# Original Scientific paper 10.7251/AGRENG2303114S UDC 630\*18:582.632.1/.2(497.11 Kosmaj) PLANTS AS INDICATORS OF SITE CONDITIONS IN MIXED FORESTS OF SESSILE OAK AND HORNBEAM IN THE AREA OF KOSMAJ MOUNTAIN IN SERBIA

Snežana STAJIĆ<sup>\*1</sup>, Vlado ČOKEŠA<sup>1</sup>, Zoran MILETIĆ<sup>1</sup>, Violeta BABIĆ<sup>2</sup>, Saša EREMIJA<sup>1</sup>, Zoran PODUŠKA<sup>1</sup>, Miroslava MARKOVIĆ<sup>1</sup>

> <sup>1</sup>Institute of Forestry, Belgrade, Republic of Serbia <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Forestry, University of Belgrade, Republic of Serbia \*Corresponding author: snezanastajic@yahoo.com

### ABSTRACT

The paper presents ecological properties of plant species, occurring in the forest of the sessile oak and hornbeam community (Querco petraeae-Carpinetum betuli Rudski 1949. s.l.) in the area of Kosmaj in Serbia. The sessile oak and hornbeam forests in Serbia are conditioned by orographic and edaphic factors, i.e., they occur as extrazonal vegetation and cover significantly smaller areas than in the Illyrian province. In the area of Kosmaj, these forests occur at lower altitudes (300 - 400 m), with eastern to northeastern aspects, and the slope of 15-23°. Differentiation of flora was done according to membership in certain ecological plant groups, according to most significant ecological factors: soil humidity, soil acidity, quantity of nitrogen in the soil, light and temperature. According to the indicator values of plant species, the community of sessile oak and hornbeam is mesophilic in terms of humidity (F - 2.88), neutrophilic-basophilic (R - 3,32) in terms of acidity, mesotrophic in terms of soil nitrogen supply (N - 2.82), semisciophilic regarding light (L - 2,85), and mesothermal in terms of heat (T - 3,39). Indicator values of plants are suitable to indirectly assess environmental conditions and can help to estimate the conditions, for which no measurements are available. Therefore, they can be used as useful indicators in monitoring environmental changes.

Keywords: Indicator values of plants, sessile oak and hornbeam forests, Serbia.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Environmental factors have an important impact on the structures and dynamics of plant communities. Species composition and changes in abundance and coverage of individual species are useful indicators in studying and monitoring environmental changes. At the same time, indirect assessment of site conditions, through indicator values of plants has become common usage in nature conservation management. Ellenberg indicator values (Ellenberg et al., 1991) are the most commonly used to estimate site conditions from species composition, when measured values of environmental variables are not available (Hill et al.,

1997; Axmanova et al., 2012). Knowledge of ecology, i.e., relationships of plant species to environmental conditions and biological species characteristics is of great importance in silviculture. It provides firm grounds on which stand silvicultural approaches and treatments can be determined and appropriate methods of natural regeneration and forest tending selected. Proper selection of tree species during afforestation ensures the improvement of biological diversity, while preserving endangered and rare plant species. Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine site conditions in the investigated forest community of sessile oakhornbeam (*Querco petraeae-Carpinetum betuli* Rudski 1949 s.l.) in the area of Kosmaj in Serbia, from species composition.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

The research was conducted in the protected area of Kosmaj Mountain (Serbia). The research of site conditions and floristic composition was performed by means of series of trials, conducted in mixed sessile oak-hornebam forest. Field surveys were conducted in 2016 and 2017. Floristic sampling was done on a floristically homogeneous surface area with a plot size of 900 m<sup>2</sup> (30 x 30 m). In each plot, a complete floristic list of all vascular plants (tree, shrub, and herb layers separately) was recorded using the Braun-Blanquet scale (Braun-Blanquet, 1964). Plant species were determined based on the following literature sources: Flora of Serbia I-X (Josifović et al., 1972-1977; Sarić et al., 1986; 1992; Stevanović et al., 2012; ).The indicator values of plants and ecological optimums were determined using the Method of Kojić *et al.* (1997).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The sessile oak and common hornbeam forests in Serbia are conditioned by orographic and edaphic factors, i.e., they occur as extrazonal vegetation and cover significantly smaller areas than in the Illyrian province (Tomić and Rakonjac, 2013). Sessile oak-hornbeam community represents a climate-regional type of forest growing on silicate rocks of small mountain massifs of northern Serbia, on the edge of the Pannonian Basin, at altitudes ranging from 300 to 600 m (Dinić 1978; 1997; Cvjetićanin et al., 2013). The community of sessile oak and hornbeam (*Querco petraeae-Carpinetum betuli* Rudski 1949 s.l.) in the area of Kosmaj is found only in fragments, relevés were taken at altitudes ranging from 339 to 410 m, eastern to northeastern aspects, and the slope of 15-23° (Stajic et al., 2021).

The floristic structure of this forest phytocoenoses is characterized by biodiversity consisting of 30 families, 53 genera, and 66 plant species, of which two species are protected species – *Lilium martagon* and *Crataegus nigra* (Table 1). Most of the plant species belong to the following families: *Rosaceae* (17%), *Fagaceae* (6%), *Lamiaceae* (6%), *Poaceae* (6%) *Asparagaceae* (5%), *Asteraceae* (5%), *Cyperaceae* (5%) and *Rubiaceae* (5%). The Rosaceae family is also the most numerous with seven genera: *Crategus, Rubus, Prunus, Pyrus, Rosa, Fragaria* and *Geum*.

The mesophilic character of this community can easily be recognized by the characteristic set of species, most often found in the sessile oak-hornbeam forests of Serbia, which is determined by a combination of site factors. This is also indicated by the abundant presence of plants of the subatlantic-submediterranean floral element, such as *Ruscus aculeatus* L., *Euphorbia amygdaloides* L., *Hedera helix* L. and *Tamus communis* L. A distinctive feature of this sessile oak-hornbeam forest is the presence *Ruscus aculeatus*, a submediterranean species that is found in Serbia only in the warmest Pontic-Pannonian part (Stajic et al., 2020).

Family/species	Ecological indices						
	F	R	N	L	Т		
ACERACEAE			-				
Acer campestre L.	3	4	3	3	4		
APIACEAE							
Chaerophyllum temulum L.	3	3	4	3	3		
ARALIACEAE							
Hedera helix L.	3	3	3	2	4		
ASPARAGACEAE							
Asparagus tenuifolius Lam.	1	3	2	3	5		
Polygonatum odoratum (Mill.) Druce	2	3	2	3	3		
Ruscus aculeatus L.	3	3	3	2	5		
ASTERACEAE			-				
Doronicum columnae Ten.	4	4	4	3	2		
Lapsana communis L.	3	3	4	2	3		
Mycelis muralis (L.) Dumort.	3	3	3	2	3		
BRASSICACEAE							
Cardamine bulbifera (L.) Crantz	3	4	3	2	3		
CAMPANULACEAE							
Campanula patula L.	3	3	2	4	3		
Campanula persicifolia L.	2	4	2	3	4		
CAPRIFOLIACEAE							
Lonicera caprifolium L.	3	4	2	3	4		
Sambucus nigra L.	3	3	4	3	4		
CARYOPHYLLACEAE							
Moehringia trinervia (L.) Clairv.	3	2	4	2	2		
Silene viridiflora L.	3	3	2	4	4		
CORNACEAE			-				
Cornus mas L.	3	4	3	3	4		
Cornus sanguinea L.	3	4	3	3	3		
CORYLACEAE							
Carpinus betulus	3	3	3	2			
CYPERACEAE							
Carex divulsa Stokes	3	3	2	3	4		
Carex pilosa Scop.	3	2	3	2	3		

Table1. Floristic composition of Querco petraeae-Carpinetum betuli phytocenosis

Carex sylvatica Huds.  3  3  3  1  3    Dioscoreaceae	r					
Tanus communis L.  3  4  3  3  4    EUPHORBIACEAE  3  4  3  2  3    FabaCEAE  3  4  3  2  3    Lathyrus venetus (U.) Bernh.  3  4  3  2  3    FAGACEAE		3	3	3	1	3
EUPHORBIACEAE    Image: Constraint of the second	Dioscoreaceae					
Euphorbia amygdaloides L.  3  4  3  2  3    FABACEAE	Tamus communis L.	3	4	3	3	4
FABACEAE  Image: Constraint of the sector	EUPHORBIACEAE					
Lathyrus venetus (Miller) Wohlf.  2  3  2  2  4    Lathyrus vernus (L.) Bernh.  3  4  3  2  3    FAGACEAE	Euphorbia amygdaloides L.	3	4	3	2	3
Lathyrus vernus (L.) Bernh.  3  4  3  2  3    FAGACEAE	FABACEAE					
Lathyrus vernus (L.) Bernh.  3  4  3  2  3    FAGACEAE	Lathyrus venetus (Miller) Wohlf.	2	3	2	2	4
FAGACEAE  Image: Construct of the second	Lathyrus vernus (L.) Bernh.	3	4	3	2	3
Quercus cerris L.    2    3    2    4    4      Quercus fametto <sup>T</sup> en.    2    4    2    4    4      Quercus fametto <sup>T</sup> en.    2    4    2    4    4      Quercus petraea (Matt.) Liebl.    3    3    2    3    3      GERANIACEAE						
Quercus cerris L.    2    3    2    4    4      Quercus fametto <sup>T</sup> en.    2    4    2    4    4      Quercus fametto <sup>T</sup> en.    2    4    2    4    4      Quercus petraea (Matt.) Liebl.    3    3    2    3    3      GERANIACEAE	Fagus sylvatica L.	4	3	3	2	3
Quercus farnettoTen.    2    4    2    4    4      Quercus petraea (Matt.) Liebl.    3    3    2    3    3      Geranium robertianum L.    3    3    4    2    3      Geranium robertianum L.    3    3    4    2    3      HypeRICACEAE		2	3	2	4	4
Quercus petraea (Matt.) Liebl.    3    3    2    3    3      GERANIACEAE		2	4	2	4	4
GERANIACEAE  Image: marging transmark  Image: marging transmark <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>3</td> <td>3</td>			-		3	3
Geranium robertianum L.  3  3  4  2  3    HYPERICACEAE						
HYPERICACEAE  Image: margin and second se		3	3	4	2	3
Hypericum hirsutum L.  3  4  3  3  3    Ajuga reptans L.  3  3  3  3  3  3    Ajuga reptans L.  3  4  2  4  3  3  3    Glechoma hirsuta Waldst. & Kit.  3		5		•		5
LAMIACEAE  3  3  3  3  3    Ajuga reptans L.  3  3  3  3  3  3    Clinopodium vulgare L.  3  4  2  4  3    Glechoma hirsuta Waldst. & Kit.  3  3  3  3  3  3    Lamium maculatum L.  3  3  3  3  3  3  3    Lillam martagon L.  3  4  3  4  2  4    OENOTHERACEAE		3	4	3	3	3
Ajuga reptans L.  3  3  3  3  3    Clinopodium vulgare L.  3  4  2  4  3    Glechoma hirsuta Waldst. & Kit.  3  3  3  3  3    Lamium maculatum L.  3  3  3  3  3  3    LILIACEAE		5	т	5		2
Clinopodium vulgare L.  3  4  2  4  3    Glechoma hirsuta Waldst. & Kit.  3  3  3  3  5    Lamium maculatum L.  3  3  3  3  3  3    LILIACEAE		3	3	3	3	3
Glechoma hirsuta Waldst. & Kit.  3  3  3  3  3    Lamium maculatum L.  3  3  3  3  3  3    Lilium martagon L.  3  4  3  3  3  3    OENOTHERACEAE					Ş	
Lamium maculatum L.  3  3  3  3  3    LILIACEAE  3  4  3  3  3    Lilium martagon L.  3  4  3  3  3    OENOTHERACEAE			-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
LILIACEAE  3  4  3  3  3    Lilium martagon L.  3  4  3  3  3    OENOTHERACEAE					3	
Lilium martagon L.  3  4  3  3  3    OENOTHERACEAE		5	5	5	5	5
OENOTHERACEAE  Image: Constraint of the second s		2	1	2	2	2
Circaea lutetiana L.  4  3  4  2  4    OLEACEAE  2  4  2  3  4    Fraxinus ornus L.  2  4  2  3  4    Ligustrum vulgare L.  3  4  2  3  4    SCROPHULACEAE  3  2  2  3  2    Veronica officialis L.  3  2  2  3  2    Scrophularia nodosa L.  3  3  3  2  3    POACEAE		5	4	5	5	5
OLEACEAE  Image: Constraints of the second secon		1	2	1	2	1
Fraxinus ornus L.  2  4  2  3  4    Ligustrum vulgare L.  3  4  2  3  4    SCROPHULACEAE  3  2  2  3  2    Veronica officialis L.  3  2  2  3  2    Scrophularia nodosa L.  3  3  3  2  3    POACEAE		4	3	4	2	4
Ligustrum vulgare L.34234SCROPHULACEAE32232Veronica officialis L.32232Scrophularia nodosa L.33323POACEAE		2	4	<u>^</u>	2	4
SCROPHULACEAEImage: Scrophularia nodosa L.32232Scrophularia nodosa L.33323POACEAEImage: Scrophularia nodosa L.33323POACEAEImage: Scrophularia nodosa L.33323Brachypodium silvaticum (Hudson)243333Beauv.243333Dactylis glomerata L.33433Poa nemoralis L.22243Poa nemoralis L.33223POLYGONACEAEImage: Scrophularia nodosu L.43433RANUNCULACEAEImage: Scrophularia nodosu L.34333RANUNCULACEAEImage: Scrophularia nodosu L.34333Helleborus odorus Waldst. & Kit.31234ROSACEAEImage: Scrophularia nodosu L.34243	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Veronica officialis L.32232Scrophularia nodosa L.33323POACEAE		3	4	2	3	4
Scrophularia nodosa L.33323POACEAE				•		•
POACEAEImage: Constraint of the second s					Ş	
Brachypodium silvaticum (Hudson)24333Beauv.33433Dactylis glomerata L.33433Festuca ovina L.22243Poa nemoralis L.33223POLYGONACEAE		3	3	3	2	3
Beauv.33433Dactylis glomerata L.22243Festuca ovina L.22243Poa nemoralis L.33223POLYGONACEAE		-		•		
Dactylis glomerata L.33433Festuca ovina L.22243Poa nemoralis L.33223POLYGONACEAE		2	4	3	3	3
Festuca ovina L.22243Poa nemoralis L.33223POLYGONACEAE		2		4		2
Pointed of Mill Li2223Poa nemoralis L.33223POLYGONACEAE					·	
POLYGONACEAEImage: Constraint of the state of		-	-	_	· ·	e.
Fallopia convolvulus (L.) A. Löve3333Rumex sanguineus L.43433RANUNCULACEAE7777Clematis vitalba L.34333Helleborus odorus Waldst. & Kit.31234ROSACEAE77777Crataegus monogyna Jacq.34243		3	3	2	2	3
Rumex sanguineus L.43433RANUNCULACEAE7777Clematis vitalba L.34333Helleborus odorus Waldst. & Kit.31234ROSACEAECrataegus monogyna Jacq.34243				~		
RANUNCULACEAE3433Clematis vitalba L.34333Helleborus odorus Waldst. & Kit.31234ROSACEAECrataegus monogyna Jacq.34243					ŷ	
Clematis vitalba L.34333Helleborus odorus Waldst. & Kit.31234ROSACEAECrataegus monogyna Jacq.34243		4	3	4	3	3
Helleborus odorus Waldst. & Kit.31234ROSACEAECrataegus monogyna Jacq.34243	2			~		-
ROSACEAEImage: Crataegus monogyna Jacq.34243	I		-			
Crataegus monogyna Jacq. 3 4 2 4 3	2	3	1	2	3	4
	£					
Crataegus nigra Waldst. & Kit. 3 3 3 3 3				2	ō	3
	Crataegus nigra <u>Waldst.</u> & <u>Kit.</u>	3	3	3	3	3

Fragaria vesca L.	3	3	3	3	3
Geum urbanum L.	3	3	4	2	3
Prunus avium L.	3	4	3	3	4
Prunus spinosa L.	2	4	3	4	4
Pyrus pyraster Burgsd.	3	4	3	3	4
Rosa arvensis Huds.	3	4	3	3	4
Rosa canina L.	3	3	2	3	3
Rubus canescens DC.	2	3	3	4	4
Rubus hirtus Waldst. & Kit.	4	3	2	3	3
RUBIACEAE					
Galium odoratum (L.) Scop.	3	4	3	2	3
Galium aparine L.	3	3	5	3	4
Galium schultesii Vest	3	4	2	3	3
ULMACEAE					
Ulmus minor Mill.	3	4	3	4	3
VIOLACEAE					
Viola hirta L.	2	4	1	3	3

AGROFOR International Journal, Vol. 8, Issue No. 3, 2023

Legend: F- soil humidity;R-soil reaction;N-nitrogen;L-light availability;T-temperature.

Regeneration of oaks is a crucial issue in forest management, and it is often observed that in unmanaged oak forests, shade-tolerant species regenerate instead of oaks (Saniga et al., 2014). In order to determine the ecological conditions in investigated forest community, we assigned to each species the respective indicator values following Kojić et al. (1997): soil humidity, soil reaction, nitrogen, light availability and temperature. Also, we used plant ecological indicator values averaged at the community level.

According to soil humidity (Figure 1) the plant community of sessile oak and hornbeam is mostly mesophilous, because the share of mesophilous and submesophilous species is 82%, while the share of mostly xerophylous species is 18%. This is conditioned by a set of habitat factors: shaded positions, relatively high humidity of the air and soil, as well as deep ilimerized soils where this plant community occurs.



Figure 1 and 2. Share of plants according to ecological indicator values for F and R

Soil pH affects the general nutrient status and the available amounts of other elements in the soil. According to soil reaction (Figure 2), these forests have neutrophilic to neutrophilic-basophilic character, as neutrophilic plants are present with 52%, and neutrophyle-basiphyle with 41%.

Within terrestrial ecosystems, one of the most important macro-nutrients to plant growth is nitrogen. According to soil nitrogen supply (Figure 3) these forests have mezotrophic character (N – 2,82), with presence of this plant groups of 48%, with increased participation of plants tending towards oligotrophic (35%). In terms of light requirements (Figure 4), semi-sciophytes (56%) and plants transitional to heliophytes (27%) are dominant, whereas plants transitional to semisciophytes (15%) and sciophytes are represented with 2%. The mean ecological indicator values for light (L) is 2.85.



Figure 3 and 4. Share of plants according to ecological indicator values for N and L

Temperature, as one of the most important environmental factors, is closely related to light, because with increased light intensity, the temperature usually increases, and therefore transpiration. In relation to temperature, mesothermic plants (56%) and ones in transition to thermophilic species (35%) are dominant, while species transitional between frigoriphilic and mesothermic plants (4%) and thermophilic species (5%) are less well represented (Figure 5). The mean ecological indicator values for temperature (T) is 3.39.



Figure 5. Share of plants according to ecological indicator values for T

#### CONCLUSIONS

The floristic structure of *Querco petraeae-Carpinetum betuli* community is characterized by biodiversity consisting of 30 families, 53 genera, and 66 plant species. Most of the plant species belong to the following families: *Rosaceae* (17%), *Fagaceae* (6%), *Lamiaceae* (6%), *Poaceae* (6%) *Asparagaceae* (5%), *Asteraceae* (5%), *Cyperaceae* (5%) and *Rubiaceae* (5%). According to the indicator values of plants, the community of sessile oak and hornbeam is mesophilic in terms of humidity (F– 2.88), neutrophilic-basophilic (R–3,32) in terms of acidity, mesotrophic in terms of soil nitrogen supply (N – 2,82), semisciophilic regarding light (L – 2,85), and mesothermal in terms of heat (T – 3,39). It can be concluded that mixed communities of sessile oak and hornbeam in the area of Kosmaj occur in wet site conditions, with little light and in moderately warm conditions. The soils are neutral to slightly alkaline, moderately supplied with minerals.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was funded by the Ministry of Science, Technological Development and Innovation of the *Republic of Serbia*, Contract No. 451-03-47/2023-01/ 200027.

### REFERENCES

- Axmanová I., Tichý L., Fajmonová Z., Hájková P., Hettenbergerová E., Li C.F., Merunková K., Najzchlebová M., Otýpková, Z., Vymazalová M., Zelený D. et al. (2012). Estimation of herbaceous biomass from species composition and cover. Applied Vegetation. Science 15:580–589.
- Braun-Blanquet J. (1964). Plant Sociology: The Study of Plant Communities. 3rd ed. –Springer, Wien, New York.
- Cvjetićanin R., Košanin O., Krstić M., Perović M., Novaković-Vuković M. (2013). Phytosociological and edaphic characteristics of sessile oak forests on Miroč Mountain in north-eastern Serbia. Bulletin of the Faculty of Forestry 107: 27-56.
- Dinić A. (1978). Phytocenosis of sessile oak and hornbeam as a climate regional forest type on small massifs in northern Serbia, on the edge of the Pannonian Plain. Matica Srpska Journal of Natural Sciences, Novi Sad, 55: 155-163.
- Dinić A. (1997). Association of sessile oak-hornbeam and pure hornbeam forests. In Sarić, M. Vasić, O. (eds.): Vegetation of Serbia II, forest communities, 1. Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts – Department of Natural and Mathematical Sciences, Belgrade.
- Ellenberg H., Weber H.E., Düll, R., Wirth, V., Werner W., Paulissen D. (1991). Indicator values of plants in Central Europe. Verlag Erich Goltze KG: Göttingen, Germany.
- Josifović M. (ed.) (1970-1977). Flora of Serbia II-IX. Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Department of Natural and Mathematical Sciences, Belgrade.

- Hill M.O., Carey P.D. (1997). Prediction of yield in the Rothamsted Park Grass Experiment by Ellenberg indicator values. J. Veg. Sci. 8: 579–586.
- Kojić M., Popović R., Karadžić B. (1997). Vascular plants of Serbia. "Siniša Stanković" Institute for Biological Research, Belgrade.
- Saniga M., Balanda M., Kucbel S., Pittner J. (2014). Four decades of forest succession in the oak-dominated forest reserves in Slovakia. iForest –Biogeosci. Forestry 7, 324–332.
- Sarić M, Diklić, N. (eds) (1986). Flora of Serbia X. Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Department of Natural and Mathematical Sciences, Belgrade.
- Sarić M. (ed.) (1992). Flora of Serbia I. Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Department of Natural and Mathematical Sciences, Belgrade.
- Stajić S., Čokeša V., Miletić Z., Eremija S., Ćirković-Mitrović T, Marković M., Jovanović F. (2020). Phytosociological characteristics of sessile oak and hornbeam forests (*Querco petraeae-Carpinetum betuli* Rudski 1949. s.l.) in the area of Kosmaj. Sustainable Foresty vol. 81-82, Institute of forestry, Belgrade, pp. 41-52.
- Stajić S., Cvjetićanin R., Čokeša V., Miletić Z., Novaković-Vuković M., Eremija S., Rakonjac Lj. (2021). Plant species richness and diversity in natural beech and oak dominated forests of Kosmaj protected area (Serbia). Applied Ecology and Environmental Research 19(4): 2617-2628.
- Stevanović V. (ed.) (2012). Flora of Serbia II. Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Department of Natural and Mathematical Sciences, Belgrade.
- Tomić Z., Rakonjac Lj. (2013). Forest phytocenoses of Serbia. Institute of Forestry, Belgrade, Singidunum University Faculty of Applied Ecology Futura, Belgrade.