

NORMATIVE REGULATION OF ELECTRONIC ADMINISTRATION IN REPUBLIC OF SERBIA

ABSTRACT: Following the general trend of the technical-technological progress in society, where technology is increasingly important in everyday life, states and public authorities on all continents strive to facilitate the exercise and protection of the rights of their citizens, and to remove bureaucratic barriers that previously existed and were a common accompaniment appearance of the administrative procedure. As an expression of such an aspiration, but also as a necessary consequence of the technical progress, many countries are introducing a system of electronic public administration. Following this trend, our legislator also establishes a system of electronic public administration, with which he tries to facilitate the exercise of citizens' rights, but also to improve the image that citizens have of a public administration, previously known for its sluggishness and inefficiency. The introduction of electronic administration into the domestic legal system, on the other hand, was done without a sufficient preparation, and it was not realized without certain difficulties, both at the normative level as well as at the level of the implementation of various normative solutions. This paper presents the legal regulations, i.e. the normative framework regulating the introduction and functioning of electronic administration in Republic of Serbia.

* LLM, Associate professor at the Faculty of Law for Commerce and Judiciary in Novi Sad, University of Business academy, Novi Sad, Republic of Serbia, e-mail: g.darko83@gmail.com

 © 2023 by the authors. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Keywords: *electronic administration, administrative procedure, the Electronic Administration Act.*

1. Introductory remarks

As a consequence of the development of society and technology, and the overall civilizational progress, modern society¹, especially at the national levels, is characterized by an exceptional dynamic of overall social, economic, legal, economic, sociological, political and other processes and relationships, which also conditions a stronger connection between public authorities and subjects under its jurisdiction. This connection of the public authority with those under its jurisdiction – individuals, legal entities, business entities, etc. – is achieved through modern technology, and especially through the use of various electronic services, with the special aim of achieving the principles of efficiency and economy – timely and high – quality services with the least expenditure of time and material resources (Vučinić, 2020, p. 45).

Thus, the Government of the Republic of Serbia designates e-government as the use of information and communication technologies (ICT), which provide opportunities for citizens and businesses to communicate and cooperate in business with the public administration, using electronic media (Internet, mobile phone, smart cards, etc.) (Đurašković, 2016, p. 17).

As the concept of E-government, at least from the aspect of legal regulation of this social technical-technological project, is relatively new in the this area, legal regulation is still in the development stages, and represents an extremely dynamic component of domestic legislation, where special importance is put on theby-laws. Thus, bearing in mind the fact that the concept of E-government is a new phenomenon in our region, this concept is not even mentioned in the Constitution of the Republic of Serbia, as the highest act of our legal order. In this sense, it can only be stated that, when it comes to the Constitution of the Republic of Serbia, the same framework that applies to public administration is applied to electronic administration.

¹ In practice of comparative countries we find an example of introduction of electronic system in public governmentway back in 1997, when Australia introduced special informational system in the social security sector. To help in task executions, "Centerlink" system was introduced, as unique electronica administrative place (one counter for forehead administration in the socialsecurity sector). In more detail see: Henman, 2010, p. 51.

The legal norms governing electronic administration in the Republic of Serbia are currently found in various positive legal regulations. In addition to individual norms on the introduction of information and communication technologies in connection with the general administrative procedure contained in the “new” Law on General Administrative Procedure (LGAP), in Serbia there is also a special law dedicated exclusively to electronic administration issues – the Electronic Administration Act (EAA). In addition to these laws, various forms of digital behaviour and use of information and communication technologies are found in laws that regulate special (administrative) areas. This, therefore, means that practically the entire regulation of electronic administration in the Republic of Serbia is found in various acts, while a certain part of the regulation is certainly found in by-laws, which appear as a logical and necessary consequence of the fact that the system of electronic administration in Serbia continues to develop, and quick and simple adjustments are often necessary to eliminate the problems that have arisen, or due to the expansion of the range of services offered to citizens and the economy.

Here is an overview of the regulations that govern electronic administration in the Republic of Serbia, starting with the Electronic Administration Act, as a systemic law, and other laws and by-laws.

2. Electronic administration act – systemic law in the regulation of electronic administration in the Republic of Serbia -

The exceptional importance of electronic administration for the Republic of Serbia is also evidenced by the special legislation contained in the Law on Electronic Administration, as a systemic law governing this area.

The initial article of this Act stipulates that this law regulates “the administration of state bodies and organizations, bodies and organizations of provincial autonomy, bodies and organizations of local self-government units, institutions, public companies, special bodies through which regulatory functions and legal and natural persons who are entrusted with public powers (hereinafter referred to as the authority) using information and communication technologies, i.e. the conditions for establishing, maintaining and using interoperable information and communication technologies of the authority (hereinafter: electronic administration).” Paragraph 2 of the same article provides that “The provisions of this law shall accordingly be applied to

other affairs of state bodies when they act in electronic form, unless otherwise regulated by another law” (Article 1 EAA).²

Generally speaking, therefore, the Electronic Administration Act seeks to comprehensively³ regulate the use of information and communication technologies and electronic communication, as well as the exchange of data between various public administration bodies, on the one hand, and citizens and business entities, on the other hand. Although the various elements of electronic administration are contained in special regulations, the Electronic Administration Act states that the issues of electronic administration regulated by that law cannot be regulated or changed by special laws, and everything, we believe, is for the purpose of uniform electronic handling of public administration bodies, as well as equal protection of rights and positions of citizens and other subjects.

When we talk about the application of this Law, Article 2 establishes the widest, almost absolute,⁴ application of this regulation to the electronic communication of public administration bodies when they perform not only administrative activities, but also when they perform other tasks of state bodies, either in relation to other bodies or to the citizens. Thus, Article 2

² It is interesting to point out that, despite the fact that this law is the so-called “systemic law” when it comes to the regulation of electronic administration in the Republic of Serbia, paragraph 2 of article 1 of this law gives priority to the provisions of special laws in relation to the provisions of this law – this follows from the words “...if not otherwise regulated by another law.” Starting from the concept of “systemic law”, as a creation of our Constitutional Court, no matter how legally logical it may be, it is not usual for “systemic law” to give priority to a special law. On the contrary. The Constitutional Court coined the concept of a “systemic law” precisely in order to give priority to a law that comprehensively regulates one area over other laws, that is, to create something like a “supra-law”. However, Article 3 of EAA provides that “issues of electronic administration, which are regulated by this law, cannot be regulated differently by a separate law, except in the cases provided for by this law.” These provisions of Article 1, Paragraph 2 and Article 3 of the Law are contradictory to each other. However, we believe that such a somewhat clumsy provision should be understood as meaning that it is necessary to comprehensively harmonize acts and by-law regulations in order for the mentioned provision to come to life in practice. This is of particular importance in order to ensure the standardization that is necessary for the high-quality functioning of electronic administration and the corresponding uniform degree of protection of citizens and other subjects.

³ Although the EAA has a total of 55 articles, it can be said that this law regulates all the basics of electronic administration, as well as all key issues of electronic administration in our country.

⁴ Only exceptionally, the electronic administrative action of the authorities in accordance with this law does not include the action with acts which, in accordance with the law regulating data confidentiality, are designated as secret and marked with a certain degree of secrecy (Article 2, paragraph 3 of the EAA). Therefore, dealing with classified acts is the only one to which the provisions of this law will not be applied, when it comes to electronic communication by public administration bodies.

of the Law, as a general norm in electronic administrative procedures, prescribes “The authority is obliged to perform electronic administrative procedures and communicate electronically in accordance with this law and the regulations adopted on its basis.” In addition, paragraph 2 stipulates that the provisions of this law shall be applied “and on electronic communication between authorities, as well as on the communication of those authorities with parties in the performance of tasks within the scope and competence of state authorities that do not relate to administrative proceedings, unless otherwise regulated by a special law.” This kind of regulation, therefore, foresees the full application of this law to the overall electronic communication and actions of public administration bodies.

The next thing that the legislator paid attention to, in systematizing the legal provisions, are the basic principles that are included in the provisions of articles 5-7 of the Electronic Administration Act. According to these provisions, the basic principles on which electronic administration is based in our country are: the principle of efficiency of equipment management, the principle of security of electronic administration and the prohibition of discrimination (see in detail Palević, 2020, p. 529). These, conditionally speaking, “special” basic principles of electronic administration do not exclude the application of general constitutional principles and general principles of administrative procedure in the use and application of information and communication technologies by public administration bodies.

The principle of efficiency of equipment management, as the first of the special principles of electronic administration, is provided for in Article 5 of the Law according to which “The Authority is obliged to efficiently manage the equipment at its disposal so as to enable its proper and economical use.” This principle implies that the authority must ensure efficient and economical application of information and communication technologies in accordance with technical rules and rules of general and special administrative procedures, whichever are applied in the specific case. From the legal language – “proper ... use” of technology – it follows that technologies must not be used contrary to the purpose for which they were introduced into the public administration system, which is, in the first place, the satisfaction of the public interest and needs of citizens, as well as others subjects.

The principle of security of electronic administration, prescribed by Article 6 of the EAA, implies that “information systems, electronic communication networks and equipment used to perform electronic administrative procedures must meet the conditions and standards of information security, in accordance with the regulations.” One could, however, criticize the approach of the

legislator to completely prescribe the obligation of compliance “with the regulations” – which includes both law’s and by-law regulations related to information security. We believe that, although it is a principle that, like any principle, should remain at a general and abstract level, the legislator could and had to prescribe somewhat narrower and stricter conditions that public administration bodies must, as a minimum, respect in terms of information security, and all in order to protect the interests and rights of citizens and other entities that, in some way, form part of electronic administration.⁵

Finally, as a particularly highlighted (similarly Palević, 2020, p. 532) principle of electronic (public) administration, prescribed by Article 7 of the EAA, is the principle of prohibition of discrimination, which, as a general principle of modern societies, is contained in the Constitution and found its place in sphere of electronic administration. It refers to two moments. First, all persons have the right to use the services of electronic services and electronic administrative procedures, which means that everyone must be able to have electronic access to the public services offered, regardless of any personal characteristics. Second, persons who are unable (for example, persons with disabilities) to use electronic administration services in their original form, must be enabled to use the services adequately to the circumstances of the specific case and in accordance with their capabilities. The EAA does not say anything about the concretization of this principle, the setting of limitations or conditions, and it is left to regulations of lower legal force and practice to regulate the content of this principle more precisely.

The entire next chapter of the EAA is dedicated to infrastructure in electronic administration. This – the second – chapter is the most extensive chapter of the Electronic Administration Act, which, in its provisions of Articles 8-31, contains substantial norms that establish and regulate the system of electronic administration, its elements and the manner of its functioning, and includes a significant number of provisions related to the technical aspects of the electronic administration system: 1) Unified information and communication network of electronic administration; 2) Service bus of the organ; 3) Establishment and management of registers and records in electronic form; 4) Use of data from registers and records in electronic form; 5) Protection of data and documents during their acquisition and transfer; 6) Establishment and management of Metaregistry; 7) Unique

⁵ Nevertheless, it should be emphasized at this point that the legislator did pass the Law on Information Security which regulated many issues in the domain of information security, and this law represents a part of the broader system of electronic administration in the Republic of Serbia.

electronic mailbox; 8) Software solution; 9) e-Administration portal; 10) Work of authorities on the e-Administration Portal; 11) Authorized persons of the e-Administration Portal; 12) Obligations of the main administrator of the e-Administration Portal; 13) Obligations of body administrators on the e-Administration Portal; 14) Rights of users of electronic administration services on the e-Administration Portal; 15) Establishing and maintaining a web portal in electronic administration; 16) Reuse; 17) Licenses for reuse; 18) Open data portal; 19) Creating and maintaining a web presentation; 20) Physical protection of data and storage of backup copies; 21) Maintenance, repair and decommissioning of work equipment; 22) Conditions for establishing electronic administration. Within this part, a separate article establishes the conditions for the establishment of electronic administration (a total of 20 conditions are prescribed).

The third chapter of the EAA is dedicated to electronic administrative procedure, which essentially has two parts of provisions. The first part refers to the establishment and connection of an individual body to the electronic administration system, which includes provisions on the establishment of electronic administrative procedures of the body, conditions for obtaining and transferring data and electronic documents, user authentication, user authorization and identity federation.⁶ The second part of this chapter refers only to practical electronic administrative procedures of public administration bodies. Thus, the provisions of Articles 37–42 of the EAA the obligations of authorities in communication with the user of the electronic administration service,⁷ electronic submission, receipt of electronic submission, electronic delivery, confirmation of electronic delivery, as well as the e-mail address of

⁶ Articles 32–36 of the Law on Electronic Administration; Article 36 talks about the Federation of Identity. This choice of terminology is very interesting, bearing in mind the generally accepted and usual meaning of the word “federation”. However, in the EAA, the legislator opted for the use of a new strictly professional terminology. In this sense, the identity federation means “a set of Identity Providers, Service Providers and Federation Operators who, under agreed conditions, cooperate in order to authenticate and exchange appropriate data about end users in order to enable them to use the service.” Glossary of terms of the Academic Network of Serbia, available at <https://www.amres.ac.rs/cp/institucije/iamres-federacija-identiteta/rechnik-pojmova>.

⁷ Although this provision could be subsumed under the first part of this chapter, which refers to the establishment and connection to the electronic administration system, it was still considered to belong to the second part. This is because this provision stipulates the authority’s duty to publish on its web presentation, eAdministration Portal and/or other web portal a list of administrative procedures that can be carried out electronically, as well as the way of conducting electronic administrative procedures and restrictions on electronic administrative procedures (Article 37 EAA). As this notification refers to practical electronic administrative procedures, we classified this provision in the second group of chapter III of the law.

the authority in electronic administrative proceedings are prescribed. So, as we can see, the second part is dedicated to the arrangement and verification of electronic communication between public administration bodies and citizens, as well as the submission of various certificates issued by the administration bodies.

The last three chapters are, as usual, dedicated to supervision, penal provisions and transitional and final provisions. Thus, the provisions of Article 43 of the EAA stipulate that the supervision of the implementation of this law and the regulations adopted on the basis of it shall be carried out by the ministry responsible for the development of electronic administration. Penal provisions provide for a misdemeanour on the responsibility of the main administrator of the web portal, the administrator of the body on the web portal, the responsible person in the body authorized to keep electronic registers and the responsible person in the body if they act contrary to certain provisions of the law. Transitional and final provisions prescribe deadlines for: adoption of by-laws (six months from the date of entry into force of this law); establishment of the Service Bus of authorities for the exchange of data from registers; establishment of registers and records in electronic form; establishment of Metaregistry ; development of software solutions; electronic administrative procedure; transfer of e-mail registers and orders to servers in the Republic of Serbia; as well as the provisions on the entry into force of the law.

It is clear from the above that the Electronic Administration Act, although it is not one of the most comprehensive legal texts, regulates the key and basic issues of electronic administration in the Republic of Serbia in a fairly comprehensive manner. True, as it was pointed out, the legislator left the regulation of a significant part of the matter to the executive and administrative authorities, which will regulate numerous issues with by-laws, thus creating a coherent and unified set of regulations on electronic administration. Although the legislator's decision to leave the prescription to the executive and administrative authorities should always be approached with scepticism and with a degree of caution, we believe that leaving the law to the executive branch, in the case of electronic administration, was necessary and justified, bearing in mind the trend of constant and rapid technical-technological progress. In addition, it is quite reasonable to expect that during the establishment and expansion of the electronic administration system, there will be necessary interventions regarding the regulation of certain issues. Bearing in mind the complexity and time period necessary for the adoption of the law, as well as its amendments and additions, in the case

of electronic administration, leaving a significant part of the prescription of provisions to the executive and administrative authorities, our legislator was wise.

3. Other laws regulating certain issues of electronic administration

Despite the fact that there is a special law regulating the field of electronic public administration, not all aspects and all relevant issues of electronic administration in the Republic of Serbia are regulated by the Electronic Administration Act, as a systemic law, nor would it be possible by the nature of things. On the contrary, other legal regulations regulating both general and special administrative procedures contain some special rules related to electronic administration, in relation to electronic administrative procedures.

a) Law on General Administrative Procedure

The Law on General Administrative Procedure is also important for the electronic actions of administrative bodies. Namely, the LGAP comprehensively regulates the rules of the general administrative procedure, which initially, for the first time, established the legal basis for electronic proceedings, which was further elaborated by the adoption of the Electronic Administration Act (Milkov, 2017, p. 133 et seq.).⁸ This primarily refers to the possibility of public administration bodies to teach applicants, receive requests for recognition of rights or other types of submissions in administrative matters and to inform the applicant about the progress of the procedure electronically (LGAP, Article 12). As an example of the introduction of digitization of work in the work of administrative bodies, the obligation of the body to ex officio acquire and process data on the facts of which official records are kept, and which are necessary for decision-making, is often highlighted.⁹ Authorities can also exchange such data electronically (LGAP, Article 103). This way of acting, essentially, stands in a strong connection with the principles of effectiveness and economy, but also with the final provisions, where it is foreseen that the provisions of special laws that require the parties to submit

⁸ At the same time, one must not lose sight of the fact that the LGAP was adopted in 2016, while the EAA was adopted in 2018.

⁹ However, practice shows that public administration bodies are still not fully prepared to do this ex officio, and the party still has to obtain the necessary documents on its own.

documents that prove the facts of which the authorities keep official records will cease to be valid (see LGAP, Article 215). Storing databases and various documents in electronic form also enables viewing of case files in digital form. One of the most important actions in the administrative procedure is service(delivery), which, although it is a form of informing the participants of the procedure, has a great impact on the rights, obligations and interests of the parties. All forms of delivery (personal and indirect) can also be done electronically, provided that the parties have agreed to it. The delivery note, as a confirmation that personal or indirect delivery has been made, can be in electronic form (LGAP, Article 72 and 77). Also, there are no legal obstacles to the fact that the decision, as the most important act in the administrative procedure for the adoption of which the administrative procedure is initiated, and which resolves the administrative matter that ends the administrative procedure, can be issued in the form of an electronic document.

In addition to the above, in the general administrative procedure there is room for the introduction of additional digital elements, which also refers to the way the entire procedure is conducted, the performance of evidentiary actions and the adoption of an administrative act. While it is true that LGAP recognizes the institute of video-conference oral hearings, but only for those bodies that have the technical capabilities to schedule and hold such type of hearings (LGAP, Article 111). This way of holding discussions digitally must be gradually introduced as a rule, and not, as is the case in practice, as a rarely used exception.

Certainly, with the increasing digitization of the general administrative procedure, space is opened for the introduction of more digital elements in special administrative procedures as well.

b) Law on tax procedure and tax administration

The provisions of the Law on General Administrative Procedure and the Electronic Administration Act are generally followed by laws governing special administrative areas. Such is the case with the Law on Tax Procedure and Tax Administration (hereinafter: LTPTA). LTPTA certainly takes into account the fact that citizens and business entities have long since switched to electronic means of communication and digital documentation management in their jobs. As a result, when it is necessary to access data important for determining taxes and tax obligations, taxpayers must provide the necessary documents in electronic form on electronic data carriers (LTPTA, Article 37a).

For the stated reason, electronic communication between parties and tax authorities is enabled. Taxpayers are allowed to submit tax returns to the tax authority in electronic form. In a similar way as in the matter of the general administrative procedure, the LTPTA regulates the form of acts issued by the tax authorities in the tax procedure. Thus, Article 35 of the LTPTA stipulates that the tax administrative act, as a special form of administrative act, can be passed in electronic form. The situation is the same with other tax-administrative acts that are passed for the purpose of guiding and making decisions in the tax procedure (Ivanović & Knežević, 2013).

c) Customs Law

Information and communication technology has also found its application in the area of customs procedure, as a special administrative procedure. The considerable amount of information and data that customs authorities have to exchange with other administrative authorities and citizens, as well as with business entities, requires efficient and reliable exchange of large amounts of data and fast communication and distribution thereof. Therefore, the importance of information and communication technologies in the performance of tasks under the jurisdiction of customs administrative bodies is also recognized by the systemic law in this area – the Customs Act. This law regulates the rules and procedures that apply to goods that are imported and exported from the customs territory of the Republic of Serbia. Customs authorities, therefore, according to the express provision of Article 4 of the Customs Law, have the obligation to introduce and apply information and communication technologies, when it is cost-effective and efficient for the Customs Administration, as well as for the economy in general.

Information and communication technologies are understood as methods of electronic trade and methods of electronic determination of the correctness of data and goods. Certain actions can be performed electronically, such as the submission of declaration and summary declaration. In general, customs authorities must enable communication with business entities to take place electronically, which respects the needs of a developed society and a developed economy. It should be especially emphasized that, given that the customs procedure is a special administrative procedure, and that the general rules on the subsidiary application of the rules of the LGAP apply to it, if any of the issues are not specifically regulated by a special – Customs – law, they are subject to apply the norms of the general administrative procedure, which refers to “digital provisions”, but also to the provisions of the Electronic Administration Act.

d) Law on State Survey and Cadastre

The Law on State Survey and Cadastre is another special law that governs a special administrative area. The subject of regulation of this law, according to Article 1, are professional jobs and jobs of the state administration related to the state survey, real estate cadastre, water cadastre, address register, etc. For obvious reasons, the cadastre must quickly and efficiently perform tasks within its jurisdiction, since the realization and enjoyment of property rights, as one of the basic human rights, but also the realization of many other rights, depends precisely on the quality functioning of this body. For this reason, Article 119 of the Law prescribes that data on changes to immovable property be requested from the competent authority electronically, and the same shall be submitted to the competent authority in the same way, through the WEB service.

For easier and more efficient access to cadastre data and services, the cadastre is developing a geodetic-cadastral information system that contains extensive data on real estate, real estate addresses, and the like. Therefore, documents can be issued in electronic form through the geodetic-cadastral information system. Also, in accordance with the express provision of Article 158 of the Law, the cadastre takes care of the electronic means of providing business traffic services for the use of cadastre data and services. Like all other public administration bodies, the cadastre prescribed the introduction of electronic public administration. This is according to Article 72s, paragraph 3 of the Law on Amendments and additions The Law on State Survey and Cadastre (2015) which stipulates that electronic office operations of the cadastre with regard to requests, decisions, documents and other acts in electronic form will be established no later than March 1, 2016, except for the implementation of a unified procedure in electronic form, in accordance with the Law on Planning and Construction, the implementation of which begins on 1 of January 2016.” This provision, however, has not yet taken root in practice to its full extent.

4. By-law regulations regulating certain issues of electronic administration

As it was pointed out earlier, a significant part of the issues on electronic administration, mainly of an organizational and technical nature, is left to the executive and administrative authorities to be regulated by by-laws, which are generally adopted on the basis of and in accordance with the Electronic Administration Act.

Thus, on the basis of the authorization from the Electronic Administration Act, the Government of the Republic of Serbia, in order to implement the provisions of this law, adopted the Regulation on closer conditions for the establishment of electronic administration, the Regulation on organizational and technical standards for the maintenance and improvement of the Unified Information and Communication Network of electronic administration and connecting the authority to that network, the Regulation on the way of keeping the Metaregister, the way of approving, suspending and cancelling access to the service bus of the authority and the way of working on the eAdministration Portal, the Regulation on the way of working of the Open Data Portal and the Regulation on the detailed conditions for creating and maintaining the authority's web presentation. These regulations, adopted on the basis of the Electronic Administration Act, serve to implement it, and represent parts of a coherent and unified set of regulations on electronic administration of the Republic of Serbia.

According to Article 1, the Regulation on detailed conditions for the establishment of electronic administration regulates the conditions for the establishment of electronic administration, i.e. the performance of the duties of state bodies and organizations, bodies and organizations of provincial autonomy, bodies and organizations of local self-government units, institutions, public companies, special bodies through which the regulatory function of both legal and natural persons entrusted with public authority is realized – for the purpose of establishing electronic administration.

The Regulation on organizational and technical standards for the maintenance and improvement of the Unified Information and Communication Network of Electronic Government and the connection of authorities to that network, according to Article 1 of this Regulation, regulates the organizational and technical standards for the maintenance and improvement of the Unified Information and Communication Network of Electronic Administration, which it manages the Government service responsible for the design, harmonization, development and functioning of the electronic administration system and the rules for connecting and accessing state bodies and organizations, bodies and organizations of provincial autonomy, bodies and organizations of local self-government units, institutions, public companies, special bodies through which the regulatory function is exercised and legal and natural persons entrusted with public powers on the Electronic Government Network.

According to Article 1 of the Regulation on the way of managing the Metaregister, the way of approving, suspending and cancelling access to the service bus of the authorities and the way of working on the eAdministration

Portal, this act more closely regulates the way in which state bodies and organizations, bodies and organizations of provincial autonomy, bodies and organizations of local self-government units, institutions, public companies, special bodies through which the regulatory function is exercised and legal and natural persons who are entrusted with public powers enter registers and records in electronic form in Metaregistar, determine the structure and sources of data, as well as changes, in order to ensure interoperability when obtaining, processing and assigning, that is, delivering data. This Regulation also regulates the manner of approving, suspending and terminating access to data from the registers that are connected to the Unified Information and Communication Network of Electronic Administration through the Authority's Service Bus and located in the State Centre for Data Management and Storage, as well as the manner in which authorities perform electronic administration services through the eAdministration Portal as a single access point of the electronic administration of the authority managed by the Government department responsible for designing, harmonizing, developing and functioning of the electronic administration system, terms of use, registration and work of service users on the eAdministration Portal, status monitoring related to implementation rights and obligations and other matters of importance for electronic communication with the authority through the eAdministration Portal, as well as the technical conditions of electronic delivery and the content of confirmations.

The Regulation on the operation of the Open Data Portal regulates the detailed conditions on the establishment and operation of the Open Data Portal, including organizational and technical standards, as well as other issues of importance for the functioning of the Portal – where information on open data sets is published by state authorities and organizations, authorities and organizations of provincial autonomy, bodies and organizations of local self-government units, institutions, public companies, special bodies through which the regulatory function is exercised and legal and natural persons entrusted with public powers (Article 1 of the Regulation).

The Regulation on detailed conditions for the creation and maintenance of the organ's web presentation¹⁰ regulates detailed conditions for the establishment and operation of the Open Data Portal, including organizational and technical standards, as well as other issues of importance for the functioning of the Portal – where information on open data sets is published by the state

¹⁰ The authors of the text of the Regulation, as well as the LGAP, mistakenly consider "web presentation of the authority" to be synonymous with the term "web page of the authority." See extensively: Milkov, 2017.

bodies and organizations, bodies and organizations of provincial autonomy, bodies and organizations of local self-government units, institutions, public companies, special bodies through which the regulatory function is exercised and legal and natural persons entrusted with public powers.

Finally, the Government of the Republic of Serbia, on the basis of its general authority to enact decrees regarding the implementation of other laws, as well as on the basis of the Law on the Government and the Law on the Planning System of the Republic of Serbia, passed the Regulation on the Office for Information Technologies and Electronic Government, as well as Program for the development of electronic administration in the Republic of Serbia for the period from 2020 to 2022 with an Action Plan for its implementation.

Thus, the Regulation on the Office for Information Technologies and Electronic Administration, according to Article 1, establishes the Office for Information Technologies and Electronic Administration (hereinafter: the Office) and determines its scope, organization and other issues relevant to its work. The office performs professional tasks related to: designing, harmonizing, developing and functioning of electronic administration systems and information systems and infrastructure of state administration bodies and government services; development and application of standards in the introduction of information and communication technologies in state administration bodies and Government services, as well as support in the application of information and communication technologies in state administration bodies and Government services; design, development, construction, maintenance and improvement of the computer network of republic authorities; tasks for the needs of the Centre for the Security of ICT Systems in the Republic's Bodies (CERT of the Republic's Bodies) and other tasks determined by the law and this Regulation.

The program for the development of electronic administration in the Republic of Serbia for the period from 2020 to 2022 with the Action Plan for its implementation is a public policy document by which the Government plans the development of electronic administration in the Republic of Serbia for that period.¹¹

The aforementioned by-laws, together with the highlighted laws, represent a unique unit of legal regulation of the electronic administration system in the Republic of Serbia, and represent a necessary component for the regular functioning of this system.

¹¹ See in detail the text Program for the development of electronic administration in the Republic of Serbia for the period from 2020 to 2022 with the Action Plan for its implementation.

5. Concluding considerations

Based on all that has been presented, we can conclude that in the Republic of Serbia there is a broad and relatively harmonized system of legal and by-laws regulating the field of electronic administration, where the Electronic Administration Act, together with the Law on General Administrative Procedure, sets strong frameworks for further legislative interventions, especially by executive and administrative authorities in the field of electronic administration, all with the aim of establishing and managing an efficient system of electronic administration, which will smoothly and timely provide all necessary information, documents and services to citizens and the economy.

In addition, it is indicated that administrative procedures, both general and special, are slowly being digitized in our country. First of all, the Electronic Administration Act and certain provisions of the Law on General Administrative Procedure created assumptions that introduce information and communication technologies in the performance of administrative activities, and which assumptions are partially accepted in the laws governing special administrative areas.

However, there is a lot of room for improvement in this field. For the complete transformation of public administration into electronic public administration, it is necessary to enable digital management of administrative procedures. Certainly, it is not possible, by the nature of things, to digitize all administrative procedures, or even all phases of other administrative procedures, but those procedures that can be concluded with a note on the case file, without taking a statement from the parties in the procedure or in simpler one – party administrative procedures they can be completely transformed into digital form. This would lead to an even more efficient performance of administrative activities, and significant financial and time savings would be achieved, both for the parties and the acting authorities.

For a complete transition, it is necessary to ensure the security of information and data used in administrative procedures, since the integrity of citizens' personalities depends on the security of the information system and data protection. In this sphere, legislative, executive and administrative bodies must never stop taking legislative and other necessary actions.

Golić Darko

Pravni fakultet za privredu i pravosuđe u Novom Sadu, Univerzitet Privredna akademija u Novom Sadu, Srbija

NORMATIVNO UREĐENJE ELEKTRONSKE UPRAVE U REPUBLICI SRBIJI

REZIME: Prateći opšti trend tehničko-tehnološkog progressa u društvu, gde tehnologija ima sve veći značaj u svakodnevnom životu, države i javne vlasti na svim kontinentima nastoje olakšati ostvarivanje i zaštitu prava svojih građana, te ukloniti birokratske barijere koje su ranije postojale i bile uobičajena prateća pojava upravnog postupka. Kao izraz takve težnje, ali i kao nužna posledica tehničkog progressa, mnoge zemlje uvode sistem elektronske javne uprave. Prateći ovaj trend, i naš zakonodavac uspostavlja sistem elektronske javne uprave, kojim nastoji olakšati ostvarivanje prava građana, ali i nastoji poboljšati sliku koju građani imaju o javnoj upravi, ranije naročito poznatoj po tromosti i neefikasnosti. Uvođenje elektronske uprave u domaći pravni sistem, pak, učinjeno bez dovoljne pripreme, nije prošlo bez izvesnih poteškoća, kako na normativnom planu, tako i na planu realizacije različitih normativnih rešenja. Ovaj rad daje prikaz pravnih propisa, odnosno normativnog okvira kojim je uređeno uvođenje i funkcionisanje elektronske uprave u Republici Srbiji.

Ključne reči: *Elektronska uprava, upravni postupak, Zakon o elektronskoj upravi.*

References

1. Carinski zakon [Customs Law]. *Službeni glasnik RS*, br. 95/18, 91/19 – dr. zakon, 144/20 i 118/2
2. Đurašković, J., (2016). *Unapređenje modela efektivnog komuniciranja elektronske uprave sa privrednim društvima: doktorska disertacija* [Improving the model of effective communication of electronic administration with companies: doctoral thesis]. Novi Sad: Univerzitet u Novom Sadu – Fakultet tehničkih nauka

3. Henman, P., (2010). *Governing Electronically, E-Government and the Reconfiguration of Public Administration*, Policy and Power, Palgrave Macmillan, UK
4. Ivanović Knežević, J. (2013). Appeal in Tax Procedure. *Pravo – teorija i praksa*, 30(4-6), pp. 83–95
5. Milkov D., (2017). *Upravno pravo II: upravna delatnost [Administrative law II: Administrative activity]*. Novi Sad: Pravni fakultet u Novom Sadu
6. Program razvoja elektronske uprave u Republici Srbiji za period od 2020. do 2022. godine sa Akcionim planom za njegovo sprovođenje [*Electronic administration development program in the Republic of Serbia for the period from 2020 to 2022 with an Action Plan for its implementation*]. *Službeni glasnik RS*, br. 85/20
7. Torbica, M. (2021). “Silence of the administration” in the administration procedure that is being instituted before the Real Estate Registry and Cable Duct Cadaster. *Pravo – teorija i praksa*, 38(2), pp. 143-155
8. Uredba o bližim uslovima za izradu i održavanje veb prezentacije organa [Regulation on detailed conditions for the development and maintenance of the organ’s web presentation]. *Službeni glasnik RS*, br. 104/18
9. Uredba o bližim uslovima za uspostavljanje elektronske uprave [Regulation on further conditions for the establishment of electronic administration]. *Službeni glasnik RS*, br. 104/18
10. Uredba o načinu rada Portala otvorenih podataka [Regulation on the manner of operation of the Open Data Portal]. *Službeni glasnik RS*, br. 104/18
11. Uredba o načinu vođenja Metaregistra, načinu odobravanja, suspendovanja i ukidanja pristupa servisnoj magistrali organa i načinu rada na Portalu eUprava [Regulation on the way of managing the Metaregister, the way of approving, suspending and cancelling access to the service bus of the body and the way of working on the eAdministration Portal]. *Službeni glasnik RS*, br. 104/18
12. Uredba o organizacionim i tehničkim standardima za održavanje i unapređenje Jedinstvene informaciono-komunikacione mreže elektronske uprave i povezivanje organa na tu mrežu [Regulation on organizational and technical standards for the maintenance and improvement of the Unified Information and Communication Network of electronic administration and the connection of bodies to that network]. *Službeni glasnik RS*, br. 104/18

13. Uredba o Kancelariji za informacione tehnologije i elektronsku upravu [Regulation on the Office for Information Technologies and Electronic Administration]. *Službeni glasnik RS*, br. 73/17 i 8/19
14. Vučinić, D., (2020). Elektronska uprava – koncept i usluge sa osvrtom na elektronsku upravu u Republici Srbiji [Elektronska uprava – koncept i usluge sa osvrtom na elektronsku upravu u Republici Srbiji][Electronic administration – concept and services with in retrospect on the electronic administration in the Republic Serbia]. *Glasnik prava*, (1), pp. 45-56
15. Zakon o državnom premeru i katastru [Law on State Survey and Cadastre]. *Službeni glasnik RS*, br. 72/09, 18/10, 65/13, 15/15 – odluka US, 96/15, 47/17 – autentično tumačenje, 113/17 – dr. zakon, 27/18 – dr. zakon, 41/18 – dr. zakon i 9/20 – dr. zakon
16. Zakon o elektronskoj upravi [Electronic Administration Act]. *Službeni glasnik RS*, br. 27/18
17. Zakon o opštem upravnom postupku [Law on General Administrative Procedure]. *Službeni glasnik RS*, br. 18/16 i 95/18 – aut. tumačenje
18. Zakonom o poreskom postupku i poreskoj administraciji [Law on tax procedure and tax administration]. *Službeni glasnik RS*, br. 80/02, 84/02 – ispr., ..., 86/19 i 144/20
19. Palević, M., (2020). Pravni okvir elektronske uprave u Srbiji [The legal framework of electronic administration in Serbia]. In: Mićović M. (ured.), *Usluge i prava korisnika: zbornik radova* [Services and user's rights: collection of papers] (pp. 523-551). Kragujevac: Pravni fakultet Univerziteta, Institut za pravne i društvene nauke