

Review

Autochthonous Conifers of Family Pinaceae in Europe: Broad Review of Morpho-Anatomical and Phytochemical Properties of Needles and Genetic Investigations

Biljana M. Nikolić ^{1,*}, Dalibor Ballian ^{2,3,4} and Zorica S. Mitić ⁵

¹ Department of Genetics, Plant Breeding, Seed and Nursery Production, Institute of Forestry, Kneza Višeslava 3, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia

² Faculty of Forestry, University of Sarajevo, Zagrebačka 20, 71000 Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina; balliandalibor9@gmail.com

³ Department of Forest Physiology and Genetics, Slovenian Forestry Institute, Večna Pot 2, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

⁴ Academy of Sciences and Art of Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bistrik 7, 71000 Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina

⁵ Department of Biology and Ecology, Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Višegradska 33, 18000 Niš, Serbia; saraczorica@gmail.com

* Correspondence: smikitis@gmail.com; Tel.: +381-63-304-651

Abstract: Gymnosperms are a very old and small group of plants compared to angiosperms. Contemporary science recognizes about 650 extant conifers worldwide. This review focuses on species of the Pinaceae family found in Europe. There are 23 species from the genera *Abies*, *Larix*, *Picea*, and *Pinus*. Some of them are widespread in Europe, but others have fragmented and limited distribution and are classified as relic, endemic, or endangered. The aim of this review is providing cumulative information about the variability of needle morpho-anatomy, terpenes, and *n*-alkanes, as well as the genetics of the Pinaceae species, native to Europe. The first morpho-anatomical examinations of needles were conducted in the 19th century. A lot of species have been investigated up to now, but the population variability of many conifer species is still not known. The composition and abundance of terpenes differ between genera and families but also within the same genus, pointing to their taxonomic importance. *n*-Alkanes on the needle wax surfaces of conifers are sometimes very useful markers of species and population variability. The most abundant *n*-alkanes in *Abies* species are nonacosane (C29), hentriacontane (C31), or heptacosane (C27), whereas in *Larix decidua* and the majority of *Picea* species, C31 is predominant. C31 and C29 are the dominant *n*-alkanes in the genus *Pinus*. The most extensive population-genetic studies of European representatives of the Pinaceae family have focused on *Abies alba*, *Picea abies*, *Pinus nigra*, and *Pinus sylvestris*, but also examined endemic species such as *Abies borisii-regis*, *A. cephalonica*, *A. nebrodensis*, and *Picea omorika*. These studies hold significant practical value in assessing species' evolutionary potential, devising strategies for long-term species conservation, identifying centers of diversity, detecting relict and ancestral populations, unveiling cryptic species and hybrids, and elucidating the taxonomic significance of species. These investigations are of great value not only on the biodiversity level, but also on the levels of ecology, physiology, taxonomy, and evolution.

Keywords: Europe; Pinaceae; conifers; needle morphology; needle anatomy; terpenes; *n*-alkanes; genetic markers

Citation: Nikolić, B.M.; Ballian, D.; Mitić, Z.S. Autochthonous Conifers of Family Pinaceae in Europe: Broad Review of Morpho-Anatomical and Phytochemical Properties of Needles and Genetic Investigations.

Forests **2024**, *15*, 989.

<https://doi.org/10.3390/f15060989>

Academic Editor: Carol A. Loopstra

Received: 25 April 2024

Revised: 26 May 2024

Accepted: 3 June 2024

Published: 5 June 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Conifers, ancient plants with a history spanning at least 300 million years [1], thrived during the Jurassic period with over 100 species. Presently, there are approximately 650 extant conifer species worldwide, significantly fewer compared to angiosperm species.

The southernmost regions inhabited by conifers are the islands of New Caledonia (43 species) and Borneo (39 species), collectively hosting twice as many species as Europe. Europe only has 41 types of coniferous trees now, most of which are from the Pinaceae family [2]. In the phylogeny of extant conifer families (Pinophyta), the Pinaceae family is considered basal, although its fossil records appear later in geological time compared to those of other families [1]. According to [1] and references cited therein, the Pinaceae family first appeared approximately 155 million years ago (mya) during the Upper Jurassic (Kimmeridgian) period. Apart from Pinaceae, several other extant conifer families also emerged during the Middle Jurassic period: Taxaceae around 180 mya, Cupressaceae around 173 mya, and both Sciadopytiaceae and Cephalotaxaceae around 170 mya. A brief history of gymnosperms, their classification, biodiversity, phytogeography, and ecology was also published by Anderson et al. [3].

The aims of this study were to investigate how many Pinaceae species are native to Europe, to quote them, and to collect and list articles in terms of their morpho-anatomy, terpenes, and *n*-alkanes variability and their genetic properties. Based on this information, one could find unexplored species in terms of analyzed properties. According to our knowledge, this type of review has not been published so far.

The list of 23 extant species of Pinaceae in Europe is as follows:

- six *Abies* species:
 1. *Abies alba* Mill.—Silver fir,
 2. *Abies borisii-regis* Mattf.—Bulgarian fir,
 3. *Abies cephalonica* Loudon—Greek fir,
 4. *Abies nebrodensis* (Lojac.) Mattei—Sicilian fir,
 5. *Abies nordmanniana* (Steven) Spach—Caucasian fir, and
 6. *Abies pinsapo* Boiss—Spanish fir;
- two *Larix* species:
 7. *Larix decidua* Mill.—European larch, and
 8. *Larix sibirica* Ledeb.—Siberian larch;
- four *Picea* species:
 9. *Picea abies* (L.) H. Karst.—Norway spruce,
 10. *Picea obovata* Ledeb.—Siberian spruce,
 11. *Picea omorika* (Panč.) Pürkyne—Serbian spruce, and
 12. *Picea orientalis* (L.) Link—Caucasian spruce;
- eleven *Pinus* species with two or five needles in distributary:
 13. *Pinus brutia* Ten.—Turkish pine,
 14. *Pinus cembra* L.—Swiss pine,
 15. *Pinus halepensis* Mill.—Aleppo pine,
 16. *Pinus heldreichii* Christ.—Bosnian pine,
 17. *Pinus mugo* Turra—Dwarf mountain pine,
 18. *Pinus nigra* J.F. Arnold—Austrian pine,
 19. *Pinus peuce* Griseb.—Macedonian pine,
 20. *Pinus pinaster* Aiton—Maritime pine,
 21. *Pinus pinea* L.—Stone pine,
 22. *Pinus sylvestris* L.—Scots pine, and
 23. *Pinus uncinata* Ramond ex DC.—Mountain pine.

2. The Main Properties of European Conifers of the Pinaceae Family

European firs: *Abies alba*, *A. borisii-regis*, *A. cephalonica*, *A. nebrodensis*, *A. pinsapo*, and *A. nordmanniana* are conifers that, except for *A. nordmanniana*, are found in the Mediterranean environment [2,4–7]. Also, *A. alba* extends deep into the European continent to the Baltic Sea [8]. Other listed firs have fragmented and limited distributions. From a phylogenetic point of view, all the listed species belong to the section *Abies*; they have

synonyms, as well as lower taxonomic categories. *A. alba* represents a species that is widespread and stands out among other firs for its economic value, followed by *A. nordmanniana* [2,4]. In optimal conditions, fir trees can reach up to 50 m in height and 1.5 m in diameter and live to be 200–500 years old. The wood has no resin or colored heartwood. Branches stand in vertebrae, mostly horizontally laid. The bark is greyish and smooth, later cracking in square scales. In some species, the needles are horizontally divided into two sides, green, flat, up to 2.5 mm wide, 17 to 30 mm long, rich in essential oils. Firs are monoecious species that bloom in spring and ripen the same year in October when the scales and seeds fall apart. Young cones are rich in turpentine and essential oils. The seed is yellowish, tightly fused with the wing, and carries a turpentine blister [7].

Larix decidua and *L. sibirica* are deciduous gymnosperms and fast-growing pioneer species, with a range in the Alps, Sudetes, Carpathians, and central Polish plains, and Siberian larch is also found in the north of Europe [2,4,6,9]. Both species have several synonyms and subspecies. Their trees can grow up to 40 m in height, and their trunks can grow up to 1.5 m and 1 m in diameter (European and Siberian larch, respectively). When young, the bark is smooth, but in old age, it cracks and peels. Over time, the wood acquires a red heartwood, which has an intense resin smell. The branches are in the vertebrae, and the secondary branches are mostly hanging. The needles are in tufts, 30–40 together, about 3 cm long. Female and male flowers are located on the same branches; pollination takes place in the spring, and the seeds in small cones ripen in the same year.

The genus *Picea* includes about 40 species in the temperate northern hemisphere. In Europe, we find *P. abies*, which is one of the most important species of forest trees in Central Europe [10], then the endemic-relict species of intermittent range *P. omorika* [11], then *P. orientalis* and *P. obovata*. All species have synonyms, and *P. abies* stands out in particular because it has a high number of synonyms and lower taxonomic units [2,10]. Spruces grow up to 40 m in height and over 1 m in thickness, except for *P. obovata*, which is much lower [2,4]. Spruces develop a shallow root system and suffer from wind blasts. Their bark is thin, grayish, cracked, but smooth on young trees. The needles are rhombic in cross-section and pointed at the top, they are up to 15 to 25 mm long and 1 mm wide and remain on the branches for 7 years or more. Species of the genus *Picea* are monoecious plants. They bloom from April to June, depending on exposure and altitude. The cones ripen in the second half of September; they are brown, and the seeds fall out of them until January–March. The seeds are black to brown, up to 4 mm long, ovoid, and have transparent wings that are easily separated from the seed [2,4,12].

In the genus *Pinus* from the conifer subclass, we find about 100 species in the northern hemisphere, from the polar region to the tropics around the Equator [2,4]. They are systematically divided into several sections, and in Europe, we find the section *Pinus* (two-needle pines with two subsections: *Pinus* and *Pinaster*) and the section *Strobilus* (five-needle pines) [6].

In the subsection *Pinus*, we found *P. mugo*, *P. uncinata* [11], *P. nigra*, and *P. sylvestris*. According to some research, *P. mugo* and *P. uncinata* belong to the same species, so they are subspecies, i.e., lower taxonomic categories [13]. All *Pinus* species have numerous synonyms. *P. nigra* and *P. sylvestris* grow up to 50 m in height [3]. The trees have cracked bark, the branches are in vertebrae, and the buds are up to 1–2 cm long; they flower and pollinate during May. The cones, 4 to 8 cm long and 2 to 4 cm wide, ripen in the second year after flowering. Seeds are grayish brown to dark brown, about 3–7 mm long and 2–4 mm wide. Their wood is valued for its durability, but they are used to a limited extent due to the large amount of resin in the wood [2,4]. *P. mugo* and *P. uncinata* grow on high mountains, from 1400 m to 2000 m [13]. *P. mugo* grows in the Alps, Dinarides, and Carpathians, while *P. uncinata* grows in the Western Alps and Pyrenees [13]. They usually grow as bushes lay down on the ground. Their bark is reddish-ashy, quite thin, and cracks in the form of small scales. Buds are elongated ovoid. They bloom in June and July and ripen in the third year after flowering. The cones are 2–7 cm long and 2.5 cm wide. The wood is not appreciated, but these two species are important in preventing soil erosion, torrents, and avalanches on high mountains.

The subsection *Pinaster* is represented by the following: *Pinus heldreichii* (relict and Balkan subendemic), *P. halepensis*, *P. brutia*, *P. pinaster*, and *P. pinea* [2,4]. All these species grow in a distinctly Mediterranean climate except for *P. heldreichii*, which grows on the high mountains of the sub-Mediterranean [14]. Morphologically, these species are distinctly differentiated from each other, for example, *P. pinea* with an umbrella canopy and *P. heldreichii* with its plate-like canopy and longevity [2,4,9]. *P. halepensis* and *P. brutia* are morphologically very similar, while *P. pinaster* in its characteristics is between them and the previously described species. They can grow up to 20–30 m in height, with a diameter of over 1 m. They bloom in May and June, and the cones ripen in the second year, except for *P. halepensis* and *P. brutia* where they can remain closed for several years and only be opened by fire. Their wood is valued for the durability that the resin gives it [2,4].

The five-needle pine section *Strobus* in Europe is represented by *Pinus cembra* and the relict-endemic species *P. peuce*. *P. cembra* grows in the Alps and the Carpathians at altitudes above 1400 m, while *P. peuce* is found in the mountains of the southwestern and southeastern Balkans [2,6,9]. They grow up to 20 and 40 m in height, respectively. The trees have dark gray fissured bark, and branches are located in vertebrae. Buds are up to 1–2 cm long; they flower and pollinate during May–June [4,5]. The cones of these species are of different lengths and shapes and 3–5 cm wide; they ripen in the second year after flowering. The seeds are dark, about 3–7 mm long and 2–4 mm wide. The wood of *P. cembra* is hard and valued for its durability, while the wood of *P. peuce* is less used because of the resin in the wood [9].

3. Morpho-Anatomical Needle Characteristics of Listed European Conifers

The first compressive morpho-anatomical investigations of needles were published in the 19th century [15]. By incorporating anatomical tests, it becomes possible to identify hybrids or lower taxonomic units with a relatively high level of certainty. Needle morphology is instrumental in species identification and their classification within plant systematics [16]. For example, needle length can be critical in identifying hybrids (Florence, 1973; Snyder and Hamaker, 1978 as cited in [17]). Hybrids can also be verified by the number and position of the resin ducts. Lower systematic units, like in *Pinus nigra* Vidaković 1982, as cited in [2] along with ecotypes, provenances, geographical races, and the impact of external environmental factors, can be verified through morpho-anatomical examinations (as cited in [17] and references therein). The number of hypodermis layers can indicate population diversity [18]. Sometimes, the results of morpho-anatomical analyses of needles are validated through comparison with chemical [19] or genetic analyses [20,21].

The largest number of morpho-anatomical studies of European Pinaceae species have focused on *Abies alba*, *Picea abies*, *Pinus halepensis*, *Pinus nigra*, and *Pinus sylvestris*, which is understandable considering their widespread distribution, but attention has also been given to endemic and relict species such as *Picea omorika*, *Pinus heldreichii*, and *Pinus peuce*.

They remain intriguing both in terms of their variability and taxonomic as well as phylogenetic classification (Table 1). The observed variations within the species undoubtedly stem from factors such as the source of the examined trees (whether from natural populations or artificially cultivated plantations), ecological conditions, as well as the age of the needles under examination and the methodology employed for anatomical preparation.

Table 1. Morpho-anatomical characteristics of leaves (needles) of 23 European Pinaceae species.

Morphological Properties			Anatomical Properties				Country	Reference
Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Thickness (mm)	Epidermis Thickness (μm)	Hypodermis Thickness (μm)	No of Resin Ducts	Resin Ducts Diameter (μm)		
1. <i>Abies alba</i>								
15–30	2–2.5							[2]
17.9–22.0	2.1–2.4 (2.3)	2.1–2.4	17–77 (36.7–51.3)	13.0–44.5 (25.9–32.1)	(2)	49.5–297.0 (133–164)	North Macedonia	[22] *
10–29 (18.9)	1.3–2.4 (1.9)	0.6–1.3 (1.0)		13–26 (17.0)	(2)	44.0–180.0 (110)	Poland	[23] *
12–23 (16.8)	1.7–2.5 (2.1)	0.8–1.3 (1.1)		13–31 (22)	(2)	70–200	Poland	[24] *
						(150)	Romania	[25]
9–31 (18.8)	1–2.5	(0.4–1)	7–21	9.9–26.6	(2)	67–172	Serbia	[26]
24.7	1.9	0.6	18.0	17.9	(2)	104.8	Greece	[27] *
23.2	1.9	0.66	20	19	(2)	101	NE Europe	[28] *
(13–25)	(1.9–2.1)	(0.2–0.4)	(18–24)		(2)		Germany	[29] *
2. <i>Abies borisii-regis</i>								
≤30								[2]
(17.1–24.8)	(2.0–2.2)	(0.6–0.65)	(18–19)	(41)	(2)	(65–70)	Bulgaria, Greece	[30] *
3. <i>Abies cephalonica</i>								
20–25								[2]
20–21	18.2–20.0						Greece	[31] *
20.4	2.2	1.0	25.8	21.5	(1.99)	91	Greece	[27] *
20.5	2.1	1.0	26	22	(2)	86	Turkey	[28] *
(17.1–26.8)	(1.8–1.9)	(0.8)	(19–21)	(40.5–45.8)	(2)	(60–62)	Greece	[30] *
4. <i>Abies nebrodensis</i>								
10–12								[2]
14.9	2.2	0.9	25.1	21.7	(2)	83	Italy (Sicily)	[27] *
5. <i>Abies nordmanniana</i>								
15–30	2–2.5							[2]
						(100)	Romania	[25]
23	1.9	0.8	23	19	(2)	78	Turkey	[28] *
6. <i>Abies pinsapo</i>								
8–15								[2]
(10.6–13.3)	(2.2–2.3)	(1.1–1.25)	(23.4–25.2)	(22–23)	(1.97)	(130)	Spain	[32] *
11.9	2.2	1.2	26	21	(2)	131.5	Spain	[28] *
7. <i>Larix decidua</i>								
20–40						5–7.5		[33]
10–30	<1							[2]
8. <i>Larix sibirica</i>								
30–40	≤1							[2]
9. <i>Picea abies</i>								
10–20 (25)	1.0							[2]
15–25								[34]
12–24						30	Romania	[35]
(18.1–19.1) * (18.6)	(1.09–2.5)	(0.3–1.95)	(8.1–25.5)	(9.9–26.3)	(2)	(33.0–172.4)	Serbia	[26] *
9–13.3	0.55–0.98	0.9–1.2						[36]
12.06 ± 2.08	0.96 ± 0.11		3.1				Artificial origin	[37]
10–20 (25)	1.0							[2]
10. <i>Picea obovata</i>								
10–18								[2]
11. <i>Picea omorika</i>								
10–20	≤2							[2]
15.2–18.5	2.4–2.8		12.5–19.9	9.5–20.0				[38]
(9.0–13.3)	0.95–1.94							[39]

9.9								
≤22								[34]
(12.1–19.7)	(1–2.1)	0.7–0.9				70.7–87.3		[40]
15.5–19.0	1.3–1.7							
-	(1.1–1.8)	(1.1–1.8)	(0.6–0.9)			28.0–165.0		[41]
	1.4	1.4	0.8			(81.5)		
(8–20.5)	(0.9–2.2)	(0.5–1.2)	(16.6–29.1)	(12.5–25.0)	0–2	(16.6–145.6)	Serbia	[17] *
13.6 ± 0.06	1.49 ± 6.32	0.8 ± 3.1	22.8 ± 0.10	17.5 ± 0.09	(0.74)	51.2 ± 0.62		
13.74 ± 2.04	0.87 ± 0.15		1.1					[37]
12. <i>Picea orientalis</i>								
6–8 (10)								[2]
6–12								[34]
13. <i>Pinus brutia</i>								
120–180	1–1.5	0.6–0.8	14–18	62–77	3–10	62–77 (108)		[2]
171.0	1.05	0.57			(10.1)		Greece	[42] *
136.1	1.12	0.68			(9.84)		Greece	[43] *
107.2–125.0 (116.6)	1.09–1.26 (1.17)	0.70–0.84 (0.75)			(1.31–3.0) (2.15)		Italy, plantation	[18] *
122–137	1.07–1.24				(7.38–9.71)		Krit, Greece	[44] *
150								[34]
14. <i>Pinus cembra</i>								
50–80 (120)	1							[2]
71							Turkey	[45]
80								[34]
15. <i>Pinus halepensis</i>								
60–100	0.79–1.20	0.5–0.7		77–85	2–10	77–85		[2]
(102.8–143.9)	(0.81–1.03)	(0.49–0.69)			(4.68–8.60)		Greece	[42] *
92	0.95	0.58			(6.83)		Greece	[43] *
60–110								[34]
66							Mediterran	[45]
107.4–119.2							Spain	[46] *
16. <i>Pinus heldreichii</i>								
60–100	1.5–2							[2]
60–80							Serbia	[47] *
60–90								[34]
51–102 (71)	1.2–1.8 (1.5)	0.6–1.1 (0.8)	12.5–23.6 (17.7)		3–11	24–123	Yugoslavia	[48] *
35–113 (71–77)							Greece	[49] *
50–98 (72)							Greece	[50] *
-		9–10	25.8–27.8	29–30.6	2–11 (5.5)	110	Serbia	[51] *
-		9	27.3	28.8	3–10 (6.1)	92.4	Bosnia & Herzegovina	[51] *
-	-	9	27.3	26.6–29.2	2–12 (5.7)	76.3	Bulgaria	[51] *
60–100	1.30–1.34	7.5–8.4	16–22		(4)		Serbia	[52] *
85–124 (97)	1.3–1.5 (1.4)	7–9 (8)			3–5 (4.5)	37.5–97.5 (67.5)	Serbia	[53] *
63–116 (72–100)	1.4–1.6	9–10			4–10	60–135	Serbia	[54] *
96–104	1.2–1.5	6–8			5–6.3		Bulgaria	[55] *
59.5–82.0	1.22–1.31	0.71–0.76			(2.42–3.86)		Bulgaria	[56] *
59–155 (83)	1.5	9	16.6–25.0 (22.4)	17.6	4–10	65	Serbia	[57] *
51–123 (73–82)	1.4–1.5		11.4–37.5	19–23.5	0–13	22–51	Montenegro	[57] *
17. <i>Pinus mugo</i>								
30–40	1.5–2							[2]

(8)								
40–60								[34]
46.2–132.6								[58] *
46.7	1.4	0.8	0.4					[59] *
18. <i>Pinus nigra</i>								
80–160	1–2							[2]
ssp. <i>nigra</i>	1.58	0.97	22–40	14–29	(9.5)			[2]
ssp. <i>gočensis</i>	1.44	0.85	22–32	14–25	(8.3)			[2]
var. <i>illyrica</i>	1.50	0.91	18–32	14–29	(7.6)			[2]
ssp. <i>dalmatica</i>	1.76	1.08	25–40	18–34	(11.1)			[2]
ssp. <i>pallasiana</i>	1.68	0.99	18–32	22–36	(7.4)			[2]
120–160								[34]
129	1.7	1.0			2–16 (8.4)		Greece	[60] *
					7–12.6		Croatia (Dalmatia)	[61] *
124	1.4	1.0			(6)		Croatia	[62] *
19. <i>Pinus peuce</i>								
70–100	<1							[2]
70–120	1.0	7.2–7.6	13–16	≤ 26–32	(2)		Serbia	[52] *
80–120								[34]
114							Mediterran	[45] *
48–102 (71.4)	0.58–1.79 (0.86)	0.44–0.93 (0.66)	6.7–21.2 (13.3)	9–30 (16.2)	0–2 (1.98)	18.4–111.9 (52.5)	Serbia and Montenegro	[63] *
20. <i>Pinus pinaster</i>								
100–200								[2]
197							Mediterran	[45] *
21. <i>Pinus pinea</i>								
100–150	1.5–2							[2]
<160								[34]
22. <i>Pinus sylvestris</i>								
40–70	2							[2]
50–70								[34]
51	1.3	0.7		24.5	(9.7)			[64] *
45.5	1.4	0.7	0.3					[59] *
	1.1	0.5	25.6		(9.8)			[65]
65	1.2	0.7						[66]
23. <i>Pinus uncinata</i>								
49–82 (66.4)	132–199 (160)	77–106 (91)	28–38 (32)		1–5.7 (3.6)			[67] *

Mean values are given in parentheses; * population studies.

The degree of variation in needle characteristics corresponds to their geographical distribution. For instance, when comparing results for *A. alba* across North Macedonia, Poland, Serbia, Greece, Germany, and NE Europe, it is evident that needle length in the Serbian population has the greatest variation (9–31 mm). This can be attributed to the collection site where the common fir coexists with its pyramidal variety (Table 1). The greatest variation in Serbia could be the consequence of its very variable environmental conditions, too. Furthermore, certain species, such as *Pinus halepensis* and *Pinus brutia* in Greece, are known to form spontaneous interspecies hybrids, the confirmation of which often relies on a combination of morphological and genetic markers [20]. Also noteworthy are the findings regarding the wide range of diameters of resin ducts in *A. alba* in North Macedonia (Table 1) [22]. Additionally, the information regarding *P. heldreichii* and *P. omorika*, known for their high interpopulation variability, exhibits notable diversity (Table 1), [17,57]), a trait attributed to their endemic-relict status. Influence of genetic and environmental factors to such variation surely exists, but their part in overall variation were not examined.

4. Terpene Composition of Needles of Listed European Conifers

Terpenes constitute the largest and most abundant group of secondary metabolites [68] present in nearly all plants, including both gymnosperms (where they often dominate)

and angiosperms. Conifers, in particular, are known to contain many monoterpenes, diterpenes, and sesquiterpenes [69]. While not essential for growth and development, certain terpenes play a crucial role in plant defense against various stressors ([68,70], and references cited therein). Essential oils exhibit antioxidant properties [71] and possess bioactive characteristics such as antimicrobial, antifungal, and toxic effects [72–74], primarily due to their terpene composition. Consequently, they can serve as effective repellents [75], sources of fruity aromas [76], and geroprotectors [77].

Furthermore, terpenes can play a crucial role in detecting interspecific hybrids [78], as well as lower taxonomic categories [79,80] and chemotypes [81], and are frequently employed in chemosystematics to delineate differences between genera [82,83] and subsections [84] and in determining the systematic position of endemic-relict species [85] and other conifers [86].

The composition and abundance of terpenes differ not only between genera and families but also within the same genus [87,88]. They also exhibit seasonal variations [89] and can differ across various tissues within the same species [90] with certain terpenes being regulated by genetics [91].

In some conifers, a correlation has been identified between terpene composition in wood and needles [92]. Our knowledge of terpene biosynthesis and the origin of their skeletal structures [93,94] continues to evolve and expand.

The main terpene compounds of needles of 23 European Pinaceae species are given in Table 2.

Table 2. The main terpene compounds of leaves (needles) of 23 European Pinaceae species.

Main Terpene Compounds						Origin	Reference
1	2	3	4	5	6		
1. <i>Abies alba</i>							
Bornyl acetate > *	Camphene >	3-Carene =	Tricyclene >	Limonene		Artificial	[95]
β -Pinene >	Limonene/ β -Phellandrene >	Camphene >	α -Pinene >>	Tricyclene >	Bornyl acetate	Bosnia and Herzegovina, Serbia, North Macedonia, Bulgaria	[96]
β -Pinene >>	α -Pinene >	Camphene >	Limonene >	Bornyl acetate >	β -Phellandrene	Bosnia and Herzegovina, Serbia, North Macedonia, Bulgaria	[72]
2. <i>Abies borisii-regis</i>							
β -Pinene >	α -Pinene >	Camphene >	Limonene/ β -Phellandrene>	Tricyclene >	(<i>E</i>)-Caryophyll-	Bulgaria, Greece	[96]
β -Pinene >	α -Pinene >	(<i>E</i>)-Caryophyll->	Limonene >	Camphene >	Bornyl acetate	Bulgaria, Greece	[72]
3. <i>Abies cephalonica</i>							
β -Pinene >	α -Pinene >>	Camphene >	Limonene/ β -Phellandrene>>	Tricyclene >	Myrcene	Greece	[96]
β -Pinene >	α -Pinene >>	Camphene >	β -Phellandrene >	Limonene >	Bornyl acetate	Greece	[72]
4. <i>Abies nebrodensis</i>							
β -Pinene >>	α -Pinene >	Camphene >	β -Phellandrene >	Santene =	Bornyl acetate	Artificial	[97]
5. <i>Abies nordmanniana</i>							
α -Pinene >	β -Myrcene >	β -Pinene =	Camphene			Georgia	[98]
δ -3-Carene >	β -Caryophyll->	Camphene =	α -Chumullene =	α -Pinene >	<i>p</i> -Cymene	Turkey	[99]

7. <i>Larix decidua</i>							
α -Pinene >>>	β -Pinene >	Myrcene >	δ -3-Carene >	β -Phellandrene =	Limonene	Germany	[100]
8. <i>Larix sibirica</i>							
δ -3-Carene >	α -Pinene >	β -Pinene >	β -Phellandrene >	Germacrene D >	Terpinolene	Russia	[101]
9. <i>Picea abies</i>							
Camphene >	Limonene >	α -Pinene >	Myrcene >	Limonene >	Tricyclene	Sweden	[102]
10. <i>Picea obovata</i>							
Bornyl acetate >>>	Camphene >	Limonene >	α -Pinene >	δ -3-Carene >	β -Myrcene	Southern Siberia	[101]
11. <i>Picea omorika</i>							
Bornyl acetate >>>	Limonene >	Camphene >	Isoborneol =	Exoborneol >	γ -Cadinene	Bosnia and Herzegovina	[103]
Bornyl acetate >>	Camphene >	α -Pinene >	Limonene >	α -Cadinol >	Santene	West Serbia	[104]
12. <i>Picea orientalis</i>							
Bornyl acetate >	Limonene/ β -Phellandrene>	δ -3-Carene >	β -Pinene >	β -Myrcene >	α -Pinene	Turkey	[105]
13. <i>Pinus brutia</i>							
β -Pinene >>	α -Pinene >	Germacrene D >	3-Carene			Greece	[106]
Tricyclene >	β -Pinene >	α -Pinene >	Myrcene =	δ -3-Carene >	Thujene	Greece, artificial	[78]
β -Pinene >>	α -Pinene >	β -Caryophyllene >>	α -Humulene >	δ -3-Carene >	α -Terpineol	Morocco	[107]
α -Pinene >>	β -Pinene >	Myrcene				Italy, artificial	[90]
β -Pinene >	α -Pinene >>>	Limonene >	Myrcene			Portugal	[108]
β -Pinene >	α -Pinene >>>	δ -3-Carene >	β -Caryophyllene >	Limonene/ β -Phellandrene		Turkey	[109]
β -Pinene >>	α -Pinene >	β -Caryophyllene >	α -Terpineol >	δ -3-Carene >	Myrcene	Turkey	[110]
β -Pinene >	α -Pinene >	Germacrene D >	β -Caryophyllene >	Myrcene =	α -Terpinyl acetate	Greece or artificial	[88]
14. <i>Pinus cembra</i>							
α -Pinene >>>	Limonene/ β -Phellandrene =	α -Cadinene				Romania	[111]
Germacrene D =	α -Pinene >	β -Phellandrene >	Bicyclogermacrene >	β -Pinene >	δ -Cadinene	Greece or artificial	[88]
α -Pinene >	Limonene >	β -Phellandrene >	β -Pinene >	δ -Cadinene >	Germacrene D	Poland, Artificial origin	[112]
15. <i>Pinus halepensis</i>							
α -Pinene >	Limonene >	β -Pinene >	Germacrene D			Greece	[106]
α -Pinene	β -Myrcene	β -Caryophyllene	Terpinolene	Sabinene	β -Pinene	Morocco	[107]

>	>	>	>	>			
Myrcene >	α -Pinene >>	β -Caryophyllene >	Terpinolene >	Sabinene >	α -Humulene	Italy	[113]
α -Pinene >>	Myrcene >	Sabinene >	δ -3-Carene >	β -Pinene		Italy Artificial origin	[90]
(Z)- β -Caryophyllene >>>	α -Humulene =	Aromadendrene >	Myrcene >	α -Pinene >	Sabinene	Algeria	[114]
α -Pinene >	β -Pinene >	Myrcene >	Limonene >	Camphene =	α -Phellandrene	Portugal	[108]
α -Pinene >>	β -Caryophyllene >	Caryophyllene >	β -Myrcene >	β -Pinene =	α -Humulene	Turkey	[109]
β -Pinene >	α -Pinene >	β -Caryophyllene >	Germacrene D >	Limonene >	α -Terpinyl acetate	Turkey	[110]
β -Caryophyllene >	β -Myrcene >	α -Pinene >	α -Humulene >	β -Pinene >	Sabinene	Greece or artificial	[88]
(E)-Caryophyllene >	Thunbergol >>	Phenylethyl 3-methylbutanoate >	α -Humulene >	Myrcene >	α -Pinene	Greece	[115]
Caryophyllene >	β -Pinene >	α -Pinene >	Cembrene >	α -Humulene >	β -phenylethyl isovalerate	Morocco	[116]
16. <i>Pinus heldreichii</i>							
Germacrene D >	Limonene >	β -Caryophyllene >				Serbia	[117]
Limonene >>	α -Pinene >	Germacrene D >	(E)-Caryophyllene >	Aristolene >	β -Pinene >	Greece	[118]
Limonene >>	α -Pinene >	Germacrene D >	(E)-Caryophyllene >	β -Pinene >	δ -3-Carene >	Greece	[106]
Limonene >	Germacrene D >	β -Caryophyllene >	δ -3-Carene >	β -Pinene >	β -Myrcene	South West Serbia	[119]
Limonene >	Germacrene D >	δ -3-Carene >	α -Pinene >	β -Pinene >	Myrcene	Natural or artificial origin	[88]
Germacrene D >	Limonene >	α -Pinene >	β -Caryophyllene >	β -Pinene		North Macedonia	[15]
Limonene >>	Germacrene D >	α -Pinene >	(E)-Caryophyllene >			Serbia (Kosovo)	[120]
Limonene >	Germacrene D >	α -Pinene >	(E)-Caryophyllene >	β -Pinene		Serbia and Montenegro	[121]
Limonene >	α -Pinene >	Germacrene D >	β -Pinene >	β -Caryophyllene >	β -Myrcene	Serbia (Kosovo)	[122]
Limonene >	α -Pinene >	Germacrene D >	β -Pinene >	Myrcene >	α -Humulene	Bulgaria	[115]
17. <i>Pinus mugo</i>							
δ -3-Carene >	α -Pinene >	β -Pinene >	β -Phellandrene			Serbia	[123]
Bornyl acetate >	α -Terpineol >	(E)-Caryophyllene >	α -Cadinol >	Terpinen-4-ol		Italy	[124]
α -Pinene >	Germacrene D >	δ -3-Carene >	Myrcene >	Sabinene		Greece or artificial	[88]
δ -3-Carene >	α -Pinene >	β -Pinene >	Limonene/ β -Phellandrene >	Germacrene D		Serbia (Kosovo)	[125]
δ -3-Carene	β -Phellandrene	α -Pinene	β -Caryophyllene	β -Pinene	Germacrene D	Slovenia	[126]

>	>	>	>	>			
α -Pinene >	δ -3-Carene >	(E)-Caryophyllene >	Germacrene D >	Limonene >	β -Pinene	Serbia (Kosovo)	[120]
δ -3-Carene >	α -Pinene >	Limonene/ β -Phellandrene >	(E)-Caryophyllene >	β -Pinene		South Serbia and surroundings	[84]
δ -3-Carene >	α -Pinene >	Limonene/ β -Phellandrene >	Terpinolene >	Bornyl acetate >	(E)-Caryophyllene >	Southwest and South Serbia	[127]
δ -3-Carene >	β -Phellandrene >	α -Pinene >	(E)-Caryophyllene >	Bornyl acetate >	Terpinolene	Bulgaria	[128]
δ -3-Carene >	α -Pinene =	α -Terpinolene >	β -Phellandrene >	β -Caryophyllene >		Serbia (Kosovo)	[122]
α -Pinene >	δ -3-Carene >>	Limonene/ β -Phellandrene >	β -Pinene >	Camphene >	Terpinolene	J. Alps, Carpathians and Balkan Peninsula	[129]
18. <i>Pinus nigra</i>							
α -Pinene >	Germacrene D >	Limonene >	β -Pinene			Greece	[106]
α -Pinene >>	Myrcene >	Terpinolene >	Sabinene >	δ -3-Carene >	β -Pinene	Portugal	[108]
α -Pinene >>>	Caryophyllene oxide >	18-norabieta-8,11,13-triene >	Dehydroabietal >	Palustral >	Abieta-8,11,13-triene	Turkey	[109]
α -Pinene >>	β -Pinene >	Germacrene D >	β -Caryophyllene			Turkey	[110]
Germacrene D >	α -Pinene >	β -Caryophyllene >	β -Pinene >	α -Humulene >	δ -Cadinene >	Greece or artificial	[88]
α -Pinene >	Germacrene D >>	(E)-Caryophyllene >	β -Pinene >	Limonene		Serbia	[79]
Germacrene D >>	(E)-Caryophyllene >	δ -Cadinene >	α -Humulene			Serbia	[84]
α -Pinene >	Germacrene D >>	(E)-Caryophyllene >	β -Pinene >	Limonene		Serbia	[121]
α -Pinene >	Germacrene D >	β -Pinene >	Limonene/ β -Phellandrene			South Serbia	[127]
α -Pinene >	β -Pinene >	Camphene >	Germacrene D >	Limonene >	β -Caryophyllene >	Serbia (Kosovo)	[122]
19. <i>Pinus peuce</i>							
Isobornyl acetate >>	Caryophyllene oxide >	Isoborneol >	Terpinenyl acetate >	α -Pinene		Montenegro	[130]
α -Pinene =	γ -Muuro-lene >	δ -3-Carene =	Sabinene =	Bornyl acetate		Serbia	[117]
α -Pinene =	β -Pinene >	Citronellol >	Bornyl acetate			Greece	[131]
α -Pinene >	Germacrene D >	β -Pinene >	(E)-Caryophyllene >	Myrcene		Greece	[118]
α -Pinene >	Germacrene D >	β -Pinene >	(E)-Caryophyllene >	Myrcene		Greece	[106]
α -Pinene >	Bornyl acetate >	β -Pinene >	Camphene >	α -Terpinyl acetate		Republic North Macedonia	[132]

α -Pinene >	Germacrene D >	β -Pinene >	β -Caryophyllene >	Bornyl acetate >	Camphene	Natural or artificial origin	[88]
α -Pinene >	β -Pinene >	Germacrene D >	α -Terpineol >	Camphene >	β -Phellandrene	Serbia (Kosovo)	[120]
α -Pinene >>	Germacrene D >	Camphene >	β -Pinene =	Bornyl acetate >	(E)-Caryophyllene	South West Serbia, South Serbia and Montenegro	[121]
α -Pinene >>>	β -Pinene >	Camphene =	Bornyl acetate >	Germacrene D >	Limonene/ β -Phellandrene	South Serbia	[127]
α -Pinene >	β -Pinene >	Camphene >	Germacrene D >	Limonene	β -Myrcene	Serbia (Kosovo)	[122]
20. <i>Pinus pinaster</i>							
β -Pinene =	Germacrene D >	α -Pinene >	β -Caryophyllene >	Limonene >	δ -3-Carene	France Artificial	[133]
α -Pinene >	Germacrene D >	(E)-Caryophyllene >	Cembrene >	α -Humulene >	δ -Elemene	Greece	[118]
α -Pinene >	Germacrene D >	(E)-Caryophyllene >	Cembrene >	α -Humulene >		Greece	[106]
β -Caryophyllene =	α -Pinene >>	γ -Murolene >	Guaiol =	α -Humulene >	D-Limonene	Morocco	[107]
β -Caryophyllene >	Germacrene D >	Phenylethyl-3-methyl 1 butanoate >	α -Humulene >	δ -Cadinene		Italy	[113]
β -Pinene >	α -Pinene >>	Myrcene >	Limonene			Portugal	[108]
β -Pinene >	α -Pinene >>	Myrcene >	Limonene/ β -Phellandrene >	δ -3-Carene		Spain	[134]
Isoabienol >	Sclarene >	Germacrene D >	Abietadiene >	Abienol >	Abietatriene	Greece or artificial origin	[88]
α -Pinene >	β -Caryophyllene >	Abietadiene >	β -Pinene >	Rimuen >	Abietatriene	Turkey	[109]
α -Pinene >>	β -Pinene >>	β -Caryophyllene >	β -Myrcene >	Germacrene D >	Limonene	Portugal	[135]
21. <i>Pinus pinea</i>							
Limonene >>	Germacrene D >	α -Pinene >	β -Pinene			Greece	[106]
Limonene >>>	β -Phellandrene >	α -Pinene >	Myrcene >	β -Pinene		Italy	[113]
Limonene/ β -Phellandrene >>>	α -Pinene >	β -Pinene				Turkey	[109]
α -Pinene >>>	Farnesyl acetate >	Phenylethyl isobuturate >	α -Eudesmol >	β -Pinene >	Farnesol	Morocco	[107]
Limonene >>>	α -Pinene >	Myrcene >	β -Pinene			Portugal	[108]
β -Pinene >>>	α -Pinene >	Germacrene D >	α -Terpineol >	β -Caryophyllene >	Myrcene	Turkey	[110]

Limonene >>>	Abienol >	Sylvestrene >	α -Pinene >	Ger- macrene D >	Methyl levopimarate	Greece or artificial origin	[88]
22. <i>Pinus sylvestris</i>							
α -Pinene >	β -Pinene >	Limonene >				Turkey	[136]
α -Pinene >	β -Pinene >	δ -3-Carene >	Myrcene >	Limonene >	Terpinolene	Portugal	[108]
18-norabieta- 8,11,13-triene >	α -Pinene >	Caryophyllene ox- ide >	Dehydroabietal >	Abieta- 8,11,13-ri- ene >	19-norabieta- 8,11,13-triene	Turkey	[109]
α -Pinene >>	Germacrene D =	β -Pinene >	β - Caryophy-llene >	δ -Ca- dinene		Turkey	[110]
α -Pinene >>>	n.i. >	δ -Cadinene >	Bicycloger- macrene >	Ger- macrene D >	β -Caryophyllene	Greece or artificial origin	[88]
α -Pinene >>>	δ -Cadinene >	δ -3-Carene =	β -Pinene =	Ger- macrene D		South Serbia	[127]
α -Pinene >>	β -Pinene >	δ -Cadinene >	Germacrene D >	β - Myrcene	β -Caryophy- llene	Serbia (Kosovo)	[122]
23. <i>Pinus uncinata</i>							
Bornyl acetate >	α -Pinene >	(<i>E</i>)- β -Caryo-phyl- lene >	Limonene >	Camphene >	Myrcene	Poland	[137]

*—symbols refer to Petrakis et al. 2001 [118] are used to denote differences in compound amounts presented as percentages of total terpene amount: 0.1–1.0% (=); 1.1–5.0% (>); 5.1–15.0% (>>); more than 15.0% (>>>).

All species of the family Pinaceae and the genus *Abies* (species 1–4) have notable levels of α -pinene and β -pinene (Table 2). The primary terpene compound found in natural populations of *Abies* species is β -pinene, followed by α -pinene and camphene (refer to Table 2). Interestingly, in the population from Georgia, α -pinene is the most abundant, while in artificial plantations of *A. alba*, bornyl acetate dominates, and *A. nordmanniana* exhibits a prevalence of δ -3-carene.

The terpene profiles of *Larix decidua* and *L. sibirica* differ significantly, beginning with their main components (α -pinene and δ -3-carene, respectively) (Table 2).

α -Pinene is found in the profiles of the main terpene components in almost all analyzed species of the genus *Picea* with bornyl acetate emerging as the most prevalent component (species 9–12, Table 2).

Within the natural populations, β -pinene and α -pinene are predominant in *Pinus brutia*, while α -pinene dominates in *P. cembra*. In *P. halepensis*, alongside these, myrcene and caryophyllene occasionally appear (as shown in Table 2). In natural populations of *P. heldreichii*, limonene or germacrene D are the most abundant, accompanied by notable levels of α - and β -pinene. In all presented results for *P. mugo*, δ -3-carene or α -pinene dominate, except in samples from Italy, where bornyl acetate is the most abundant and α - and β -pinene are absent. In *P. nigra*, α -pinene and, occasionally, germacrene D dominate. The population from Turkey exhibits different abundant components compared to other populations (Table 2).

In all the data presented for *P. peuce*, α -pinene is the dominant component. However, in the population from Montenegro, isobornyl acetate takes precedence, and the other major components also show significant differences. In natural populations, *P. pinaster* is dominated by α -pinene and β -caryophyllene, while artificial populations exhibit some other components. Limonene is the prevailing compound in the leaves of *P. pinea*, with α - or β -pinene appearing less frequently. In most of the presented data for *P. sylvestris*, α -pinene is predominant, while in *P. uncinata*, bornyl acetate is the primary compound.

The variations in the obtained results may arise from differences in the origin of the needles, as well as potential sampling errors and discrepancies in the conditions of chromatographic analyses.

5. *n*-Alkane Composition of Needles of Listed European Pinaceae Species

The leaves of conifer species have various aliphatic compounds in their epicuticular waxes, including fatty acids, primary alcohols, alkyl esters, and aldehydes [138]. Very-long chain even- and odd-numbered *n*-alkanes, in addition to the previously mentioned compounds, particularly nonacosan-10-ol, have a significant role in shaping the crystal structure of epicuticular wax. In the Pinaceae family, this wax forms small branched tubules that can only be observed under a scanning electron microscope [139].

n-Alkanes are particularly important in chemotaxonomic research, e.g., in the genus *Picea* [140] or in *Pinales* [141], as well as other areas such as in detecting hybrids and lower taxonomic units, conducting phylogenetic research, chromatographic analyses, air pollution studies, ecological investigations, paleological research, and beyond. In many of the studies mentioned, alongside identifying the range and prevalence of alkanes, parameters like the carbon preference index (CPI) and average chain length (ACL) are also calculated and applied in various contexts, including chemotaxonomic investigations [142] (Herbin and Robins, 1968) and studies concerning environmental conditions ([143,144], among others).

The main *n*-alkanes in the leaves of 23 European Pinaceae species are presented in Table 3. In *Abies* species, the most prevalent *n*-alkanes were typically nonacosane (C29), hentriacontane (C31), or heptacosane (C27), whereas in *Larix decidua* and the majority of *Picea* species, C31 was the most abundant. Within the genus *Pinus*, C31 and C29 were dominant. The initial research results showed a prevalence of odd alkanes in the epicuticular wax of conifers, a trend also found in the European Pinaceae species analyzed (refer to Table 3). In artificial tree populations, the most abundant alkane in the leaves was C31, whereas in trees from natural populations, C29 and C27 predominated (Table 3).

The origin, whether natural or artificial, clearly influences the predominant alkanes more than the effects of age and timing of needle collection. This observation holds true not only for species like *P. heldreichii* and *Picea omorika* but also for numerous other references cited in Table 3.

Table 3. The main *n*-alkanes in leaves (needles) of 23 European Pinaceae species.

Species	Main <i>n</i> -Alkane C atoms	Origin	Reference
<i>Abies</i> sp.			
1. <i>A. alba</i>	C31, C25, C28, C29	Artificial	[141]
	C27, C29, C31, C25, C23	Natural	[145]
2. <i>A. borisii-regis</i>	C29, C27, C25, C23	Natural	[145]
3. <i>A. cephalonica</i>	C29, C27, C25, C31	Natural	[145]
5. <i>A. nordmanniana</i>	C31, C25, C23	Artificial	[141]
6. <i>A. pinsapo</i>	C27, C18, C22	Artificial	[141]
<i>Larix</i> sp.			
7. <i>L. decidua</i>	C31, C24, C30, C29	Artificial	[141]
<i>Picea</i> sp.			
9. <i>P. abies</i>	C31, C24, C25, C23, C26	Artificial	[141]
	C33, C31, C29, C35	Artificial	[140]
10. <i>P. obovata</i>	C27, C33, C31, C29	Artificial	[140]
11. <i>P. omorika</i>	C27, C25, C23, C21	Artificial	[140]
	C31, C24, C25, C22	Artificial	[141]
	C29, C31, C27, C25	Natural	[146]
	C29, C23, C27, C25	Natural	[147]
12. <i>P. orientalis</i>	C33, C29, C27, C22, C23	Artificial	[140]
	C31, C26, C24, C25	Artificial	[141]

<i>Pinus</i> sp.			
14. <i>Pinus cembra</i>	C31, C27, C26, C25, C24	Artificial	[141]
	C29, C27	Artificial	[73]
16. <i>P. heldreichii</i>	C31, C24, C25, C26	Artificial	[141]
	C23, C25, C27, C21, C29	Natural	[148]
	C27, C29	Artificial	[73]
	C29, C27, C25, C23, C20	Natural	[149]
	C29	Artificial	[73]
18. <i>P. nigra</i>	C31, C23, C25, C24, C22	Artificial	[141]
	C25, C27, C29, C23	Natural	[150]
	C29, C27	Artificial	[73]
	C27, C25, C23, C29	Natural	[151]
19. <i>P. peuce</i>	C29, C25, C27, C23, C31	Artificial	[73]
	C29, C25	Artificial	[73]
	C29, C25, C27, C23	Natural	[152]
20. <i>P. pinaster</i>	C27	Artificial	[153]
	C29, C27	Artificial	[73]
21. <i>P. pinea</i>	C31, C29, C33, C27	Artificial	[142]
	C24, C25, C23, C31	Artificial	[141]
	C29, C27	Artificial	[73]
22. <i>P. sylvestris</i>	C31, C24, C25, C23	Artificial	[141]
	C29, C25	Artificial	[73]

6. Molecular Markers of Listed European Pinaceae Species

The largest number of population-genetic studies of European representatives of the Pinaceae family was conducted with the species *Abies alba*, *Picea abies*, *Pinus nigra*, and *Pinus sylvestris*, which is expected considering their wide area, although a significant number of studies were also performed with the endemic species *Abies borisii-regis*, *A. cephalonica*, *A. nebrodensis*, and *Picea omorika* (Table 4). These studies were widely used in determining the evolutionary potential of species and assessing the possibility of survival of a given species in changing environmental conditions [154], in defining strategies for long-term conservation of the species [155], in determining the so-called centers of diversity that may indicate the existence of local refugia of a given species [156], as well as in the detection of relict and ancestral populations and cryptic species [157]. In addition, population-genetic studies of European Pinaceae species were widely used in solving certain taxonomic issues [158], as well as for the detection of hybrids [155].

Table 4. Molecular markers of 23 European Pinaceae species.

Molecular Markers	Country	Reference
1. <i>Abies alba</i>		
cpDNA	Bosnia and Herzegovina and Croatia	[159]
Isoenzymes	Croatia	[160]
mtDNA: nad5-4	No data	[161]
mtDNA: nad5-4	Bulgaria, North Macedonia, Italy	[162]
SNPs	Spain, Austria, Italy, Romania	[163]
cpDNA: PCR-RFLPs, cpSSRs	Slovenia, Romania	[154]
mtDNA: nad5-4		
Allozymes	France	[164]
nDNA: nSSRs	Bulgaria	[165]
nDNA: nSSRs	Italy, Bulgaria, North Macedonia	[166]
cpDNA: PCR-RFLPs, cpSSRs	Spain, France, Switzerland, Germany, Italy, Czech Republic, Croatia, Slovakia, Romania, Bulgaria, North Macedonia	[167]
mtDNA: nad5-4		

nDNA: nSSRs	Andorra, Spain, Poland, Ukraine	[28]
AFLPs		
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Spain	[168]
Allozymes	Merkada's arboretum (origin Italy, Croatia)	[169]
cpDNA: PCR-RFLPs		
mtDNA: nad5-4	Austria, Montenegro	[155]
Allozymes		
cpDNA: RFLPs (trnT, trnL, trnF)	Italy	[170]
RAPDs		
RAPDs	Forstbotanischer Garten, Eberswalde, Germany	[171]
Allozymes		
cpDNA: PCR-RFLPs	Italy	[172]
RAPDs		
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Germany, Italy	[173]
RAPDs	No data	[174]
Allozymes		
RAPDs	Romania, France	[175]
<i>2. Abies borisii-regis</i>		
mtDNA: nad5-4	Greece	[162]
SNPs	Greece, Bulgaria	[163]
cpDNA: PCR-RFLPs, cpSSRs		
mtDNA: nad5-4	Greece	[154]
Allozymes	Greece	[164]
nDNA: nSSRs	Greece	[166]
cpDNA: PCR-RFLPs, cpSSRs	North Macedonia	[167]
nDNA: nSSRs	Bulgaria	[28]
Allozymes	Merkada's arboretum (origin Greece)	[169]
cpDNA: PCR-RFLPs		
mtDNA: nad5-4	Greece	[155]
<i>3. Abies cephalonica</i>		
mtDNA: nad5-4	No data	[161]
mtDNA: nad5-4	Greece	[163]
SNPs	Greece	[163]
cpDNA: PCR-RFLPs; cpSSRs		
mtDNA: nad5-4	Greece	[154]
Allozymes	Greece	[164]
nDNA: nSSRs	Greece	[165]
nDNA: nSSRs	Greece	[166]
cpDNA: PCR-RFLPs, cpSSRs		
mtDNA: nad5-4	Greece	[167]
nDNA: nSSRs	Greece	[28]
Allozymes	Merkada's arboretum (origin Greece)	[169]
cpDNA: PCR-RFLPs		
mtDNA: nad5-4	Greece	[155]
RAPDs	Forstbotanischer Garten, Eberswalde, Germany	[171]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Greece	[173]
RAPDs	No data	[174]
ISSRs		
RAPDs	Greece	[31]
<i>4. Abies nebrodensis</i>		

SNPs	Italy	[163]
nDNA: nSSRs		
Allozymes	Italy	[28]
cpDNA: RFLPs (trnT, trnL, trnF)		
RAPDs	Italy	[170]
RAPDs	Italy	[171]
RAPDs	Italy	[176]
<i>5. Abies nordmanniana</i>		
mtDNA: nad5-4	No data	[161]
SNPs	Turkey	[163]
nDNA: nSSRs	Turkey, Georgia, Russia	[165]
cpDNA: PCR-RFLPs, cpSSRs	Georgia	[167]
mtDNA: nad5-4		
nDNA: nSSRs	Turkey	[28]
Allozymes	Gazi Institute for Education (origin Turkey)	[169]
RAPDs	Forstbotanischer Garten, Eberswalde, Germany	[171]
<i>6. Abies pinsapo</i>		
mtDNA: nad5-4	No data	[161]
SNPs	Spain	[163]
cpDNA: PCR-RFLPs, cpSSRs	Morocco	[167]
mtDNA: nad5-4		
nDNA: nSSRs	Spain	[28]
AFLPs		
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Spain	[168]
Allozymes	National Forest Research Institute of Spain (origin Spain)	[169]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Spain, Morocco	[177]
mtDNA: nad5-4		
RAPDs	Botanischer Garten, Frankfurt am Main, Germany	[171]
<i>7. Larix decidua</i>		
SNPs		
cpDNA: matK, trnL-intron, trnT-trnL, trnL-trnF	Provenance- Québec, Canada (origin-Germany, Slovakia, Poland, Austria, Italy, France, Switzerland, Denmark, Hungary)	[178]
mtDNA: cox1-1, matR-1, nad1- b/c, nad3-1, nad5-1		
RAPDs		
SSRs	Romania	[179]
satDNA	Forest Park Tharandt	[180]
RAPDs	Romania	[181]
ISSRs, AFLPs		
RAPDs	Czech Republic (provenance)	[182]
SSRs	Switzerland	[183]
<i>8. Larix sibirica</i>		
ISSRs	Russia	[184]
SNPs		
cpDNA: matK, trnL-intron, trnT-trnL, trnL-trnF		
mtDNA: cox1-1, matR-1, nad1- b/c, nad3-1, nad5-1	Provenance- Québec, Canada (origin Russia)	[178]
RAPDs		

RAPDs	Russia	[185]
satDNA	Staatsbetrieb Sachsenforst Graupa	[180]
SSRs	Russia	[186]
RAPDs	Russia	[187]
SNPs	Russia	[188]
SSRs	Russia	[189]
SNPs cpDNA: cpSSRs	Russia	[190]
9. <i>Picea abies</i>		
cpDNA: <i>matK</i> , <i>rbcL</i> , <i>trnH-psbA</i> , <i>trnL-trnF</i> , <i>rpl20-rps18</i> , <i>trnV</i> , <i>ycf1</i> , <i>ycf2</i>	Dendrological Garden of the Poznan University of Life Sciences, Poland	[191]
Isoenzymes	Bosnia and Herzegovina	[159,192,193]
RAPDs	Italy	[194]
RAPDs	Italy	[195]
RAPDs	Italy	[196]
RAPDs	No data	[197]
RAPDs	Italy	[198]
RAPDs	France, Poland, Romania, Czech Republic, Germany, Switzerland	[199]
cpDNA: <i>trnK</i> , <i>rbcL</i> , <i>trnTLF</i> mtDNA: <i>nad1-2</i>	Canadian Forest Service, Laval Arboretum of Centre d'étude de la forêt, Québec, Canada	[200]
cpDNA: <i>trnC-trnD</i> , <i>trnT-trnF</i> mtDNA: <i>nad5-1</i>	Botanic Garden, Kunming Institute of Botany, Yunnan, China	[201]
RAPDs	British Columbia Tree Center (Survey), Canadian Forest Service (Fredericton), and Quebec Ministry of Forestry (Bertierville) (Provenance in Canada, Romania, Litvania and Czech Republic)	[202]
10. <i>Picea obovata</i>		
cpDNA: <i>trnK</i> , <i>rbcL</i> , <i>trnTLF</i> mtDNA: <i>nad1-2</i>	Holden Arboretum, Ohio, U.S.A.	[200]
cpDNA: <i>trnC-trnD</i> , <i>trnT-trnF</i> mtDNA: <i>nad5-1</i>	China	[201]
ISSRs	Russia	[184]
nDNA: nSSRs	Russia	[203]
11. <i>Picea omorika</i>		
Isoenzymes	Bosnia and Herzegovina	[203]
16 loci in 12 enz.systems	Bosnia and Herzegovina	[204]
mtDNA: <i>nad1-2</i>	Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina	[205]
nDNA: nEST-SSRs mtDNA: <i>nad1-2</i>	Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina	[156]
cpDNA: <i>trnK</i> , <i>rbcL</i> , <i>trnTLF</i> mtDNA: <i>nad1-2</i>	Arnold Arboretum, Massachusetts, U.S.A.	[200]
cpDNA: <i>trnC-trnD</i> , <i>trnT-trnF</i> mtDNA: <i>nad5-1</i>	Royal Botanic Garden, Kew, UK	[201]
RAPDs	British Columbia Tree Center (Survey), Canadian Forest Service (Fredericton), and Quebec Ministry of Forestry (Bertierville) (provenance in Germany)	[202]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina	[206]
12. <i>Picea orientalis</i>		

cpDNA: trnK, rbcL, trnTLF mtDNA: nad1-2	Arnold Arboretum, Massachusetts, U.S.A.	[200]
cpDNA: trnC-trnD, trnT-trnF mtDNA: nad5-1	Jordan Botanic Garden, Geneva, Switzerland	[201]
Isoenzymes	Turkey	[207]
nDNA: nEST-SSRs, nSSRs	Natural Growth, Seed Stand, Gene Conservation Forest, Turkey	[208]
cpDNA: Trn, matK	Turkey	[209]
ITS1	Arnold Arboretum, Jamaica Plain, Massachusetts, USA	[210]
13. <i>Pinus brutia</i>		
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Turkey, Cyprus	[211]
RAPDs	Syria	[212]
SNPs	Greece, Turkey, Israel, Eshtaol nursery	[213]
ITS-ribosomal DNA	Turkey	[214]
ISSRs RAPDs	Turkey, Syria, Tunisia	[215]
RAPDs	Turkey	[216]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Turkey	[217]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Turkey	[218]
cpDNA: trnV-trnH	Greece	[219]
14. <i>Pinus cembra</i>		
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Switzerland	[220]
nDNA: nSSRs cpDNA: cpSSRs	Poland, Slovakia, Ukraine, Romania	[221]
SNPs	Experimental garden, 131 of the Swiss Federal Research Institute WSL, Birmensdorf, Switzerland	[222]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Poland, Slovakia, Ukraine, Romania	[223]
Isozymes	Poland, Slovakia	[224]
cpDNA: cpSSRs mtDNA: nad1-2	Switzerland	[225]
nDNA: nSSRs cpDNA: cpSSRs	Austria, Switzerland, France, Germany, Italy, Poland, Romania, Slovakia, Ukraine	[226]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Switzerland, Poland, Slovakia, Ukraine, Romania	[227]
SNPs	Austria	[228]
RAPDs	Romania	[229]
15. <i>Pinus halepensis</i>		
nDNA: nSSRs	Spain	[230]
cpDNA: cpSSRs mtDNA: nad1-2	Spain, Morocco	[177]
nDNA: nEST-SSRs	Croatia	[231]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Turkey, Spain, Greece, Italy, Tunisia, Morocco	[211]
SNPs	France, Tunisia, Spain, Israel, Greece, Eshtaol nursery	[213]
ITS-ribosomal DNA	Turkey	[214]
ISSRs RAPDs	Turkey, Syria, Tunisia	[215]
nDNA: nEST-SSRs	Spain, Italy	[232]
cpDNA: trnV-trnH	Greece	[219]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Spain	[233]

16. <i>Pinus heldreichii</i>		
nDNA: nEST-SSRs	Belgrade parks, Belgrade, Serbia	[231]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Bulgaria	[234]
17. <i>Pinus mugo</i>		
Isozymes	Poland, Germany, Austria, Italy	[235]
cpDNA: cpSSRs		
cpDNA: <i>matK</i> , <i>rbcL</i> , <i>trnH-psbA</i> , <i>trnL-trnF</i> , <i>rpl20-rps18</i> , <i>trnV</i> , <i>ycf1</i> , <i>ycf2</i>	Dendrological Garden of the Poznan University of Life Sciences, Poland	[191]
cpDNA: cpSSRs		
RAPDs	Czech Republics, Poland, Germany, Austria, Italy	[236]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Germany, Austria, Italy, Slovenia, Ukraine, Romania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Montenegro, Poland, Slovakia, Bulgaria,	[237]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	France, Austria,	[238]
Isozymes		
cpDNA: <i>trnL-trnF</i>	Peat bog reserve “Bor na Czerwone”, Poland	[239]
Allozymes	Bulgaria, Poland	[240]
CMA and DAPI heterochromatin	Slovenia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Kosovo, Croatia, Montenegro, Austria	[241]
nDNA: nSSRs	Poland, Italy, Germany, Austria, Slovenia, Romania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Montenegro, Bulgaria	[242]
allozymes	Ukraine, Switzerland	[243]
dhn1, dhn2, dhn4, dhn9, ef, lea, abaR, phytP, gia, gib, chcs, rpS10, eph, phy		
cpDNA: <i>trnF-trnL</i> , <i>trnV</i> intron	Poland, Slovakia, Ukraine, Romania	[244]
mtDNA: nad7-1		
nDNA: nEST-SSRs	Belgrade parks (Serbia), Belgrade, Serbia	[231]
RAPDs	Italy, France	[245]
18. <i>Pinus nigra</i>		
cpDNA: cpSSRs		
mtDNA: nad1-2, nad5-4, nad7-1	Serbia	[246]
Karyotype analysis	Bosnia and Herzegovina	[241]
nDNA: nSSRs	Bulgaria	[247]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Albania, Austria, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Crimean Peninsulas, Cyprus island, Spain, France, Greece, Croatia, Italy, Morocco, North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Montenegro, Russia, Turkey	[248]
cpDNA: cpSSRs		
mtDNA: nad1-2, nad5-1, nad7-1	Spain, Morocco	[177]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	France, Spain, Italy, Slovenia,	[249]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Bulgaria (provenance test „Siracovo“)	[250]
RAPDs	Austria, Turkey, Croatia	[251]
ISSRs	Spain, Morocco	[252]
nDNA: nSSRs		
cpDNA: SSRs, <i>matK</i> , <i>rbcL</i> , <i>trnH-psbA</i>	Italy, Turkey, Croatia, Algeria, Cyprus, France, Serbia, Austria, Romania, Ukraine, Russia, Spain	[158]
mtDNA: nad5-4		
nDNA: nEST-SSRs	Belgrade parks (Serbia), Croatia	[231]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Turkey	[218]

cpDNA: trnV-trnH	Greece	[219]
RAPDs	Turkey	[253]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Spain	[233]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Albania, Austria, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Crimean Peninsulas, Cyprus island, Spain, France, Greece, Croatia, Italy, Morocco, North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Montenegro, Russia, Turkey	[254]
SNPs cpDNA: cpSSRs	Albania, Austria, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Crimean Peninsulas, Cyprus island, Spain, France, Greece, Croatia, Italy, Morocco, North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Montenegro, Russia, Turkey	[157]
19. <i>Pinus peuce</i>		
nDNA: nEST-SSRs	Serbia	[231]
20. <i>Pinus pinaster</i>		
Dehydrin	Spain	[255]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Spain, Morocco	[177]
mtDNA: nad1-2		
cpDNA: psaA-trnS	No data	[256]
mtDNA: nad1-2		
nDNA: nEST-SSRs	Croatia	[231]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Spain	[233]
21. <i>Pinus pinea</i>		
Dehydrin	Spain	[255]
nDNA: nSSRs	Portugal, Spain, Morocco, France, Tunisia, Italy, Lebanon, Israel, Cyprus, Greece, Turkey	[230]
nDNA: nEST-SSRs	Croatia	[231]
ISSRs		
RAPDs	Turkey, Syria	[215]
cpDNA: trnV-trnH	Greece	[219]
Isozymes	Spain, Greece, Italy, Lebanon, Portugal, Turkey, France	[257]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	No data	[258]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Spain	[233]
22. <i>Pinus sylvestris</i>		
cpDNA: <i>matK</i> , <i>rbcL</i> , <i>trnH-psbA</i> , <i>trnL-trnF</i> , <i>rpl20-rps18</i> , <i>trnV</i> , <i>ycf1</i> , <i>ycf2</i>	Dendrological Garden of the Poznan University of Life Sciences, Poland	[191]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	France	[238]
Isozymes		
cpDNA: <i>trnL-trnF</i>	Peat bog reserve “Bor na Czerwone”, Poland	[244]
allozymes	Ukrania, Switzerland	[243]
<i>dhn1</i> , <i>dhn2</i> , <i>dhn4</i> , <i>dhn9</i> , <i>ef</i> , <i>lea</i> , <i>abaR</i> , <i>phytP</i> , <i>gia</i> , <i>gib</i> , <i>chcs</i> , <i>rpS10</i> , <i>eph</i> , <i>phy</i>		
cpDNA: <i>trnF-trnL</i> , <i>trnV</i> intron	Poland, Slovakia, Ukraine, Romania	[244]
mtDNA: nad7-1		
nDNA: nEST-SSRs	Belgrade parks, Belgrade, Serbia	[231]
SNPs	Austria	[228]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Turkey	[218]
cpDNA: trnV-trnH	Greece	[219]
RAPDs	Romania	[259]

RAPDs	Botanical Garden of Vilnius University, Lithuania	[260]
Allozymes	Sweden	[261]
RAPDs	Sweden	[262]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Spain	[263]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Spain	[233]
SNPs	Across Scandinavia into western Russia	[264]
SNPs	Entire geographic distribution of species	[265]
23. <i>Pinus uncinata</i>		
cpDNA: <i>matK</i> , <i>rbcL</i> , <i>trnH-psbA</i> , <i>trnL-trnF</i> , <i>rpl20-rps18</i> , <i>trnV</i> , <i>ycf1</i> , <i>ycf2</i>	Dendrological Garden of the Poznan University of Life Sciences, Poland	[191]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Italy, Spain, France, Andorra	[237]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Spain, France, Switzerland, Italy	[238]
CMA and DAPI heterochromatin	Spain	[241]
RAPDs	Italy, France	[245]
cpDNA: cpSSRs	Spain	[233]

Over the past few decades, a large number of molecular markers have been developed that show a relatively high degree of intraspecies polymorphism, which is suitable for population-genetic studies of Gymnospermae. At the nuclear genome (nDNA) level, frequently used markers are RFLP (Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism), RAPD (Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA), and AFLP (Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism) ([168,171,174,176,178,182], etc.). However, the most common type of molecular markers widely used in population-genetic research not only in Gymnospermae, but also in Angiospermae, are microsatellites or SSRs (Single Sequence Repeats) ([28,154,165–168,177], etc.). They are characterized by their hypervariability, co-dominant nature, specificity, simple application, etc., which have led to their very wide application in research in different groups of plants. In addition to microsatellites, sequences of selected regions of chloroplast (cpDNA) and mitochondrial (mtDNA) genomes are widely used in the population genetics of Gymnospermae ([154,155,161–163,167,177], etc.). They are characterized by a lower mutation rate compared to microsatellites, but they represent a very powerful tool for the detection of population differentiation, considering that haploid genomes are more sensitive to the effects of genetic drift compared to the nuclear genome.

Conifers, in general, are characterized by an extremely high degree of genetic diversity in populations and species as a whole, as well as a low degree of genetic differentiation of populations due to effective gene flow through seed and pollen dispersal and a high connectivity of populations. However, some widespread Pinaceae species, where high levels of genetic diversity are expected, have been observed to be genetically monomorphic or poorly variable. One of the best-known examples is the stone pine *Pinus pinea*, which is distributed along almost the entire Mediterranean and is characterized by an almost complete absence of genetic diversity at the level of allozymes [257], nuclear [230], and chloroplast microsatellites [258]. It is believed that during the Pleistocene glaciations, xerothermic European conifers experienced an extremely strong bottleneck effect, given that, during the LGM, they could survive exclusively in the southernmost points of the refugium where there were favorable ecological conditions for their survival. This resulted in a drastic reduction in effective population size (N_e), as well as a loss of genetic diversity. Since genetic variability is considered a prerequisite for the successful adaptation of a species to new environmental conditions, the fact that *Pinus pinea* maintained such a low level of genetic diversity during the later expansion of its range is particularly interesting. As possible explanations, Vendramin et al. [258] suggested the following: 1. expansion that started relatively recently, that is, at least 3000 years ago when people started intensive cultivation; 2. the species survived the LGM in at least two separate refugia (southern Spain and southeastern France); 3. during the bottleneck phase, there was

a mass extinction of specific parasites; and 4. successful adaptation to new environmental conditions primarily depends on the variability of phenotypic traits and not on the diversity of genetic markers. On the other hand, it has been shown that some European Pinaceae species with an extremely small current distribution area, such as *Picea omorika*, can show not only an extremely high level of genetic diversity but also a high genetic differentiation of populations [156]. This genetic profile of *P. omorika* is explained by the long-term survival of this species in a localized refugium on the Balkan Peninsula.

The above examples indicate the extremely great importance of historical factors in modeling the level of genetic diversity and genetic differentiation of the Pinaceae species, as well as the fact that the current genetic profile of each species is the result of the combination of elemental properties of the species and historical factors.

7. Conclusions

While the analysis of morpho-anatomical traits of conifer needles was a hallmark of 20th century research, these studies are still used at the population level [264], frequently in conjunction with climatic factors [265]. These variable sets of morpho-anatomical data suggest that new varieties or forms could be selected in future. This review paper provides a detailed examination of the terpene composition of European Pinaceae species. However, the list of references would be even longer if we included data on their biological activity [266,267] and other attributes.

In the tabular presentation of the most abundant *n*-alkanes, it seems that all species are similar and that no chemotaxonomic conclusions can be drawn from them, which is nevertheless possible when they are examined at the population level.

Based on the review of the published results of the genetic diversity of European species of the genus *Pinus* and the applied genetic markers, we can say that thermophilic Mediterranean pines such as *P. brutia*, *P. halepensis*, and *P. pinea* show low levels or even absence of genetic diversity, and *P. pinaster* has an intermediate position, while the frigidophilous species, *P. nigra*, *P. sylvestris*, and *P. uncinata*, are characterized by the highest degree of genetic diversity [156,177,233,249,258,263], respectively. Thus, this result supports the hypothesis that during the Pleistocene glaciations, frigidophilic species, due to their better adaptation to colder conditions, could often maintain higher effective population sizes and genetic variability.

It is well known that the examined features are very variable between species. This is confirmed in the tabular displays. On the other side, one can see from the tables that some species have not been examined yet in some features, and this fact is very important information for further investigations.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, B.M.N. and Z.S.M.; methodology, D.B.; software, B.M.N.; validation, B.M.N., D.B. and Z.S.M.; formal analysis, Z.S.M.; investigation, B.M.N., D.B. and Z.S.M.; resources, B.M.N., D.B. and Z.S.M.; data curation, B.M.N., D.B. and Z.S.M.; writing—original draft preparation, B.M.N., D.B. and Z.S.M.; writing—review and editing, B.M.N., Z.S.M.; visualization, B.M.N., D.B. supervision, B.M.N. project administration, D.B. funding acquisition, B.M.N., Z.S.M.; All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Ministry of Science, Technological Development, and Innovation of the Republic of Serbia, grant number 451-03-65/2024-03/200124 and grant number 451-03-66/2024-03/200027.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on reasonable request from the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Farjon, A. The Kew Review: Conifers of the World. *Kew Bull.* **2018**, *73*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12225-018-9738-5>.
2. Vidaković, M.; Franjić, J. *Golosjemenjače*; Šumarski fakultet Sveučilišta u Zagrebu: Zagreb, Croatia, 2004.

3. Anderson, J.M.; Anderson, H.M.; Cleal, C.J. Brief history of the gymnosperms: Classification, biodiversity, phytogeography and ecology. In *Strelitzia 20*; South African National Biodiversity Institute: Pretoria, South Africa, 2007.
4. Jovanović, B. *Dendrologija*; Univerzitetska Štampa: Beograd, Serbia, 2000.
5. Barbu, I.; Barbu, C. *Silver fir (Abies alba Mill.) in Romania*; Editura Tehnică Silvică: Voluntari, Romania, 2005.
6. Farjon, A. *A Handbook of the World's Conifers*; Koninklijke Brill: Leiden, The Netherlands, 2010.
7. Ballian, D.; Halilović, V. *Varijabilnost Obične Jele (Abies alba Mill.) u Bosni i Hercegovini*; USIT FBiH: Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina, 2016.
8. Jalas, J.; Suominen, J. *Atlas Florae Europaeae*; Distribution of Vascular Plants in Europe. 2. Gymnospermae (Pinaceae to Ephedraceae); The Committee for Mapping the Flora of Europe and Societas Biologica Fennica Vanamo: Helsinki, Finland, 1973.
9. San-Miguel-Ayanz, J.; de Rigo, D.; Caudullo, G.; Houston Durrant, T.; Mauri, A. *European Atlas of Forest Tree Species*, 2nd ed.; Publication Office of the European Union: Luxembourg, 2021.
10. Ballian, D.; Božić, G. *Biokemijska Varijabilnost Smreke (Picea abies Karst.) u Bosni i Hercegovini*; USIT FBiH: Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina, 2018.
11. Ballian, D.; Ravazzi, C.; Caudullo, G. *Picea omorika in Europe: Distribution, Habitat, Usage and Threats*, 2nd ed.; European Atlas of Forest Tree Species; San-Miguel-Ayanz, J., de Rigo, D., Caudullo, G., Houston Durrant, T., Mauri, A., Eds.; Publication Office of the European Union: Luxembourg, 2021; p. 117.
12. Brus, R. *Drevesne vrste na Slovenskem*; Mladinska Knjiga: Ljubljana, Slovenia, 2004.
13. Ballian, D.; Ravazzi, C.; de Rigo, D.; Caudullo, G. *Pinus mugo in Europe: Distribution, Habitat, Usage and Threats*, 2nd ed.; European Atlas of Forest Tree Species; San-Miguel-Ayanz, J., de Rigo, D., Caudullo, G., Houston Durrant, T., Mauri, A., Eds.; Publication Office of the European Union: Luxembourg, 2021; pp. 124–125.
14. Ballian, D.; Škvorc, Ž.; Franjić, J.; Kajba, D.; Bogdan, S.; Bogunić, F. Procjena nekih morfoloških značajki munike (*Pinus heldreichii* Christ.) u dijelu areala. *Šumarski List*. **2005**, 9–10, 475–480.
15. Masters, M.T. Notes on the genera of Taxaceae and Coniferae. *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* **1893**, 30, 1–42.
16. Wu, H.; Hu, Z.H. Comparative anatomy of resin ducts of the Pinaceae. *Trees* **1997**, 11, 135–143. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004680050069>.
17. Nikolić, B.; Bojović, S.; Marin, P.D. Variability of morpho-anatomical characteristics of the needles of *Picea omorika* from natural populations in Serbia. *Plant Biosyst.* **2015**, 149, 61–67. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2013.810180>.
18. Calamassi, R.; Puglisi, S.; Vendramin, G.G. Genetic variation in morphological and anatomical needle characteristics in *Pinus brutia* Ten. *Silvae Genet.* **1988**, 37, 199–206.
19. Petrakis, P.V.; Roussis, V.; Ortiz, A. Monoterpenoid diversity in relation to morphology of *Pinus brutia* and *Pinus halepensis* in an East Mediterranean area (Attiki, Greece). Implications for pine evolution. *Edinb. J. Bot.* **2000**, 57, 349–375. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960428600000366>.
20. Korol, L.V.; Madmony, A.; Riov, Y.; Schiller, G. *Pinus halepensis* × *Pinus brutia* subsp. *brutia* hybrids? Identification using morphological and biochemical traits. *Silvae Genet.* **1995**, 44, 186–190.
21. Gadek, P.A.; Alpers, D.L.; Heslewood, M.M.; Quinn, C.J. Relationships within Cupressaceae sensu lato: A combined morphological and molecular approach. *Am. J. Bot.* **2000**, 87, 1044–1067. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2657004>.
22. Popnikola, N. Varijabilnost četina jela (*Abies Alba* Mill.) u prirodnim populacijama SR Makedonije. *Šumarstvo* **1974**, 5–6, 5–13.
23. Pawlaczyk, E.M.; Grzebyta, J.; Bobowicz, M.A.; Korczyk, A.F. Individual differentiation of *Abies alba* Mill. population from the “Tisovik” reserve. Variability expressed in morphology and anatomy of needles. *Acta Biol. Crac. Ser. Bot.* **2005**, 47, 137–144.
24. Pawlaczyk, E.M.; Bobowicz, M.A. Osobnicze różnicowanie jodły pospolitej (*Abies alba* Mill.) z rezerwatu Jata wyrażone w cechach morfologii i anatomii igieł. *Leśne Pr. Badaw.* **2008**, 69, 243–253.
25. Bercu, R.; Broasca, L.; Popoviciu, R. Comparative anatomical study of some Gymnospermae species leaves. *Bot. Serb.* **2010**, 34, 21–28.
26. Ratknić, M.; Nikolić, B.; Miletić, Z. Morpho-anatomical characteristics and content of nutritive macro elements in needles of fir and spruce and their varieties in Serbia. *Arch. Biol. Sci.* **2013**, 65, 1479–1490.
27. Jasińska, A.; Boratyńska, K.; Sękiewicz, K.; Di Gristina, E.; Boratyński, A. Relationships among *Abies nebrodensis*, *A. alba* and *A. cephalonica* in the morphological and anatomical needle characteristics. *Plant Biosyst.* **2017**, 151, 775–782. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2017.1311960>.
28. Litkowiec, M.; Sękiewicz, K.; Romo, A.; Ok, T.; Dagher-Kharrat, M.B.; Jasińska, A.K.; Sobierajska, K.; Boratyńska, K.; Boratyński, A. Biogeography and relationships of the *Abies* taxa from the Mediterranean and Central Europe regions as revealed by nuclear DNA markers and needle structural characters. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **2021**, 479, 118606. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2020.118606>.
29. Dörken, V.M.; Lepetit, B. Morpho-anatomical and physiological differences between sun and shade leaves in *Abies alba* Mill. (Pinaceae; Coniferales): A combined approach. *Plant Cell Environ.* **2018**, 41, 1683–1697. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13213>.
30. Mitić, Z.S.; Nikolić, J.S.; Jušković, M.Z.; Randelović, V.N.; Nikolić, B.M.; Zlatković, B.K. Geographic differentiation of *Abies alba*, *A. x borisii-regis*, and *A. cephalonica* populations at the Balkan Peninsula based on needle morpho-anatomy. *Trees* **2023**, 37, 1465–1481. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-023-02436-y>.
31. Papageorgiou, A.C.; Kostoudi, C.; Sorotos, I.; Varsamis, G.; Korakis, G.; Drouzas, A.D. Diversity in needle morphology and genetic markers in a marginal *Abies cephalonica* (Pinaceae) population. *Ann. For. Res.* **2015**, 58, 217–234. <https://doi.org/10.15287/afr.2015.410>.

32. Sękiewicz, K.; Sękiewicz, M.; Jasińska, A.K.; Boratyńska, K.; Iszkuło, G.; Romo, A.; Boratyński, A. Morphological diversity and structure of West Mediterranean *Abies* species. *Plant Biosyst.* **2013**, *147*, 125–134. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2012.753130>.
33. Vidaković, M. *Četinjače*; Morfologija i varijabilnost; JAZU i Sveučilišna naklada Liber: Zagreb, Croatia, 1982.
34. Johnson, O. *Collins Tree Guide*; Harpercollins: London, UK, 2006.
35. Bercu, R.; Popoviciu, D.R. Anatomical comparative study of *Larix decidua* Mill. and *Picea abies* Karsten (Pinaceae) leaf. *Ann. Rom. Soc. Cell Biol.* **2013**, *18*, 172–177.
36. Gebauer, R.; Volarikl, D.; Urban, J.; Børja, I.; Nagyl, N.E.; Eldhuset, T.D.; Krokene, P. Effects of mild drought on the morphology of sun and shade needles in 20-year-old Norway spruce trees. *iForest* **2019**, *12*, 27–34. <https://doi.org/10.3832/for2809-011>.
37. Wang, J.; Ma, J.; OuYang, F.; Wang, J.; Song, L.; Kong, L.; Zhang, H. Intrinsic relationship among needle morphology; anatomy; gas exchanges and tree growth across 17 *Picea* species. *New For.* **2021**, *52*, 509–535. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11056-020-09808-z>.
38. Vilotić, D. Anatomsko građa stabala omorike *Picea omorika* (Pančić) Purkyne sa područja Nacionalnog parka Tara, 33–49. In *Vegetacija Nacionalnog parka Tara (Monograph)*; Gajić, M., Kojić, M., Karadžić, D., Vasiljević, M., Stanić, M., Eds.; Šumarski fakultet Beograd, Nacionalni park Tara: Bajina Bašta, Serbia, 1994.
39. Pavlović, B.P.; Matović, M. Vukoman's spruce—New variety of Serbian spruce in the Mileševka kanyon—*Picea omorika* var. *Vukomanii*. *Arch. Biol. Sci.* **1994**, *46*, 27–28.
40. Milovanović, J.; Ivetić, V.; Vilotić, D.; Šijačić-Nikolić, M. Morfo-anatomske karakteristike četina različitih fenogrupa omorike. *Acta Herbol.* **2005**, *14*, 41–49.
41. Radovanović, B.; Šinžar-Sekulić, J.; Rakić, T.; Živković, I.; Lakušić, D. Variation in needle anatomy of *Picea omorika* (Pinaceae) plants belonging to different gene pools in natural populations on Tara Mt. in Serbia. *Bot. Serb.* **2014**, *38*, 237–246.
42. Panetsos, C.P. Natural hybridization between *Pinus halepensis* and *Pinus brutia* in Greece. *Silvae Genet.* **1975**, *24*, 163–168.
43. Panetsos, K.P.; Scaltsoyiannes, A.; Aravanopoulos, F.A.; Dounavi, K.; Demetrakopoulos, A. Identification of *Pinus brutia* Ten., *P. halepensis* Mill. and their putative hybrids. *Silvae Genet.* **1997**, *46*, 253–257.
44. Dangasuk, O.; Panetsos, K. Altitudinal and longitudinal variations in *Pinus brutia* (Ten.) of Crete Island; Greece: Some needle; cone and seed traits under natural habitats. *New For.* **2004**, *27*, 269–284. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:NEFO.0000022227.33131.f0>.
45. Kaundun, S.S.; Lebreton, P. Taxonomy and systematics of the genus *Pinus* based on morphological; biogeographical and biochemical characters. *Plant Syst. Evol.* **2010**, *284*, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-009-0228-y>.
46. Esteban, L.G.; Martín, J.A.; de Palacios, P.; Fernandez, F.G.; López, R. Adaptive anatomy of *Pinus halepensis* trees from different Mediterranean environments in Spain. *Trees* **2010**, *24*, 19–30. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-009-0375-3>.
47. Fukarek, P. Novi varijetet munike sa područja Srbije i Sandžaka (Crna Gora) (*Pinus heldreichii* Chr. var. *Pančići* n.var.). *Godišnjak Biološkog Instituta* **1951**, *6*, 41–50.
48. Gudeski, A.; Stamenkov, M.; Djordjeva, M. Morfološko-anatomske karakteristike iglica munike (*Pinus heldreichii* Christ.) sa nekih autohtonih staništa Makedonije i Kosova. In Proceedings of the Simpozijum o Munici *Pinus heldreichii* Christ., Dečani, Kosovo, 4–7 September 1972; pp. 104–114.
49. Papaioannaou, K.J. Geografsko rasprostranjenje *Pinus heldreichii* i njegovi narodni nazivi. In Proceedings of the Simpozijum o Munici *Pinus heldreichii* Christ., Dečani, Kosovo, 4–7 September 1972; pp. 293–302.
50. Papaioannaou, K.J. Varijetei *Pinus heldreichii* Christ. In Proceedings of the Simpozijum o Munici *Pinus heldreichii* Christ., Dečani, Kosovo, 4–7 September 1972; pp. 303–310.
51. Popnikola, N. Varijabilnost broja smolnih kanala u četinama munike *Pinus heldreichii* Christ. In Proceedings of the Simpozijum o munici *Pinus heldreichii* Christ., Dečani, Kosovo, 4–7 September 1972; pp. 334–344.
52. Stevanović, B.; Janković, M. Ekoanatomske odlike četina endemo-reliktnih visokoplaninskih borova munike (*Pinus heldreichii* Christ.) i molike (*P. peuce* Gris.). *Bot. Serb.* **1988**, *22*, 51–62.
53. Stevanović-Janežić, T.M.; Vilotić, D.M. Etarska ulja iz endemskih borova munike (*Pinus heldreichii* Christ.) i molike (*Pinus peuce* Griseb.). *Lek. Sirovine (Beograd)* **1998**, *47*, 109–114.
54. Tošić, M.; Vilotić, D.; Radošević, G. Morphological-anatomical characteristics of White Bark Pine (*Pinus heldreichii* Christ.) needles in South-West Serbia. In Proceedings of the Third International Balkan Congress “Plant Resources in the Creation of New Values, Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina, 18–24 May 2003.
55. Yurukov, S.; Panayotov, M.; Tsavkov, P.; Zhelev, P. Dendrological characteristic of Bosnian pine (*Pinus heldreichii* Christ.) on karst terrains in Pirin National Park. In Proceedings of the International Scientific Conference “Protected Karst Territories—Conditions; Problems; Perspectives, Shumen, Bulgaria, 18–21 October 2005.
56. Panayotov, M.; Tsavkov, E.; Zhelev, P.; Yurukov, S. Anatomical and morphological changes in *Pinus heldreichii* Christ along an altitudinal gradient in Pirin mountains. *Muzeul Olten. Craiova Olten. Stud. Comunicări Științele Nat.* **2010**, *26*, 51–57.
57. Nikolić, B.; Bojović, S.; Marin, P.D. Morpho-anatomical properties of *Pinus heldreichii* needles from natural populations in Montenegro and Serbia. *Plant Biosyst.* **2014**, *150*, 254–263. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2014.984008>.
58. Bączkiewicz, A.; Buczkowska, K.E.; Wachowiak, W. Anatomical and morphological variability of needles of *Pinus mugo* Turra on different substrata in the Tatra Mountains. *Biol. Lett.* **2005**, *42*, 21–32.
59. Boratyńska, K.; Jasińska, A.K.; Boratyński, A. Taxonomic and geographic differentiation of *Pinus mugo* complex on the needle characteristics. *Syst. Biodivers.* **2015**, *13*, 581–595. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14772000.2015.1058300>.
60. Matziris, D.I. Genetic variation in morphological and anatomical needle characteristics in the Black Pine of Peloponnesos. *Silvae Genet.* **1984**, *33*, 164–169.

61. Pavletić, Z.; Liber, Z. Anatomical and morphological analysis of the Dalmatian black pine [*Pinus nigra* ARNOLD subsp. *dalmatica* (Vis.) Franco] needles. *Wiss Mitt Niederösterreich Landesmus.* **1999**, *12*, 89–95.
62. Borzan, Ž.; Idžojić, M.; Guttenberge, H. Identification of F1 hybrids *Pinus nigra* J. F. Arnold × *P. sylvestris* L.; *P. nigra* J. F. Arnold × *P. densiflora* Siebold et Zucc. and *P. nigra* J. F. Arnold × *P. thunbergiana* Franco by internal and external morphometric traits. *Silvae Genet.* **2002**, *51*, 270–273.
63. Nikolić, B.; Bojović, S.; Marin, P.D. Morpho-anatomical traits of *Pinus peuce* needles from natural populations in Montenegro and Serbia. *Plant Biosyst.* **2016**, *150*, 1038–1045. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2014.1000999>.
64. Boratyńska, K.; Boratyński, A. Taxonomic differences among closely related pines *Pinus sylvestris*, *P. mugo*, *P. uncinata*, *P. rotundata* and *P. uliginosa* as revealed in needle sclerenchyma cells. *Flora* **2007**, *202*, 555–569. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.flora.2006.11.004>.
65. Polok, K.; Przybyła, M.; Pisarek, W.; Chudzińska, E.; Zieliński, R.; Urbaniak, L. Needle anatomy suggests hybridization between the relict *turfosa* form of *Pinus sylvestris* L. from the Gązwa peat bog and typical Scots pine. *Acta Soc. Bot. Pol.* **2009**, *78*, 29–36. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5586/asbp.2009.005>.
66. Gebauer, R.; Čermák, J.; Plichta, R.; Špinlerova, Z.; Urban, J.; Volařík, D.; Ceulemans, R. Within-canopy variation in needle morphology and anatomy of vascular tissues in a sparse Scots pine forest. *Trees* **2015**, *29*, 1447–1457. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-015-1224-1>.
67. Boratyńska, K.; Bobowicz, M.A. Variability of *Pinus uncinata* Ramond ex DC as expressed in needle traits. *Dendrobiology* **2000**, *45*, 7–16.
68. Kopaczky, J.M.; Warguła, J.; Jelonek, T. The variability of terpenes in conifers under developmental and environmental stimuli. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* **2020**, *180*, 104197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2020.104197>.
69. Otto, A.; Wilde, V. Sesqui-, di-, and triterpenoids as chemosystematic markers in extant conifers—A review. *Bot. Rev.* **2001**, *67*, 141–238. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02858076>.
70. Niinemets, Ü.; Kännaste, A.; Copolovici, L. Quantitative patterns between plant volatile emissions induced by biotic stresses and the degree of damage. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2013**, *4*, 49750. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2013.00262>.
71. Ancuceanu, R.; Anghel, A.I.; Hovanet, M.V.; Ciobanu, A.-M.; Lascu, B.E.; Dinu, M. Antioxidant activity of essential oils from Pinaceae species. *Antioxidants* **2024**, *13*, 286. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox13030286>.
72. Mitić, Z.S.; Stojanović-Radić, Z.Z.; Jovanović, S.Č.; Cvetković, V.J.; Nikolić, J.S.; Ickovski, J.D.; Mitrović, T.L.; Nikolić, B.M.; Zlatković, B.K.; Stojanović, G.S. Essential oils of three Balkan *Abies* Species: Chemical profiles; antimicrobial activity and toxicity toward *Artemia salina* and *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Chem. Biodivers.* **2022**, *19*, e202200235. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.202200235>.
73. Nikolić, B.M.; Milanović, S.D.; Milenković, I.L.; Todosijević, M.M.; Đorđević, I.Ž.; Brkić, M.Z.; Mitić, Z.S.; Marin, P.D.; Tešević, V.V. Bioactivity of *Chamaecyparis lawsoniana* (A. Murray) Parl. and *Thuja plicata* Donn ex D. Don essential oils on *Lymantria dispar* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Lepidoptera: Erebidae) larvae and *Phytophthora* de Bary 1876 root pathogens. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2022**, *178*, 114550. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2022.114550>.
74. Cvetković, V.J.; Mitić, Z.S.; Stojanović-Radić, Z.; Matić, S.L.; Nikolić, B.M.; Rakonjac, L.; Ickovski, J.; Stojanović, G. Biological activities of *Chamaecyparis lawsoniana* (A. Murray bis) Parl. and *Thuja plicata* Donn ex D. Don essential oils: Toxicity, genotoxicity, antigenotoxicity, and antimicrobial activity. *Forests* **2024**, *15*, 69. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f15010069>.
75. Ansari, M.A.; Mittal, P.K.; Razdan, R.K.; Sreehari, U. Larvicidal and mosquito repellent activities of pine (*Pinus longifolia*, family: Pinaceae) oil. *J. Vector Borne Dis.* **2005**, *42*, 95–99.
76. El Hadi, M.A.; Zhang, F.J.; Wu, F.F.; Zhou, C.H.; Tao, J. Advances in fruit aroma volatile research. *Molecules* **2013**, *18*, 8200–8229. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules18078200>.
77. Proshkina, E.; Plyusnin, S.; Babak, T.; Lashmanova, E.; Maganova, F.; Koval, L.; Platonova, E.; Shaposhnikov, M.; Moskalev, A. Terpenoids as potential geroprotectors. *Antioxidants* **2020**, *9*, 529. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox9060529>.
78. Gallis, A.T.; Lang, K.; Panetos, K.P. Bud monoterpenes composition in *Pinus brutia* (Ten.), *Pinus halepensis* (Mill.) and their hybrids. *Silvae Genet.* **1998**, *47*, 71–74.
79. Šarac, Z.; Bojović, S.; Nikolić, B.; Tešević, V.; Đorđević, I.; Marin, P.D. Chemotaxonomic significance of the terpene composition in natural populations of *Pinus nigra* J. F. Arnold from Serbia. *Chem. Biodivers.* **2013**, *10*, 1507–1520. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201200416>.
80. Stefanović, M.; Ristić, M.; Popović, Z.; Matić, R.; Nikolić, B.; Vidaković, V.; Obratov-Petković, D.; Bojović, S. Chemical composition and interpopulation variability of essential oils of *Taxus baccata* L. from Serbia. *Chem. Biodivers.* **2016**, *13*, 943–953. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201500326>.
81. Chalchat, J.-C.; Garry, R.-P.; Michet, A.; Remery, A. The essential oils of two chemotypes of *Pinus sylvestris*. *Phytochemistry* **1985**, *24*, 2443–2444. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422\(00\)83062-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422(00)83062-9).
82. Otto, A.; Simoneit, B.R.T.; Wilde, V. Terpenoids as chemosystematic markers in selected fossil and extant species of pine (*Pinus*, Pinaceae). *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* **2007**, *154*, 129–140. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.2007.00638.x>.
83. Ji, W.; Ji, X. Comparative analysis of volatile terpenes and terpenoids in the leaves of *Pinus* species—A potentially abundant renewable resource. *Molecules* **2021**, *26*, 5244.
84. Mitić, Z.S.; Jovanović, S.Č.; Zlatković, B.K.; Nikolić, B.M.; Stojanović, G.S.; Marin, P.D. Needle terpenes as chemotaxonomic markers in *Pinus*: Subsections *Pinus* and *Pinaster*. *Chem. Biodivers.* **2017**, *14*, e1600453. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201600453>.
85. Nikolić, B.; Ristić, M.; Tešević, V.; Marin, P.D.; Bojović, S. Terpene chemodiversity of relict conifers *Picea omorika*, *Pinus heldreichii* and *Pinus peuce*, endemic to Balkan. *Chem. Biodivers.* **2011**, *8*, 2247–2260. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201100018>.

86. 88. Li, B.; Shen, Y.H.; He, Y.R.; Zhang, W.D. Chemical constituents and biological activities of *Pinus* species. *Chem. Biodivers.* **2013**, *10*, 2133–2160. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201100373>.
87. Ioannou, E.; Koutsaviti, A.; Tzakou, O.; Roussis, V. The genus *Pinus*: A comparative study on the needle essential oil composition of 46 pine species. *Phytochem. Rev.* **2014**, *13*, 741–768. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11101-014-9338-4>.
88. Korica, A.; Polis, O.; Spalvs, K.; Bartkevics, V. Quantitative and qualitative seasonal changes of Scots Pine and Norway Spruce foliage essential oils in Latvia, and the extraction dynamics thereof. *Balt. For.* **2015**, *21*, 51–58. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127\(97\)00344-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(97)00344-7).
89. 91. Michelozzi, M.; Tognetti, R.; Maggino, F.; Radicati, M. Seasonal variations in monoterpene profiles and ecophysiological traits in Mediterranean pine species of group “*halepensis*”. *IForest* **2008**, *1*, 65–74. <https://doi.org/10.3832/for0206-0010065>.
90. Gallis, A.T. Study of the genetic control of four volatile monoterpenes in *Pinus brutia* x *Pinus halepensis* hybrids. Protection and management of forest ecosystems in Greece. In Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Environmental Science and Technology, G-NEST and University of Aegean, Rhodes Island, Greece, 1–3 September 2005.
91. Manninen, A.M.; Tarhanen, S.; Vuorinen, M.; Kainulaine, P. Comparing the variation of needle and wood terpenoids in Scots Pine provenances. *J. Chem. Ecol.* **2002**, *28*, 211–228. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1013579222600>.
92. Degenhardt, J.; Köllner, T.G.; Gershenzon, J. Monoterpene and sesquiterpene synthases and the origin of terpene skeletal diversity in plants. *Phytochemistry* **2009**, *70*, 1621–1637. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2009.07.030>.
93. Chhetri, B.K.; Ali, N.A.A.; Setzer, W.N. A survey of chemical compositions and biological activities of Yemeni aromatic medicinal plants. *Medicines* **2015**, *28*, 67–92. <https://doi.org/10.3390/medicines2020067>.
94. Ignea, C.; Raadam, M.H.; Koutsaviti, A.; Yong, Z.; Duan, Y.-T.; Harizani, M.; Miettinen, K.; Georgantea, P.; Rosenfeldt, M.; Viejo-Ledesma, S.E.; et al. Expanding the terpene biosynthetic code with non-canonical 16 carbon atom building blocks. *Nat. Commun.* **2022**, *13*, 5188. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-32921-w>.
95. Yang, S.-A.; Jeon, S.-K.; Lee, E.-J.; Im, N.-K.; Jhee, K.-H.; Lee, S.-P.; Lee, I.-S. Radical scavenging activity of the essential oil of Silver fir (*Abies alba*). *J. Clin. Biochem. Nutr.* **2009**, *44*, 253–259. <https://doi.org/10.3164/jcbn.08-240>.
96. Nikolić, J.S.; Zlatković, B.K.; Jovanović, S.Č.; Stojanović, G.S.; Marin, P.D.; Mitić, Z.S. Needle volatiles as chemophenetic markers in differentiation of natural populations of *Abies alba*, *A. × borisii-regis*, and *A. cephalonica*. *Phytochemistry* **2021**, *183*, 112612. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2020.112612>.
97. Schicchi, R.; Geraci, A.; Rosselli, S.; Spinella, A.; Maggio, A.; Bruno, M. Phytochemical investigation of the needles of *Abies nebrodensis* (Lojac.) Mattei. *Nat. Prod. Res.* **2020**, *34*, 2131–2136. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14786419.2019.1576044>.
98. Sagareishvili, T.G. Components of the essential oil of *Abies nordmanniana*. *Chem. Nat. Compd.* **1999**, *35*, 586. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02323305>.
99. Bağcı, E.; Babaç, M.T. A morphometric and chemosystematic study on the *Abies* Miller (Fir) species in Turkey. *Acta Bot. Gall.* **2003**, *150*, 355–367. <https://doi.org/10.1080/12538078.2003.10516002>.
100. Weißmann, G.; Reck, S. Identifizierung von Hybridlärchen mit Hilfe chemischer Merkmale. *Silvae Genet.* **1987**, *36*, 60–64.
101. Romanenko, E.P.; Domrachev, D.V.; Tkachev, A.V. Variations in essential oils from South Siberian conifers of the Pinaceae family: New data towards identification and quality control. *Chem. Biodivers.* **2022**, *19*, e202100755. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.202100755>.
102. Persson, M.; Sjödin, K.; Borg-Karlson, A.-K.; Norin, T.; Ekberg, I. Relative amounts and enantiomeric compositions of monoterpene hydrocarbons in xylem and needles of *Picea abies*. *Phytochemistry* **1996**, *42*, 1289–1297. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9422\(96\)00119-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9422(96)00119-7).
103. Chalchat, J.C.; Gorunović, M.S. Chemotaxonomy of pines native to the Balkans (IV): Variations in the composition of essential oils of *Pinus omorika* Pancic according to plant part and age of specimens. *Pharmazie* **1995**, *50*, 640–641.
104. Nikolić, B.; Tesević, V.; Djordjević, I.; Marin, P.D.; Bojović, S. Essential oil variability in natural populations of *Picea omorika*; a rare European conifer. *Chem. Biodivers.* **2009**, *6*, 193–203. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.200800085>.
105. Uçar, G.; Balaban, M.; Usta, M.C. Volatile needle and wood extracts of oriental spruce *Picea orientalis* (L.) Link. *Flav. Fragr. J.* **2003**, *18*, 368–375. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ffj.1196>.
106. Roussis, V.; Petrakis, P.V.; Ortiz, A.; Mazomenos, B.E. Volatile constituents of needles of five *Pinus* species grown in Greece. *Phytochemistry* **1995**, *39*, 357–361. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9422\(94\)00885-W](https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9422(94)00885-W).
107. Lahlou, M. Composition and molluscicidal properties of essential oils of five Moroccan Pinaceae. *Pharm. Biol.* **2003**, *41*, 207–210. <https://doi.org/10.1076/phbi.41.3.207.15097>.
108. Mateus, E. Characterization of *Pinus* spp. Needles by Gas Chromatography and Mass Spectrometry: Application to Plant-Insect Interactions. Ph.D. Thesis, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Lisboa, Spain, 2008; 322p.
109. Tumen, I.; Hafizoglu, H.; Kilic, A.; Dönmez, I.E.; Sivrikaya, H.; Reunanen, M. Yields and constituents of essential oil from cones of Pinaceae spp. natively grown in Turkey. *Molecules* **2010**, *24*, 5797–5806. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules15085797>.
110. Ustun, O.; Senol, F.S.; Kurkuoglu, M.; Erdogan, I.; Kartal, O.M.; Can Baser, K.H. Investigation on chemical composition, anticholinesterase and antioxidant activities of extracts and essential oils of Turkish *Pinus* species and pycnogenol. *Ind. Crop Prod.* **2012**, *38*, 115–123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2012.01.016>.
111. Apetrei, C.L.; Tuchilus, C.; Aprotosoia, A.C.; Oprea, A.; Malterud, K.E.; Miron, A. Chemical, antioxidant and antimicrobial investigations of *Pinus cembra* L. bark and needles. *Molecules* **2011**, *16*, 7773–7788. <http://doi.org/10.3390/molecules16097773>.
112. Lis, A.; Kalinowska, A.; Krajewska, A.; Mellor, K. Chemical composition of the essential oils from different morphological parts of *Pinus cembra* L. *Chem. Biodivers.* **2017**, *14*, e201600345 <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201600345>.

113. Dob, T.; Berramdane, T.; Chelgoum, C. Chemical composition of essential oil of *Pinus halepensis* Miller growing in Algeria. *C. R. Chim.* **2005**, *8*, 1939–1945. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crci.2005.05.007>.
114. Tümen, İ.; Akkol, E.K.; Taştan, H.; Süntar, I.; Kurtca, M. Research on the antioxidant; wound healing, and antiinflammatory activities and the phytochemical composition of maritime pine (*Pinus pinaster* Ait). *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **2018**, *30*, 211, 235–246. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2017.09.009>.
115. Mitić, Z.S.; Jovanović, B.; Jovanović, S.Č.; Stojanović-Radić, Z.Z.; Mihajilov-Krstev, T.; Jovanović, N.M.; Nikolić, B.M.; Marin, P.D.; Zlatković, B.K.; Stojanović, G.S. Essential oils of *Pinus halepensis* and *P. heldreichii*: Chemical composition, antimicrobial and insect larvicidal activity. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2019**, *140*, 111702. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2019.111702>.
116. Hjouji, K.; Atemni, I.; Mehdaoui, I.; Ainane, A.; Berrada, S.; Rais, Z.; Taleb, M.; Ainane, T. Essential oil of Aleppo Pine needles: Antioxidant and antibacterial activities. *Pharmacologyonline* **2021**, *2*, 556–565.
117. Simić, N.; Palić, R.M.; Anđelković, S.; Vajs, V.V.; Milosavljević, S. Essential oil of *Pinus heldreichii* needles. *J. Essent. Oil Res.* **1996**, *8*, 1–5.
118. Petrakis, P.V.; Tsitsimpikou, C.; Tzakou, O.; Couladis, M.; Vagias, C.; Roussis, V. Needle volatiles from five *Pinus* species growing in Greece. *Flavour. Fragr. J.* **2001**, *16*, 249–252. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ffj.990>.
119. Bojović, S.; Nikolić, B.; Ristić, M.; Orlović, S.; Veselinović, M.; Rakonjac, L.; Dražić, D. Variability in chemical composition and abundance of the rare tertiary relict *Pinus heldreichii* in Serbia. *Chem. Biodivers.* **2011**, *8*, 1754–1765. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201100041>.
120. Basholli-Salihi, M.; Schuster, R.; Hajdari, A.; Mulla, D.; Viernstein, H.; Mustafa, B.; Mueller, M. Phytochemical composition; anti-inflammatory activity and cytotoxic effects of essential oils from three *Pinus* spp. *Pharm. Biol.* **2017**, *55*, 1553–1560. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13880209.2017.1309555>.
121. Mitić, Z.S.; Nikolić, B.M.; Ristić, M.S.; Tešević, V.V.; Bojović, S.R.; Marin, P.D. Terpenes as useful markers in differentiation of natural populations of relict pines *Pinus heldreichii*, *P. nigra*, and *P. peuce*. *Chem. Biodivers.* **2017**, *14*, e1700093. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201700093>.
122. Kurti, F.; Giorgi, A.; Beretta, G.; Mustafa, B.; Gelmini, F.; Testa, C.; Angioletti, S.; Giupponi, L.; Zilio, E.; Pentimalli, D.; et al. Chemical composition; antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of essential oils of different *Pinus* species from Kosovo. *J. Essent. Oil Res.* **2019**, *31*, 263–275. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10412905.2019.1584591>.
123. Stevanovic, T.; Garneau, F.-X.; Jean, F.-I.; Gagnon, H.; Vilotić, D.; Petrović, S.S.; Ruzic, N.; Pichette, A. The essential oil composition of *Pinus mugo* Turra from Serbia. *Flav. Fragr. J.* **2005**, *20*, 2096–2097. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ffj.1390>.
124. Venditti, A.; Serrilli, A.M.; Vittori, S.; Papa, F.; Maggi, F.; Di Cecco, M.; Ciaschetti, G.; Bruno, M.; Rosselli, S.; Bianco, A. Secondary metabolites from *Pinus mugo* Turra subsp. *mugo* growing in the Majella National Park (Central Apennines; Italy). *Chem. Biodivers.* **2013**, *10*, 2091–2100. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201300098>.
125. Hajdari, A.; Mustafa, B.; Ahmeti, G.; Pulaj, B.; Lukas, B.; Ibraliu, A.; Stefkov, G.; Quave, C.L.; Novak, J. Essential oil composition variability among natural populations of *Pinus mugo* Turra in Kosovo. *SpringerPlus* **2015**, *4*, 828. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40064-015-1611-5>.
126. Bojović, S.; Jurc, M.; Ristić, M.; Popović, Z.; Matić, R.; Vidaković, V.; Stefanović, M.; Jurc, D. Essential-oil variability in natural populations of *Pinus mugo* Turra from the Julian Alps. *Chem. Biodivers.* **2016**, *13*, 181–187. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201500029>.
127. Mitić, Z.S.; Jovanović, B.; Jovanović, S.Č.; Mihajilov-Krstev, T.; Stojanović-Radić, Z.Z.; Cvetković, V.J.; Mitrović, T.L.; Marin, P.D.; Zlatković, B.K.; Stojanović, G.S. Comparative study of the essential oils of four *Pinus* species: Chemical composition; antimicrobial and insect larvicidal activity. *Ind. Crop Prod.* **2018**, *111*, 55–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2017.10.004>.
128. Adams, R.P.; Tashev, A.N. Composition of the leaf volatile terpenoids of *Pinus mugo* Turra from Bulgaria compared with oils from other regions. *Phytologia* **2019**, *101*, 74–80.
129. Mitić, Z.S.; Jovanović, S.Č.; Zlatković, B.K.; Milanovici, S.J.; Nikolić, B.M.; Petrović, G.M.; Stojanović, G.S.; Marin, P.D. Variation of needle volatiles in native populations of *Pinus mugo*—evidence from multivariate statistical analysis. *Plant Biosyst.* **2021**, *155*, 700–710. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2020.1779839>.
130. Gorunović, M.; Mimica-Dukić, N.; Kite, G.; Stošić, D. Sur l’huile essentielle de *Pinus peuce* Griseb.; Pinacées de Monténégro. *Pharmazie* **1992**, *47*, 647–648.
131. Koukos, P.K.; Papadopoulou, K.I.; Patiaka, D.T.; Papagiannopoulos, A.D. Chemical composition of essential oils from needles and twigs of Balkan pine (*Pinus peuce* Grisebach) grown in Northern Greece. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2000**, *48*, 1266–1268.
132. Karapandzova, M.; Stefkov, G.; Kulevanova, S. Essential oils composition of *Pinus peuce* Griseb. (Pinaceae) growing on Pelister Mtn.; Republic of Macedonia. *Maced. Pharm. Bull.* **2011**, *56*, 13–22.
133. Kleinhentz, M.; Jactel, H.; Menassieu, P. Terpene attractant candidates of *Dioryctria sylvestrella* in Maritime pine (*Pinus pinaster*) oleoresin; needles; liber; and headspace samples. *J. Chem. Ecol.* **1999**, *25*, 2741–2756. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020803608406>.
134. Arrabal, C.; García-Vallejo, M.C.; Cadahia, E.; Cortijo, M.; de Simon, B.F. Characterization of two chemotypes of *Pinus pinaster* by their terpene and acid patterns in needles. *Plant Syst. Evol.* **2012**, *298*, 511–522. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-011-0562-8>.
135. Ruas, A.; Graça, A.; Marto, J.; Gonçalves, L.; Oliveira, A.; da Silva, A.N.; Pimentel, M.; Moura, A.M.; Serra, A.T.; Figueiredo, A.C.; et al. Chemical characterization and bioactivity of commercial essential oils and hydrolates obtained from Portuguese forest logging and thinning. *Molecules* **2022**, *27*, 3572.
136. Semiz, G.; Heijari, J.; Isik, K.; Holopainen, J.K. Variation in needle terpenoids among *Pinus sylvestris* L. (Pinaceae) provenances from Turkey. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* **2007**, *35*, 652–661. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bse.2007.05.013>.

137. Bonikowski, R.; Celiński, K.; Wojnicka-Półtorak, A.; Maliński, T. Composition of essential oils isolated from the needles of *Pinus uncinata* and *P. uliginosa* grown in Poland. *Nat. Prod. Commun.* **2015**, *10*, 371–373. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1934578X1501000243>.
138. Kunst, L.; Samuels, A.L. Biosynthesis and secretion of plant cuticular wax. *Prog. Lipid Res.* **2003**, *42*, 51–80. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0163-7827\(02\)00045-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0163-7827(02)00045-0).
139. Gülz, P.G. Epicuticular leaf waxes in the evolution of the plant kingdom. *J. Plant Physiol.* **1994**, *143*, 453–464. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0176-1617\(11\)81807-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0176-1617(11)81807-9).
140. Corrigan, D.; Timoney, R.F.; Donnelly, D.M. *n*-Alkanes and ω -hydroxyalkanoic acids from the needles of twenty-eight *Picea* species. *Phytochemistry* **1978**, *17*, 907–910.
141. Maffei, M.E.; Badino, S.F.; Bossi, S. Chemotaxonomic significance of leaf wax *n*-alkanes in the Pinales (Coniferales). *J. Biol. Res.* **2004**, *1*, 3–19.
142. Herbin, G.A.; Robins, P.A. Studies on plant cuticular waxes—III. The leaf wax alkanes and ω -hydroxy acids of some members of the Cupressaceae and Pinaceae. *Phytochemistry* **1968**, *7*, 1325–1337. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422\(00\)85633-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422(00)85633-2).
143. Lockheart, M.J.; Poole, I.; Van Bergen, P.F.; Evershed, R.P. Leaf carbon isotope compositions and stomatal characters: Important considerations for palaeoclimate reconstructions. *Org. Geochem.* **1998**, *29*, 1003–1008. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0146-6380\(98\)00168-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0146-6380(98)00168-5).
144. Oros, D.R.; Standley, L.J.; Chen, X.; Simoneit, B.R.T. Epicuticular wax compositions of predominant conifers of Western North America. *Z. Naturforsch C* **1999**, *54*, 17–24. <https://doi.org/10.1515/znc-1999-1-205>.
145. Mitić, Z.S.; Nikolić, J.S.; Dimitrijević, I.S.; Jevtović, S.Č.; Nikolić, B.M.; Zlatković, B.K.; Stojanović, G.S. Cuticular wax variability of *Abies alba*, *A. × borisii-regis* and *A. cephalonica* from the Balkans: Chemophenetic and ecological aspects. *Chem. Biodivers.* **2023**, *20*, e202300553. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.202300553>.
146. Nikolić, B.; Tešević, V.; Đorđević, I.; Todosijević, M.; Jadranin, M.; Bojović, S.; Marin, P.D. Variability of *n*-alkanes and nonacosan-10-ol in natural populations of *Picea omorika*. *Chem. Biodivers.* **2013**, *10*, 473–483. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201200271>.
147. Nikolić, B.M.; Ballian, D.A.; Đorđević, I.Ž.; Rajčević, N.F.; Todosijević, M.M.; Stanković Jeremić, J.M.; Mitić, Z.S.; Bojović, S.R.; Tešević, V.V. *n*-Alkanes variability in natural populations of *Picea omorika* (Pančić) Purk. from Bosnia and Herzegovina. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* **2023**, *106*, e104544. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bse.2022.104544>.
148. Nikolić, B.; Tešević, V.; Đorđević, I.; Todosijević, M.; Jadranin, M.; Bojović, S.; Marin, P.D. Chemodiversity of nonacosan-10-ol and *n*-alkanes in the needle wax of *Pinus heldreichii*. *Chem. Biodivers.* **2012**, *9*, 80–90. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201100179>.
149. Mitić, Z.S.; Nikolić, J.S.; Zlatković, B.K.; Milanovici, S.J.; Jovanović, S.Č.; Nikolić, B.M.; Stojanović, G.S.; Marin, P.D. Epicuticular waxes provide insights into phytochemical differentiation of natural populations of *Pinus mugo* Turra sensu stricto. *Chem. Biodivers.* **2018**, *15*, e1800378. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201800378>.
150. Bojović, S.; Šarac, Z.; Nikolić, B.; Tešević, V.; Todosijević, M.; Veljić, M.; Marin, P.D. Composition of *n*-alkanes in natural populations of *Pinus nigra* from Serbia—Chemotaxonomic implications. *Chem. Biodivers.* **2012**, *9*, 2761–2774. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201200051>.
151. Mitić, Z.S.; Zlatković, B.K.; Jovanović, S.Č.; Stojanović, G.S.; Marin, P.D. Geographically related variation in epicuticular wax traits of *Pinus nigra* populations from Southern Carpathians and Central Balkans—Taxonomic considerations. *Chem. Biodivers.* **2016**, *13*, 931–942. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201500322>.
152. Nikolić, B.; Tešević, V.; Đorđević, I.; Todosijević, M.; Jadranin, M.; Bojović, S.; Marin, P.D. Population variability of nonacosan-10-ol and *n*-alkanes in needle cuticular waxes of Macedonian pine (*Pinus peuce* GRISEB.). *Chem. Biodivers.* **2012**, *9*, 1155–1165. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201100316>.
153. Nikolić, B.; Todosijević, M.; Đorđević, I.; Stanković, J.; Mitić, Z.S.; Tešević, V.; Marin, P.D. Nonacosan-10-ol and *n*-alkanes in leaves of *Pinus pinaster*. *Nat. Prod. Commun.* **2020**, *15*, 1934578X20926073. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1934578X20926073>.
154. Bella, E.; Liepelt, S.; Parducci, L.; Drouzas, D. Genetic insights into the hybrid origin of *Abies × borisii-regis* Mattf. *Plant Syst. Evol.* **2015**, *301*, 749–759. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-014-1113-x>.
155. Siskas, E.; Bella, E.; Papageorgiou, A.C.; Kappas, I.; Tsiropidis, I.; Drouzas, A.D. DNA-based identification of *Abies cephalonica*, *A. alba* and their hybrid (*A. × borisii-regis*) at the individual-level. *Plant Biosyst.* **2022**, *157*, 24–37. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2022.2073396>.
156. Aleksić, J.M.; Geburek, T. Quaternary population dynamics of an endemic conifer, *Picea omorika*, and their conservation implications. *Conserv. Genet.* **2014**, *15*, 87–107. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-013-0523-6>.
157. Naydenov, K.D.; Naydenov, M.K.; Alexandrov, A.; Vasilevski, K.; Hinkov, G.; Metevski, V.; Nikolić, B.; Goudiaby, V.; Riegert, D.; Paitaridou, D.; et al. Ancient genetic bottleneck and Plio-Pleistocene climatic changes imprinted the phylogeography of European Black Pine populations. *Eur. J. For. Res.* **2017**, *136*, 767–786. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-017-1069-9>.
158. Scotti-Saintagne, C.; Giovannelli, G.; Scotti, I.; Roig, A.; Spanu, I.; Vendramin, G.G.; Guibal, F.; Fady, B. Recent, Late Pleistocene fragmentation shaped the phylogeographic structure of the European black pine (*Pinus nigra* Arnold). *Tree Genet. Genomes* **2019**, *15*, 76. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-019-1381-2>.
159. Ballian, D. Procjena genetičke varijabilnosti obične jele (*Abies alba* Mill.) analizom cpDNA u dijelu prirodnih populacija Bosne i Hercegovine i Hrvatske. *Šumarski List* **2003**, *7–8*, 347–357.
160. Ballian, D.; Kajba, D. Estimation of the isoenzyme genetic variability of the silver fir (*Abies alba* Mill.) from the area of Gorski kotar (Croatia). *Period. Biol.* **2005**, *107*, 67–72.

161. Ziegenhagen, B.; Fady, B.; Kuhlenkamp, V.; Liepelt, S. Differentiating groups of *Abies* species with a simple molecular marker. *Silvae Genet.* **2005**, *54*, 123–126. <https://doi.org/10.1515/sg-2005-0019>.
162. Voleková, M.; Krajmerová, D.; Paule, L.; Zhelev, P.; Gömöry, D. Natural hybridization in the genus *Abies*: II. Mitochondrial variation in the hybridogenous complex *Abies alba*—*A. borisii-regis*—*A. cephalonica*. *Folia Oecol.* **2014**, *41*, 100–105.
163. Balao Robles, F.J.; Lorenzo Romero, M.T.; Sánchez Robles, J.M.; Paun, O.; García Castaño, J.L.; Terrab, B.A. Early diversification and permeable species boundaries in the Mediterranean firs. *Ann. Bot.* **2020**, *125*, 495–507. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcz186>.
164. Fady, B.; Conkle, M.T. Allozyme variation and possible phylogenetic implications in *Abies cephalonica* Loudon and some related eastern Mediterranean firs. *Silvae Genet.* **1993**, *42*, 351–359.
165. Hrivnák, M.; Paule, L.; Krajmerová, D.; Kulaç, Ş.; Şevik, H.; Turna, İ.; Gömöry, D. Genetic variation in Tertiary relics: The case of eastern-Mediterranean *Abies* (Pinaceae). *Ecol. Evol.* **2017**, *7*, 10018–10030. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.3519>.
166. Krajmerová, D.; Paule, L.; Zhelev, P.; Voleková, M.; Evtimov, I.; Gagov, V.; Gömöry, D. Natural hybridization in eastern-Mediterranean firs: The case of *Abies borisii-regis*. *Plant Biosyst.* **2016**, *150*, 1189–1199. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2015.1011723>.
167. Liepelt, S.; Mayland-Quellhorst, E.; Lahme, M.; Ziegenhagen, B. Contrasting geographical patterns of ancient and modern genetic lineages in Mediterranean *Abies* species. *Plant Syst. Evol.* **2010**, *284*, 141–151. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-009-0247-8>.
168. Sánchez-Robles, J.M.; Balao, F.; Terrab, A.; García-Castaño, J.L.; Ortiz, M.A.; Vela, E.; Talavera, S. Phylogeography of SW Mediterranean firs: Different European origins for the North African *Abies* species. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **2014**, *79*, 42–53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2014.06.005>.
169. Scaltsoyiannes, A.; Tsaksira, M.; Drouzas, A.D. Allozyme differentiation in the Mediterranean firs (*Abies*, Pinaceae). A first comparative study with phylogenetic implications. *Plant Syst. Evol.* **1999**, *216*, 289–307. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01084404>.
170. Vicario, F.; Vendramin, G.G.; Rossi, P.; Lio, P.; Giannini, R. Allozyme, chloroplast DNA and RAPD markers for determining genetic relationships between *Abies alba* and the relic population of *Abies nebrodensis*. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **1995**, *90*, 1012–1018. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00222915>.
171. Conte, L.; Cristofolini, G. Assessment of RAPD variation in *Abies nebrodensis* (Lojac.) Mattei (Pinaceae) using haploid tissue analysis. *Isr. J. Plant Sci.* **2003**, *51*, 199–206. <https://doi.org/10.1560/7GC2-6YA5-7XJL-M03R>.
172. Vendramin, G.G.; Michelozzi, M.; Lelli, L.; Tognetti, R. Genetic variation in *Abies nebrodensis*: A case study for a highly endangered species. *For. Gen.* **1995**, *2*, 171–175.
173. Parducci, L.; Szmidt, A.E.; Madaghiele, A.; Anzidei, M.; Vendramin, G.G. Genetic variation at chloroplast microsatellites (cpSSRs) in *Abies nebrodensis* (Lojac.) Mattei and three neighboring *Abies* species. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **2001**, *102*, 733–740. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s001220051704>.
174. Salaj, T.; Matusikova, I.; Panis, B.; Swennen, R.; Salaj, J. Recovery and characterisation of hybrid firs (*Abies alba* × *A. cephalonica*, *Abies alba* × *A. numidica*) embryogenic tissues after cryopreservation. *Cryo Lett.* **2010**, *31*, 206–217.
175. Lucău-Dănilă, A.; Scheepers, D.; Lucău-Dănilă, C.; Horodnic, S.; Gleizes, M.; Comps, B.; Briquet, M. Allozyme, terpene and RAPD markers for determining genetic relationships among populations of Silver Fir (*Abies alba* Mill.). *Anu. Muzeului Natl. Bucov.* **1999**, *15*, 125–137.
176. Conte, L.; Cotti, C.; Schicchi, R.; Raimondo, F.M.; Cristofolini, G. Detection of ephemeral genetic sub-structure in the narrow endemic *Abies nebrodensis* (Lojac.) Mattei (Pinaceae) using RAPD markers. *Plant Biosyst.* **2004**, *138*, 279–289. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11263500400015515>.
177. Jaramillo-Correa, J.P.; Grivet, D.; Terrab, A.; Kurt, Y.; De-Lucas, A.I.; Wahid, N.; Vendramin, G.G.; González-Martínez, S.C. The Strait of Gibraltar as a major biogeographic barrier in Mediterranean conifers: A comparative phylogeographic survey. *Mol. Ecol.* **2010**, *19*, 5452–5468. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04912.x>.
178. Gros-Louis, M.C.; Bousquet, J.; Pâques, L.E.; Isabel, N. Species-diagnostic markers in *Larix* spp. based on RAPDs and nuclear, cpDNA, and mtDNA gene sequences, and their phylogenetic implications. *Tree Genet. Genomes* **2005**, *1*, 50–63. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-005-0007-z>.
179. Gramazio, P.; Plesa, I.M.; Truta, A.M.; Sestras, A.F.; Vilanova, S.; Plazas, M.; Vicente, O.; Boscaiu, M.; Prohens, J.; Sestras, R.E. Highly informative SSR genotyping reveals large genetic diversity and limited differentiation in European larch (*Larix decidua*) populations from Romania. *Turk. J. Agric. For.* **2018**, *42*, 165–175. <https://doi.org/10.3906/tar-1801-41>.
180. Heitkam, T.; Schulte, L.; Weber, B.; Liedtke, S.; Breitenbach, S.; Kögler, A.; Morgenstern, K.; Brückner, M.; Tröber, U.; Wolf, H.; et al. Comparative repeat profiling of two closely related conifers (*Larix decidua* and *Larix kaempferi*) reveals high genome similarity with only few fast-evolving satellite DNAs. *Front. Genet.* **2021**, *12*, 683668. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2021.683668>.
181. Sestras, A.F.; Holonec, L.; Sestras, R.E. Genetic variation and potential genetic resources of several Romanian larch populations. *Turk. J. Agric. For.* **2017**, *41*, 82–91. <https://doi.org/10.3906/tar-1610-57>.
182. Arcade, A.; Anselin, F.; Rampant, P.F.; Lesage, M.C.; Paques, L.E.; Prat, D. Application of AFLP, RAPD and ISSR markers to genetic mapping of European and Japanese larch. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **2000**, *100*, 299–307. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s001220050039>.
183. Pluess, A.R. Pursuing glacier retreat: Genetic structure of a rapidly expanding *Larix decidua* population. *Mol. Ecol.* **2011**, *20*, 473–485. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04972.x>.
184. Zhulanov, A.; Chertov, N.; Nechaeva, Y.; Pechenkina, V.; Zhulanova, L.; Boronnikova, S.; Kalendar, R. Genetic uniqueness and genetic structure of populations of *Picea obovata* Ledeb. and *Larix sibirica* Ledeb. in the Northern and Middle Urals. *Forests* **2023**, *14*, 1822. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f14091822>.

185. Kozyrenko, M.M.; Artyukova, E.V.; Reunova, G.D.; Levina, E.A.; Zhuravlev, Y.N. Genetic diversity and relationships among Siberian and Far Eastern larches inferred from RAPD analysis. *Russ. J. Genet.* **2004**, *40*, 401–409. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:RUGE.0000024978.25458.f7>.
186. Chertov, N.; Vasilyeva, Y.; Zhulanov, A.; Nechaeva, Y.; Boronnikova, S.; Kalendar, R. Genetic structure and geographical differentiation of *Larix sibirica* Ledeb. in the Urals. *Forests* **2021**, *12*, 1401. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f12101401>.
187. Vasyutkina, E.A.; Adrianova, I.Y.; Kozyrenko, M.M.; Artyukova, E.V.; Reunova, G.D.; Zhuravlev, Y.N. Genetic differentiation of larch populations from the *Larix olgensis* range and their relationships with larches from Siberia and Russian Far East. *For. Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *3*, 132–138. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21580103.2007.9656329>.
188. Novikova, S.V.; Oreshkova, N.V.; Sharov, V.V.; Semerikov, V.L.; Krutovsky, K.V. Genetic structure and geographical differentiation of Siberian larch (*Larix sibirica* Ledeb.) populations based on genome genotyping by sequencing. *Contemp. Probl.* **2023**, *16*, 631–644. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S1995425523050086>.
189. Oreshkova, N.V.; Putintseva, Y.A.; Sharov, V.V.; Kuzmin, D.A.; Krutovsky, K.V. Development of microsatellite genetic markers in Siberian larch (*Larix sibirica* Ledeb.) based on the de novo whole genome sequencing. *Russ. J. Genet.* **2017**, *53*, 1194–1199. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S1022795417110096>.
190. Bondar, E.I.; Putintseva, Y.A.; Oreshkova, N.V.; Krutovsky, K.V. Siberian larch (*Larix sibirica* Ledeb.) chloroplast genome and development of polymorphic chloroplast markers. *BMC Bioinf.* **2019**, *20*, 47–52. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-018-2571-x>.
191. Celiński, K.; Kijak, H.; Wojnicka-Półtorak, A.; Buczkowska-Chmielewska, K.; Sokołowska, J.; Chudzińska, E. Effectiveness of the DNA barcoding approach for closely related conifers discrimination: A case study of the *Pinus mugo* complex. *C. R. Biol.* **2017**, *340*, 339–348. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crv.2017.06.002>.
192. Ballian, D. Procjena genetičke varijabilnosti obične jele (*Abies alba* Mill.) analizom izoenzima u dijelu prirodnih populacija Bosne i Hercegovine i Hrvatske. *Sumar. List.* **2003**, *3–4*, 135–151.
193. Ballian, D.; Bogunić, F.; Božič, G. Genetic Research of Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.) from extreme areas of *Spaghno-Piceetum* in Slovenia & Bosnia and Herzegovina. *Dendrobiology* **2009**, *61*, 137–144.
194. Bucci, G.; Menozzi, P. Spatial autocorrelation and linkage of Mendelian RAPD markers in a population of *Picea abies* Karst. *Mol. Ecol.* **2002**, *11*, 305–315. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.2002.01444.x>.
195. Binelli, G.; Bucci, G. A genetic linkage map of *Picea abies* Karst., based on RAPD markers, as a tool in population genetics. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **1994**, *88*, 283–288. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00223633>.
196. Bucci, T.L.; Kubisiak, W.L.; Nance, G.; Menozzi, P. A population ‘consensus’, partial linkage map of *Picea abies* Karst. based on RAPD markers. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **1997**, *95*, 6433654. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s001220050607>.
197. Lehner, A.; Campbell, M.A.; Wheeler, N.C.; Pöykkö, T.; Glössl, J.; Kreike, J.; Neale, D.B. Identification of a RAPD marker linked to the pendula gene in Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst. f. *pendula*). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **1995**, *91*, 1092–1094. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00223924>.
198. Bucci, G.; Menozzi, P. Genetic variation of RAPD markers in a *Picea abies* Karst. population. *Heredity* **1995**, *75*, 188–197.
199. Collignon, A.M.; Favre, J.M. Contribution to the postglacial history at the western margin of *Picea abies*’ natural area using RAPD markers. *Ann. Bot.* **2000**, *85*, 713–722. <https://doi.org/10.1006/anbo.2000.1119>.
200. Bouillé, M.; Senneville, S.; Bousquet, J. Discordant mtDNA and cpDNA phylogenies indicate geographic speciation and reticulation as driving factors for the diversification of the genus *Picea*. *Tree Genet. Genomes* **2011**, *7*, 469–484. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-010-0349-z>.
201. Ran, J.H.; Wei, X.X.; Wang, X.Q. Molecular phylogeny and biogeography of *Picea* (Pinaceae): Implications for phylogeographical studies using cytoplasmic haplotypes. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **2006**, *41*, 405–419. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2006.05.039>.
202. Nkongolo, K.K. RAPD and cytological analyses of *Picea* spp. from different provenances: Genomic relationships among taxa. *Heredity* **1999**, *130*, 137–144. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-5223.1999.00137.x>.
203. Kravchenko, A.N.; Ekart, A.K.; Larionova, A.Y. Genetic diversity and differentiation of Siberian spruce populations at nuclear microsatellite loci. *Russ. J. Genet.* **2016**, *52*, 1142–1148. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S1022795416090088>.
204. Ballian, D.; Gömöry, D.; Longauer, R.; Mikić, T.; Paule, L. Izoenzimska analiza uključujući problem reprodukcije i konzervacije, populacija pančičeve omorike (*Picea omorika* (Panč.) Purk.) sa Višegradskog područja. *Glas. Šumarskog Fak. Banja Luci (Bosnia Herzeg.)* **2004**, *3*, 23–34.
205. Aleksić, J.M.; Geburek, T. Mitochondrial DNA reveals complex genetic structuring in a stenoendemic conifer *Picea omorika* [(Panč.) Purk.] caused by its long persistence within the refugial Balkan region. *Plant Syst. Evol.* **2010**, *285*, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-009-0250-0>.
206. Nasri, N.; Bojovic, S.; Vendramin, G.G.; Fady, B. Population genetic structure of the relict Serbian spruce, *Picea omorika*, inferred from plastid DNA. *Plant Syst. Evol.* **2008**, *271*, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-007-0594-2>.
207. Güney, D.; Yahyaoglu, Z.; Bayraktar, A.; Atar, F.; Turna, I. Genetic diversity of *Picea orientalis* (L.) Link populations in Turkey. *Sumar. List.* **2019**, *11–12*, 539–547. <https://doi.org/10.31298/sl.143.11-12.4>.
208. Özdilek, A. Assessment of Genetic Diversity in *Picea orientalis* (L.) Link. in Genetic Resources by Microsatellites. Ph.D. Thesis, The Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences of Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Turkey, 2015.
209. Gülsoy, A.M. The Phylogenetic Analysis of *Picea orientalis* Populations from Northeastern Turkey with Respect to Non-Coding Trn and Matk Regions of Chloroplast Genome. Master’s Thesis, Middle East Technical University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Biology, Ankara, Turkey, 2011.

210. Campbell, C.S.; Wright, W.A.; Cox, M.; Vining, T.F.; Major, C.S.; Arsenault, M.P. Nuclear ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS1) in *Picea* (Pinaceae): Sequence divergence and structure. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **2005**, *35*, 165–185. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2004.11.010>.
211. Yusuf, K.; Cengel, B.; Velioglu, E.; González-Martínez, S.C.; Grivet, D.; Kaya, N. Chloroplast microsatellite diversity of *Pinus brutia* Ten. and *Pinus halepensis* Mill. populations across the Mediterranean basin: Inferences of their distributions. *For. Syst.* **2023**, *32*, e008. <https://doi.org/10.5424/fs/2023322-19729>.
212. Al-Hawija, B.N.; Wagner, V.; Hensen, I. Genetic comparison between natural and planted populations of *Pinus brutia* and *Cupressus sempervirens* in Syria. *Turk. J. Agric. For.* **2014**, *38*, 267–280. <https://doi.org/10.3906/tar-1211-24>.
213. Houminer, N.; Doron-Faigenboim, A.; Shklar, G.; De La Torre, A.R.; Neale, D.; Korol, L.; Ashkenazi, M.; Moshe, Y.; Riov, J.; Osem, Y.; et al. Transcriptome-based single-nucleotide polymorphism markers between *Pinus brutia* and *Pinus halepensis* and the analysis of their hybrids. *Tree Genet. Genomes* **2021**, *17*, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-021-01496-w>.
214. Tozkar, C.Ö. Comparative Sequence Analysis of the Internal Transcribed Spacer 2 Region of Turkish Red Pine (*Pinus brutia* Ten.) and Natural Aleppo Pine (*Pinus halepensis* Mill.) Populations from Turkey. Master's Thesis, Orta Doğu Teknik Üniversitesi, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Biology, Ankara, Turkey, 2007.
215. Gad, M.A.; Mohamed, S.Y. Phylogenetic evaluation of some *Pinus* species from different genetic resources using protein, isozymes, RAPD and ISSR analyses. *J. Am. Sci.* **2012**, *8*, 311–321.
216. Içgen, Y.; Kaya, Z.; Cengel, B.; Velioglu, E.; Öztürk, H.; Önde, S. Potential impact of forest management and tree improvement on genetic diversity of Turkish red pine (*Pinus brutia* Ten.) plantations in Turkey. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **2006**, *225*, 328–336. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2006.01.009>.
217. Kurt, Y.; González-Martínez, S.C.; Alia, R.; Isik, K. Genetic differentiation in *Pinus brutia* Ten. using molecular markers and quantitative traits: The role of altitude. *Ann. For. Sci.* **2012**, *69*, 345–351. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-011-0169-9>.
218. Semerikova, S.A.; Semerikov, N.V. Low variation and high differentiation of marginal relict populations of *Pinus brutia* Ten. in the Crimean–Caucasian region. *Russ. J. Ecol.* **2020**, *51*, 20–30. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S1067413620010117>.
219. Georgolopoulos, G.; Parducci, L.; Drouzas, A.D. A short phylogenetically informative cpDNA fragment for the identification of *Pinus* species. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* **2016**, *66*, 166–172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bse.2016.03.001>.
220. Gugerli, F.; Rügge, M.; Vendramin, G.G. Gradual decline in genetic diversity in Swiss stone pine populations (*Pinus cembra*) across Switzerland suggests postglacial re-colonization into the Alps from a common eastern glacial refugium. *Bot. Helv.* **2009**, *119*, 13–22. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00035-009-0052-6>.
221. Lendvay, B.; Höhn, M.; Brodbeck, S.; Mîndrescu, M.; Gugerli, F. Genetic structure in *Pinus cembra* from the Carpathian Mountains inferred from nuclear and chloroplast microsatellites confirms post-glacial range contraction and identifies introduced individuals. *Tree Genet. Genomes* **2014**, *10*, 1419–1433. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-014-0770-9>.
222. Rellstab, C.; Dauphin, B.; Zoller, S.; Brodbeck, S.; Gugerli, F. Using transcriptome sequencing and pooled exome capture to study local adaptation in the giga-genome of *Pinus cembra*. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **2019**, *19*, 536–551. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12986>.
223. Höhn, M.; Hufnagel, L.; Cseke, K.; Vendramin, G. Current range characteristics of Swiss stone pine (*Pinus cembra* L.) along the Carpathians revealed by chloroplast SSR markers. *Acta Biol. Hung.* **2010**, *61*, 61–67. <https://doi.org/10.1556/ABiol.61.2010.Suppl.7>.
224. Wojnicka-Półtorak, A.; Celiński, K.; Chudzińska, E.; Prus-Głowacki, W.; Niemtur, S. Genetic resources of *Pinus cembra* L. marginal populations from the Tatra Mountains: Implications for Conservation. *Biochem. Genet.* **2015**, *53*, 49–61. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10528-015-9670-4>.
225. Gugerli, F.; Senn, J.; Anzidei, M.; Madaghiele, A.; Büchler, U.; Sperisen, C.; Vendramin, G.G. Chloroplast microsatellites and mitochondrial nad1 intron 2 sequences indicate congruent phylogenetic relationships among Swiss stone pine (*Pinus cembra*), Siberian stone pine (*Pinus sibirica*), and Siberian dwarf pine (*Pinus pumila*). *Mol. Ecol.* **2001**, *10*, 1489–1497. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.2001.01285.x>.
226. Gugerli, F.; Brodbeck, S.; Lendvay, B.; Dauphin, B.; Bagnoli, F.; van Der Knaap, W.O.; Tinner, W.; Höhn, M.; Vendramin, G.G.; Morales-Molino, C.; et al. A range-wide postglacial history of Swiss stone pine based on molecular markers and palaeoecological evidence. *J. Biogeogr.* **2023**, *50*, 1049–1062. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.14586>.
227. Höhn, M.; Gugerli, F.; Abran, P.; Bisztray, G.; Buonamici, A.; Cseke, K.; Hufnagel, F.; Quintela-Sabaris, C.; Sebastiani, F.; Vendramin, G.G. Variation in the chloroplast DNA of Swiss stone pine (*Pinus cembra* L.) reflects contrasting post-glacial history of populations from the Carpathians and the Alps. *J. Biogeogr.* **2009**, *36*, 1798–1806. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2009.02122.x>.
228. Köbölkuti, Z.A.; Tóth, E.G.; Jahn, D.; Heinze, B.; Höhn, M. SNP marker development in *Pinus sylvestris* L. in stress-responsive genes characterized from *Pinus cembra* L. transcriptomes. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* **2020**, *47*, 4841–4847. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-020-05527-y>.
229. Ceuca, V.; Meana, O.V. *Pinus cembra* L. subsp. *cembra* genetic variability in Roumanian Carpathians-analyzed with morfological ANA molecular markers. Bulletin of University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Cluj-Napoca. *Horticulturae* **2007**, *64*, 1996. <https://doi.org/10.15835/buasvmcn-hort:1996>.
230. Pinzauti, F.; Sebastiani, F.; Budde, K.B.; Fady, B.; González-Martínez, S.C.; Vendramin, G.G. Nuclear microsatellites for *Pinus pinea* (Pinaceae), a genetically depauperate tree, and their transferability to *P. halepensis*. *Am. J. Bot.* **2012**, *99*, e362–e365. <https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1200064>.

231. Nikolić, B.; Kovačević, D.; Mladenović-Drinić, S.; Nikolić, A.; Mitić, Z.; Bojović, S.; Marin, P.D. Relationships among some pines from subgenera *Pinus* and *Strobos* revealed by nuclear EST-microsatellites. *Genetika* **2018**, *50*, 69–84. <https://doi.org/10.2298/GENSR1801069N>.
232. Leonarduzzi, C.; Spanu, I.; Labriola, M.; González-Martínez, S.C.; Piotti, A.; Vendramin, G.G. Development and characterization of three highly informative EST-SSR multiplexes for *Pinus halepensis* Mill. and their transferability to other Mediterranean pines. *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* **2016**, *34*, 993–1002. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11105-016-0980-4>.
233. Soto, A.; Robledo-Arnuncio, J.J.; González-Martínez, S.C.; Smouse, P.E.; Alía, R. Climatic niche and neutral genetic diversity of the six Iberian pine species: A retrospective and prospective view. *Mol. Ecol.* **2010**, *19*, 1396–1409. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04571.x>.
234. Naydenov, K.D.; Tremblay, F.M.; Bergeron, Y.; Alexandrov, A.; Fenton, N. Dissimilar patterns of *Pinus heldreichii* Christ. populations in Bulgaria revealed by chloroplast microsatellites and terpenes analysis. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* **2005**, *33*, 133–148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bse.2004.06.017>.
235. Boratyńska, K.; Działuk, A.; Lewandowski, A.; Marcysiak, K.; Jasińska, A.K.; Sobierajska, K.; Tomaszewski, D.; Burczyk, J.; Boratynski, A. Geographic distribution of quantitative traits variation and genetic variability in natural populations of *Pinus mugo* in Central Europe. *Dendrobiology* **2014**, *72*, 65–84. <https://doi.org/10.12657/denbio.072.006>.
236. Działuk, A.; Boratynski, A.; Boratynska, K.; Burczyk, J. Geographic patterns of genetic diversity of *Pinus mugo* (Pinaceae) in Central European mountains. *Dendrobiology* **2012**, *68*, 31–41.
237. Działuk, A.; Boratyńska, K.; Romo, A.; Boratynski, A. Taxonomic and geographic variation of the *Pinus mugo* complex on chloroplast microsatellite markers. *Syst. Biodivers.* **2017**, *15*, 464–479. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14772000.2016.1257518>.
238. Heuertz, M.; Teufel, J.; González-Martínez, S.C.; Soto, A.; Fady, B.; Alía, R.; Vendramin, G.G. Geography determines genetic relationships between species of mountain pine (*Pinus mugo* complex) in western Europe. *J. Biogeogr.* **2010**, *37*, 541–556. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2009.02223.x>.
239. Wachowiak, W.; Odrzykoski, I.; Myczko, Ł.; Prus-Głowacki, W. Lack of evidence on hybrid swarm in the sympatric population of *Pinus mugo* and *P. sylvestris*. *Flora* **2006**, *201*, 307–316. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.flora.2005.08.004>.
240. Slavov, G.T.; Zhelev, P. Allozyme variation, differentiation, and inbreeding in populations of *Pinus mugo* in Bulgaria. *Can. J. For. Res.* **2004**, *34*, 2611–2617. <https://doi.org/10.1139/x04-127>.
241. Bogunić, F.; Siljak-Yakovlev, S.; Muratović, E.; Ballian, D. Different karyotype patterns among allopatric *Pinus nigra* (Pinaceae) populations revealed by molecular cytogenetics. *Plant Biol. (Stuttg)* **2011**, *13*, 194–200.
242. Żukowska, W.B.; Wachowiak, W. Nuclear microsatellite markers reveal the low genetic structure of *Pinus mugo* Turra (dwarf mountain pine) populations in Europe. *Plant Syst. Evol.* **2017**, *303*, 641–651. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-017-1395-x>.
243. Sannikov, S.N.; Petrova, I.V.; Schweingruber, F.; Egorov, E.V.; Parpan, T.V. Genetic differentiation of *Pinus mugo* Turra and *P. sylvestris* L. populations in the Ukrainian Carpathians and the Swiss Alps. *Russ. J. Ecol.* **2011**, *42*, 270–276. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S1067413611040151>.
244. Wachowiak, W.; Palme, A.E.; Savolainen, O. Speciation history of three closely related pines *Pinus mugo* (T.), *P. uliginosa* (N.) and *P. sylvestris* (L.). *Mol. Ecol.* **2011**, *20*, 1729–1743. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05037.x>.
245. Monteleone, I.; Ferrazzini, D.; Belletti, P. Effectiveness of neutral RAPD markers to detect genetic divergence between the subspecies *uncinata* and *mugo* of *Pinus mugo* Turra. *Silva Fenn.* **2007**, *40*, 391–406. <https://doi.org/10.14214/sf.476>.
246. Šarac, Z.; Dodoš, T.; Rajčević, N.; Bojović, S.; Marin, P.D.; Aleksić, J.M. Genetic patterns in *Pinus nigra* from the central Balkans inferred from plastid and mitochondrial data. *Silva Fenn.* **2015**, *49*, 1415. <https://doi.org/10.14214/sf.1415>.
247. Naydenov, K.D.; Mladenov, I.; Alexandrov, A.; Naydenov, M.K.; Gyuleva, V.; Goudiaby, V.; Nikolić, B.; Kamary, S. Patterns of genetic diversity resulting from bottlenecks in European black pine, with implications on local genetic conservation and management practices in Bulgaria. *Eur. J. For. Res.* **2015**, *134*, 669–681. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-015-0881-3>.
248. Naydenov, K.D.; Naydenov, M.K.; Alexandrov, A.; Vasilevski, K.; Gyuleva, V.; Matevski, V.; Nikolic, B.; Goudiaby, V.; Bogunic, F.; Paitaridou, D.; et al. Ancient split of major genetic lineages of European Black Pine: Evidence from chloroplast DNA. *Tree Genet. Genomes* **2016**, *12*, 68. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-016-1022-y>.
249. Afzal-Rafii, Z.; Dodd, R.S. Chloroplast DNA supports a hypothesis of glacial refugia over postglacial recolonization in disjunct populations of black pine (*Pinus nigra*) in western Europe. *Mol. Ecol.* **2007**, *16*, 723–736. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2006.03183.x>.
250. Naydenov, K.D.; Tremblay, F.M.; Fenton, N.J.; Alexandrov, A. Structure of *Pinus nigra* Arn. populations in Bulgaria revealed by chloroplast microsatellites and terpenes analysis: Provenance tests. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* **2006**, *34*, 562–574. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bse.2006.01.011>.
251. Liber, Z.; Nikolić, T.; Mitić, B.; Šatović, Z. RAPD markers and black pine [*Pinus nigra* Arnold] intraspecies taxonomy-evidence from the study of nine populations. *Acta Soc. Bot. Pol.* **2003**, *72*, 249–257. <https://doi.org/10.5586/asbp.2003.033>.
252. Rubio-Moraga, A.; Candel-Perez, D.; Lucas-Borja, M.E.; Tiscar, P.A.; Viñegla, B.; Linares, J.C.; Gómez-Gómez, L.; Ahrazem, O. Genetic diversity of *Pinus nigra* Arn. populations in southern Spain and northern Morocco revealed by inter-simple sequence repeat profiles. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2012**, *13*, 5645–5658. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms13055645>.
253. Cengel, B.; Tayanç, Y.; Kandemir, G.; Velioğlu, E.; Alan, M.; Kaya, Z. Magnitude and efficiency of genetic diversity captured from seed stands of *Pinus nigra* (Arnold) subsp. *pallasiana* in established seed orchards and plantations. *New For.* **2012**, *43*, 303–317. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11056-011-9282-8>.

254. Naydenov, K.D.; Naydenov, M.K.; Alexandrov, A.; Gurov, T.; Gyuleva, V.; Hinkov, G.; Ivanovska, S.; Tsarev, A.; Nikolić, B.; Goudiaby, V.; et al. Speciation and historical migration pattern interaction: Examples from *P. nigra* and *P. sylvestris* phylogeography. *Eur. J. For. Res.* **2023**, *142*, 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-022-01513-0>.
255. Perdiguero, P.; Soto, Á.; Collada, C. Comparative analysis of *Pinus pinea* and *Pinus pinaster* dehydrins under drought stress. *Tree Genet. Genomes* **2015**, *11*, 70. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-015-0899-1>.
256. Burban, C.; Petit, R.J. Phylogeography of maritime pine inferred with organelle markers having contrasted inheritance. *Mol. Ecol.* **2003**, *12*, 1487–1495. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.2003.01817.x>.
257. Fallour, D.; Fady, B.; Lefèvre, F. Study on isozyme variation in *Pinus pinea* L.: Evidence of low polymorphism. *Silvae Genet.* **1997**, *46*, 201–207.
258. Vendramin, G.G.; Fady, B.; González-Martínez, S.C.; Hu, F.S.; Scotti, I.; Sebastiani, F.; Soto, A.; Petit, R.J. Genetically depauperate but widespread: The case of an emblematic Mediterranean pine. *Evolution* **2008**, *62*, 680–688. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2007.00294.x>.
259. Ábrahám, B.; Miklóssy, I.; Kovács, E.; Tamás, É.; Mészáros, I.; Szilveszter, S.; Brezeanu, A.; Lányi, S. Genetic analysis of *Pinus sylvestris* L. and *Pinus sylvestris* forma *turfosa* L. using RAPD markers. *Not. Sci. Biol.* **2010**, *2*, 129–132. <https://doi.org/10.15835/nsb213611>.
260. Naugžemys, D.; Žvingila, D.; Aučina, A.; Rančelis, V. Comparison of DNA polymorphism in seedlings of *Pinus sylvestris* L. from different populations by RAPD markers. *Biologija* **2006**, *52*, 30–35.
261. Szmidt, A.E.; Wang, X.R.; Lu, M.Z. Empirical assessment of allozyme and RAPD variation in *Pinus sylvestris* (L.) using haploid tissue analysis. *Heredity* **1996**, *76*, 412–420. <https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1996.59>.
262. Yazdani, R.; Yeh, F.C.; Rimsha, J. Genomic mapping of *Pinus sylvestris* (L.) using random amplified polymorphic DNA markers. *For. Genet.* **1995**, *2*, 109–116.
263. Robledo-Arnuncio, J.J.; Collada, C.; Alía, R.; Gil, L. Genetic structure of montane isolates of *Pinus sylvestris* L. in a Mediterranean refugial area. *J. Biogeogr.* **2005**, *32*, 595–605. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2004.01196.x>.
264. Hall, D.; Olsson, J.; Zhao, W.; Kroon, J.; Wennström, U.; Wang, X.-R. Divergent patterns between phenotypic and genetic variation in Scots pine. *Plant Commun.* **2021**, *2*, 100139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xplc.2020.100139>.
265. Bruxaux, J.; Zhao, W.; Hall, D.; Curtu, A.L.; Androsiuk, P.; Drouzas, A.D.; Gailing, O.; Konrad, H.; Sullivan, A.R.; Semerikov, V.; et al. Scots pine—Panmixia and the elusive signal of genetic adaptation. *New Phytol.* **2024**, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.19563>.
266. Pawlaczyk, E.M.; Bączkiewicz, A.; Wawrzyniak, P.K.; Czołpińska, M.; Gonera, P.; Buczkowska-Chmielewska, K. Population differences in morphological and anatomical traits of *Pinus mugo* Turra needles from the Polish part of the Tatra Mountains. *Leśne Pr. Badaw. (For. Res. Pap.)* **2017**, *78*, 67–76. <https://doi.org/10.1515/frp-2017-0007>.
267. Popović, V.; Šešlija Jovanović, D.; Lučić, A.; Rakonjac, L.; Jovanović, S.; Vasiljević, A.; Miljković, D. Spatial variation of morphological needle traits of silver fir (*Abies alba* Mill.) populations in the Balkan peninsula in relation to climatic factors. *Sum. List.* **2022**, *146*, 309–318. <https://doi.org/10.31298/sl.146.5-6.3>.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.