Growth under NaCl Stress Conditions. Agronomy, 13(7), 1874. doi.org/10.3390/agronomy13071874

Manojlović S., Rajković T., Glintić M., Sestić S. (1969). Priručnik za sistematsku kontrolu plodnosti zemljišta i upotrebu đubriva. Centar za unapređenje poljoprivredne proizvodnje SR Srbije: Poslovno udruženje proizvođača veštačkih đubriva "Agrohemija", Beograd, 67-71.