FIMEK

Journal of Agronomy, Technology and Engineering Management
ISSN 2620-1755

Review

Economy, European Policies, and Citizens' Behavior: Managing Solid Waste as a Resource

Nikola Puvača [1,*], Mirela Tomaš Simin [2], Vojislava Bursić [2], Katarina Đurić [2], Ivana Brkić [1] and Katarina Soleša [1]

[1] Faculty of Economics and Engineering Management in Novi Sad, University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Cvećarska 2, 21107 Novi Sad, Serbia.
[2] Faculty of Agriculture, University of Novi Sad, Trg Dositeja Obradovića 8, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia.
* Correspondence: nikola.puvaca@fimek.edu.rs

Received: 26 October 2024; Accepted: 13 February 2025

Abstract: The management of solid waste represents a critical component of global sustainability efforts, particularly in the context of environmental degradation and resource scarcity. This review explores the intricate relationship between economic strategies, European policy frameworks, and citizen behavior in solid waste management (SWM), highlighting their integration as a pathway to a circular economy. European Union (EU) directives, including the Waste Framework Directive and the Circular Economy Action Plan, have set ambitious targets for waste reduction, recycling, and resource recovery, serving as a global benchmark for sustainable practices. Economic mechanisms such as extended producer responsibility (EPR), pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) schemes, and landfill taxes incentivize waste reduction and foster innovation in resource recovery. Meanwhile, active citizen participation, shaped by awareness, socio-cultural factors, and access to infrastructure, is pivotal in ensuring the success of these initiatives. The paper examines case studies from across Europe, showcasing successful integration efforts while addressing challenges such as policy inconsistencies, infrastructure deficiencies, and socio-economic disparities. By emphasizing the interplay between economic, policy, and behavioral dimensions, this review underscores the need for a holistic approach to SWM. It concludes by highlighting opportunities for advancing sustainable practices through innovation, collaboration, and policy coherence, contributing to the transition toward a resilient and resource-efficient future.

Keywords: *Solid waste; environment; environmental protection; circular economics; European policies; sustainability; resilient future.*

1. Introduction

The management of solid waste has emerged as a critical global challenge, driven by rapid urbanization, population growth, and increased consumerism. While the environmental implications of inefficient waste management are well-documented, its potential as a resource remains underutilized in many regions [1]. By rethinking waste as a valuable asset, societies can mitigate ecological harm and harness economic opportunities, aligning with broader sustainability goals [2].

In Europe, the interplay between economic incentives, policy frameworks, and citizen participation has positioned the region as a leader in sustainable waste management practices. Through the implementation of comprehensive policies such as the Waste Framework Directive and initiatives under the Circular Economy Action Plan, the European Union (EU) has set ambitious targets for waste reduction, recycling, and resource recovery [3]. These measures reflect a paradigm shift toward a circular economy, where materials are kept in use for as long as possible, minimizing waste and maximizing value.

However, the success of these initiatives depends on the integration of various stakeholders, including governments, businesses, and the public. Economic strategies such as extended producer responsibility (EPR) and pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) schemes play a pivotal role in influencing behavior and financing infrastructure, while citizen engagement is critical for ensuring compliance and participation [4]. This review explores how the synergy between economic drivers, policy instruments, and citizen behavior shapes the effectiveness of solid waste management systems, providing insights into best practices, challenges, and opportunities for further improvement.

2. European policies on solid waste management

Solid waste management (SWM) has become a pressing issue across Europe as countries face the dual challenge of mitigating environmental degradation and optimizing resource efficiency [5]. The European Union (EU) has established itself as a global leader in waste management by adopting a series of directives, regulations, and strategies aimed at transitioning from traditional waste disposal methods to a circular economy approach. This chapter reviews the evolution, framework, implementation, and impact of European policies on solid waste management, highlighting their successes, challenges, and future trajectories [6].

The evolution of European policies on waste management reflects a growing awareness of the environmental and economic consequences of waste. In the 1970s, initial efforts focused on addressing the uncontrolled disposal of waste, which posed severe risks to public health and ecosystems. Early legislation, such as the Waste Directive of 1975, established basic definitions and requirements for waste management across member states. This directive marked the EU's first attempt to introduce a unified framework for waste management, setting the foundation for more comprehensive policies in subsequent decades.

By the 1990s, the EU began prioritizing waste minimization and recycling, shifting focus from mere disposal to resource recovery. The introduction of the Waste Framework Directive (2008/98/EC), which consolidated earlier legislation, represented a milestone in European waste policy. This directive outlined the waste hierarchy, emphasizing prevention, reuse, recycling, recovery, and disposal as the preferred order of waste management practices. The hierarchy became a cornerstone of EU waste policy, encouraging member states to adopt strategies that align with sustainable development principles.

European policies on waste management are underpinned by several key directives and regulations that address specific waste streams and management practices. The Waste Framework Directive (2008/98/EC) is the central legislative act governing waste management in the EU. It sets overarching principles and targets for waste prevention and management, requiring member states to develop national waste management plans and waste prevention programs. The directive also introduced the concept of extended producer responsibility (EPR), which holds producers accountable for the entire lifecycle of their products, including end-of-life management.

The Landfill Directive (1999/31/EC) seeks to reduce the environmental impact of landfills by imposing strict operational and technical requirements. This directive aims to limit the amount of biodegradable waste sent to landfills, thereby reducing methane emissions and promoting alternative waste treatment methods. By setting ambitious reduction targets, the directive has incentivized member states to invest in recycling and waste-to-energy facilities.

The Packaging and Packaging Waste Directive (94/62/EC) focuses on reducing the environmental impact of packaging waste. It establishes targets for recycling and recovery while promoting the design of packaging that minimizes environmental harm. The directive has been instrumental in driving innovation in packaging design and fostering collaboration between producers, consumers, and waste management authorities.

Another critical legislative initiative is the Circular Economy Action Plan, introduced in 2015 and updated in 2020 as part of the European Green Deal. This plan aims to accelerate the transition to a circular economy by integrating waste management into broader resource efficiency strategies. It includes measures to improve product design, enhance recycling processes, and reduce waste

generation across sectors. The action plan emphasizes the role of digital technologies and innovation in achieving circularity, aligning waste management practices with the EU's broader climate and sustainability goals.

The implementation of EU waste policies varies significantly across member states due to differences in economic development, institutional capacity, and cultural attitudes toward waste [7]. Northern and Western European countries, such as Germany, the Netherlands, and Sweden, have emerged as leaders in waste management, achieving high recycling rates and minimal reliance on landfilling. These countries have benefited from strong governance structures, public awareness campaigns, and investments in advanced waste treatment technologies [8].

Germany, for example, has achieved a recycling rate of over 65%, driven by comprehensive EPR schemes and strict enforcement of waste segregation requirements [9]. Similarly, Sweden has successfully integrated waste-to-energy processes into its waste management system, reducing its reliance on landfills to less than 1% of total waste generated. The Swedish model exemplifies how policy frameworks can incentivize innovation and create economic opportunities in waste management [10].

In contrast, Southern and Eastern European countries have faced challenges in meeting EU waste targets due to limited infrastructure, financial constraints, and lower levels of public participation. Countries such as Greece, Romania, and Bulgaria continue to rely heavily on landfilling, with recycling rates lagging behind EU averages. To address these disparities, the EU has provided financial support through cohesion funds and technical assistance programs, helping member states improve waste management systems and align with EU standards.

Several success stories illustrate the transformative potential of European waste policies. The implementation of the Plastic Strategy, part of the Circular Economy Action Plan, has led to significant reductions in single-use plastics across member states. Initiatives such as the EU-wide ban on certain single-use plastic items, including straws and cutlery, have demonstrated the effectiveness of regulatory measures in driving behavioral change and reducing plastic pollution [11].

The role of public awareness campaigns in fostering waste reduction and recycling behaviors cannot be overstated. Programs such as "Don't Waste Waste" in the Netherlands and "Zero Waste Scotland" have successfully engaged citizens and businesses in waste management efforts, contributing to higher recycling rates and reduced waste generation. These initiatives highlight the importance of aligning policy measures with community engagement and education to achieve sustainable outcomes.

The adoption of digital technologies has further enhanced the efficiency of waste management systems. Smart waste bins equipped with sensors, for example, have enabled real-time monitoring of waste collection processes, optimizing resource allocation and reducing operational costs. The integration of digital tools into waste management practices aligns with the EU's broader digitalization agenda, showcasing the potential of innovation in achieving policy objectives [12].

Despite significant progress, the implementation of European waste policies is not without challenges. One of the primary barriers is the inconsistency in enforcement and monitoring across member states [13]. While some countries have established robust regulatory frameworks, others struggle with weak enforcement mechanisms and insufficient oversight. This disparity undermines the overall effectiveness of EU waste policies and creates uneven progress toward shared goals.

Another challenge is the complexity of integrating waste management into broader circular economy strategies. Achieving circularity requires a systemic approach that addresses not only waste treatment but also production and consumption patterns [14]. Ensuring that all sectors align with circular economy principles remains a formidable task, necessitating greater coordination and collaboration across industries [15].

Economic factors also play a significant role in shaping the success of waste policies [16]. High costs associated with advanced waste treatment technologies and infrastructure can hinder their adoption, particularly in less developed regions. Balancing economic feasibility with environmental objectives remains a critical challenge for policymakers, requiring innovative financing mechanisms and public-private partnerships.

The future of European waste management policies lies in enhancing their integration with climate and sustainability agendas. The European Green Deal provides a roadmap for achieving a climate-neutral Europe by 2050, with waste management playing a pivotal role in reducing greenhouse gas emissions and conserving natural resources [17]. Strengthening the link between waste policies and broader sustainability goals will be crucial in driving systemic change [18].

Emerging technologies and innovations offer exciting opportunities for advancing waste management practices. The development of chemical recycling methods, for instance, has the potential to transform plastic waste into valuable raw materials, closing the loop in plastic production cycles. Similarly, advancements in artificial intelligence and machine learning can optimize waste sorting and recycling processes, improving efficiency and reducing environmental impact [19].

International collaboration will also be essential in addressing global waste challenges. As the EU continues to lead by example, its policies and practices can inspire other regions to adopt sustainable waste management strategies [20]. Sharing knowledge, expertise, and best practices can accelerate the global transition toward a circular economy, fostering a more sustainable future for all.

3. Economic perspectives on solid waste management

The economics of SWM are influenced by a variety of factors, including collection, transportation, treatment, and disposal costs. Collection and transportation typically account for the largest share of municipal waste management budgets, often comprising up to 60% of total costs [21]. These activities require extensive infrastructure, including fleets of vehicles, fuel, and labor. Despite their high costs, efficient collection systems are crucial for ensuring proper waste management and minimizing environmental impacts.

Treatment and disposal costs vary depending on the methods employed [22]. Traditional landfilling remains one of the cheapest options, especially in countries with abundant land. However, the long-term environmental and economic costs of landfilling—including methane emissions, leachate management, and land degradation—often outweigh its short-term financial benefits. In contrast, recycling and waste-to-energy (WTE) technologies involve higher initial investments but offer significant long-term savings and environmental benefits. Recycling reduces the need for virgin materials, lowering production costs and mitigating resource depletion, while WTE processes generate energy from waste, creating an additional revenue stream [23,24].

Extended producer responsibility (EPR) schemes also play a significant role in the cost structure of SWM. Under EPR, producers bear the financial and operational responsibility for managing the end-of-life stage of their products. This approach not only shifts costs away from municipalities but also incentivizes producers to design products with recycling and reuse in mind, reducing overall waste management expenses [25].

Sustainable waste management offers numerous economic advantages, particularly when waste is treated as a resource rather than a liability. One of the most significant benefits is the conservation of raw materials through recycling and reuse. By recovering valuable materials from waste streams, industries can reduce their reliance on virgin resources, leading to cost savings and increased resource security. For example, recycling aluminum saves up to 95% of the energy required to produce new aluminum from raw materials, translating into substantial economic and environmental gains [26].

Energy recovery through WTE technologies also generates economic value by converting waste into electricity or heat. Countries such as Sweden and Denmark have successfully integrated WTE into their waste management systems, creating a reliable source of renewable energy while reducing landfill reliance. The revenue generated from energy sales can offset the costs of waste treatment, making WTE a financially viable option for many municipalities.

Job creation is another significant economic benefit of sustainable waste management. The transition to a circular economy requires skilled labor for activities such as waste sorting, recycling, composting, and maintenance of advanced waste treatment facilities. Studies indicate that recycling and reuse activities create more jobs per ton of waste compared to landfilling or incineration. In the

European Union, the recycling sector employs over 500,000 people, with potential for further growth as recycling rates increase.

To support the economic viability of sustainable waste management, various financial mechanisms and incentives have been developed at both national and international levels [27]. One of the most common approaches is the implementation of landfill taxes, which discourage landfilling by making it more expensive compared to alternative waste treatment methods. Countries such as the United Kingdom and Sweden have successfully used landfill taxes to reduce landfill dependency and promote recycling and WTE [7].

Subsidies and grants are another important financial tool. These mechanisms provide funding for the development of recycling infrastructure, WTE plants, and public awareness campaigns. The European Union's cohesion funds and the European Investment Bank (EIB) have played a pivotal role in financing waste management projects in member states, particularly in regions with underdeveloped waste infrastructure.

Pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) schemes are an innovative approach to incentivize waste reduction at the household level. Under PAYT, residents are charged based on the amount of waste they generate, encouraging them to reduce, reuse, and recycle. This system has been successfully implemented in several European cities, leading to significant reductions in municipal solid waste and increased recycling rates [28].

Public-private partnerships (PPPs) are also gaining traction as a means of financing waste management projects. By leveraging private sector expertise and capital, municipalities can implement cost-effective and efficient waste management solutions. PPPs have been particularly effective in developing waste treatment facilities, where high upfront costs often deter public sector investment [29].

Despite its potential, the economic transition toward sustainable waste management faces several challenges. High initial investment costs for recycling infrastructure, WTE plants, and advanced waste sorting technologies remain a significant barrier, particularly in developing and transitioning economies. Ensuring access to affordable financing and technical support is essential for overcoming these challenges.

Market volatility for secondary materials, such as recycled plastics, metals, and paper, poses another economic challenge [30]. Fluctuations in demand and prices can undermine the financial viability of recycling operations, deterring investment in the sector. Establishing stable markets for secondary materials through government procurement policies and international trade agreements can help mitigate these risks.

Inadequate enforcement of EPR schemes and landfill taxes also hinders the economic potential of sustainable waste management. Weak regulatory frameworks and inconsistent implementation across regions create uneven playing fields, reducing the effectiveness of economic incentives. Strengthening governance and monitoring mechanisms is critical for ensuring compliance and maximizing the economic benefits of waste policies [31].

The shift toward a circular economy presents significant opportunities for enhancing the economic viability of SWM [32–34]. By closing material loops, circular economy principles aim to maximize the value of resources throughout their lifecycle, minimizing waste and environmental impact. This approach not only reduces costs but also creates new revenue streams and business models.

One promising opportunity lies in the development of secondary raw material markets. By improving the quality and consistency of recycled materials, industries can increase their use of secondary materials in production processes, reducing dependence on virgin resources. Innovations in material recovery technologies, such as chemical recycling for plastics, are expected to further enhance the economic viability of recycling [35].

The rise of digital technologies and data analytics is also transforming the economics of waste management. Smart waste management systems, which use sensors and data analytics to optimize collection and treatment processes, can significantly reduce operational costs and improve resource efficiency. Digital platforms for waste trading and recycling services are creating new markets and business opportunities, further driving economic growth [36].

4. Citizens' behavior and participation

The success of solid waste management (SWM) systems heavily depends on the active involvement and behavior of citizens [37]. Individual and collective actions play a crucial role in determining the effectiveness of waste segregation, recycling, and overall waste reduction. This chapter delves into the factors influencing citizens' behavior, the mechanisms to enhance public participation, and the socio-cultural dynamics that shape attitudes toward waste management [37]. It also highlights successful case studies and the challenges associated with fostering sustainable waste practices among the populace.

Citizens' behavior toward waste management is shaped by a variety of factors, including awareness, socio-economic status, cultural norms, and access to waste management services [38]. Awareness and education are among the most critical determinants. Individuals who understand the environmental and economic implications of improper waste disposal are more likely to engage in sustainable practices such as recycling and composting.

Socio-economic status also plays a significant role. Wealthier individuals and households often have better access to waste management services and are more likely to adopt sustainable behaviors. Conversely, low-income communities may face barriers such as inadequate infrastructure, making it challenging to participate in formal waste management systems [39]. Additionally, cultural norms and traditions influence how waste is perceived and handled. In some cultures, waste is viewed as a resource, fostering practices like material reuse and organic waste composting.

Access to waste management infrastructure is another critical factor. Citizens are more likely to participate in waste segregation and recycling programs if they are provided with convenient facilities, such as curbside collection or drop-off centers [40]. Conversely, a lack of infrastructure can discourage participation, even among individuals who are otherwise motivated to engage in sustainable practices.

Enhancing public participation in SWM requires a combination of education, incentives, and community engagement. Educational campaigns are vital for raising awareness about the importance of proper waste management and the specific actions individuals can take. These campaigns can be delivered through schools, media, and community events, ensuring that information reaches a broad audience.

Incentives are another effective tool for encouraging participation. Pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) schemes, for example, provide financial rewards for reducing waste generation, motivating individuals to recycle and compost. Deposit-refund systems for beverage containers have also proven successful in increasing recycling rates by offering monetary returns for returned items [28].

Community engagement is essential for fostering a sense of collective responsibility. Initiatives such as neighborhood clean-up drives, community composting programs, and local recycling cooperatives can bring people together and reinforce sustainable waste practices. Involving community leaders and influencers in these initiatives can further enhance their reach and effectiveness.

The socio-cultural context significantly influences citizens' attitudes and behaviors toward waste management [41]. In some communities, traditional practices such as composting organic waste or repairing broken items align with modern sustainability principles. Leveraging these existing practices can be an effective way to promote sustainable waste management [41].

However, cultural barriers can also pose challenges. For instance, the stigma associated with waste handling in certain societies may discourage individuals from participating in recycling or composting activities [42]. Addressing these cultural barriers requires targeted interventions, such as campaigns that challenge stereotypes and highlight the value of waste management as a profession and a civic duty.

The role of social norms and peer influence cannot be underestimated. When sustainable practices are normalized within a community, individuals are more likely to adopt similar behaviors. Conversely, a lack of visible participation can perpetuate apathy and non-compliance. Social marketing strategies that emphasize collective action and showcase positive examples can help shift norms and encourage broader participation.

Despite the importance of citizen involvement, several challenges hinder effective participation in SWM. A significant barrier is the lack of awareness and education. Many individuals are unaware of proper waste management practices or the environmental consequences of improper disposal [43]. Addressing this gap requires sustained educational efforts and accessible information.

Infrastructure deficiencies also pose a significant challenge. In many regions, particularly in developing countries, the lack of adequate waste collection and processing facilities limits citizens' ability to participate in formal waste management systems. Strengthening infrastructure is essential for enabling and encouraging participation.

Economic barriers further complicate citizen involvement. In low-income communities, the immediate costs of waste segregation or participation in recycling programs may outweigh perceived benefits [44]. Providing financial incentives and subsidies can help overcome these barriers and encourage broader participation.

Resistance to change is another challenge. Behavioral inertia, skepticism about the effectiveness of waste management systems, and a lack of trust in authorities can all discourage participation. Building trust through transparent communication and demonstrating the tangible benefits of sustainable waste management can help address these issues [45].

5. Integration of economy, policies, and citizen behavior

The integration of economic considerations, policy frameworks, and citizen behavior is pivotal in establishing an effective and sustainable solid waste management (SWM) system. These three dimensions are deeply interconnected, each reinforcing and complementing the others to achieve overarching environmental, economic, and social goals. This chapter examines how economic incentives, policy instruments, and active citizen participation converge to create comprehensive waste management systems. It also explores the synergies, challenges, and pathways for fostering holistic integration in SWM [46].

Economic mechanisms play a central role in aligning individual and collective behavior with policy objectives [47]. Instruments such as taxes, subsidies, and market-based approaches are used to influence waste generation, segregation, and recycling practices. For instance, landfill taxes and extended producer responsibility (EPR) schemes create financial disincentives for excessive waste generation while encouraging recycling and reuse.

Pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) systems exemplify how economic incentives directly impact citizen behavior. By charging households and businesses based on the volume of non-recyclable waste they produce, PAYT programs encourage waste reduction and segregation at the source. Similarly, deposit-refund systems for beverage containers reward citizens for returning used items, thereby fostering a circular economy [28].

These economic tools not only promote environmentally responsible behavior but also generate revenue streams that can be reinvested in infrastructure and education campaigns. However, their effectiveness hinges on robust policy frameworks and public buy-in, highlighting the need for integrated approaches.

Policies provide the foundation for integrating economic mechanisms and citizen participation into SWM systems [48]. Comprehensive legal and regulatory frameworks establish the rules, responsibilities, and standards that guide waste management practices. At the European level, directives such as the Waste Framework Directive and the Circular Economy Action Plan serve as blueprints for member states, outlining targets for waste reduction, recycling, and resource recovery.

Policies also play a critical role in ensuring the equitable distribution of costs and benefits. For example, EPR policies hold manufacturers accountable for the lifecycle impacts of their products, incentivizing sustainable design and reducing the financial burden on municipalities and taxpayers. Similarly, policies that mandate waste segregation and recycling create opportunities for citizens to actively contribute to waste management goals [49].

To maximize their impact, policies must be transparent, enforceable, and adaptable to local contexts. They should also be supported by mechanisms for monitoring, reporting, and evaluation to

ensure compliance and continuous improvement. The integration of policies with economic tools and citizen participation is essential for creating a cohesive and effective SWM system.

Citizen behavior is both a driver and an outcome of economic and policy interventions. Public compliance with waste management regulations and participation in recycling programs are critical for achieving policy objectives [50]. Similarly, the success of economic tools like PAYT systems depends on citizens' willingness to adopt sustainable practices.

Education and awareness campaigns are instrumental in shaping behavior and fostering a culture of sustainability. When citizens understand the rationale behind policies and the economic benefits of waste reduction, they are more likely to support and participate in SWM initiatives [51]. Community engagement and participatory decision-making processes further strengthen this alignment by ensuring that policies and economic tools reflect the needs and values of the population.

Behavioral nudges and social marketing strategies can also complement traditional economic and policy instruments. For instance, campaigns that highlight the environmental and economic benefits of recycling or showcase the collective impact of community efforts can motivate individuals to adopt sustainable practices [52].

The integration of economy, policies, and citizen behavior creates synergies that enhance the effectiveness of SWM systems [53]. Economic incentives provide the resources and motivation for compliance, while policies establish the framework for action. Citizen participation, in turn, ensures the practical implementation of these measures, creating a feedback loop that drives continuous improvement.

However, achieving seamless integration is not without challenges. Misaligned policies, inadequate infrastructure, and socio-economic disparities can undermine the effectiveness of economic tools and discourage citizen participation. For example, poorly designed PAYT systems may disproportionately impact low-income households, leading to resistance and non-compliance. Similarly, the lack of accessible recycling facilities can limit the effectiveness of policy mandates and economic incentives [54].

Another challenge lies in balancing short-term economic considerations with long-term sustainability goals. Policymakers must navigate competing priorities, such as reducing waste management costs while investing in infrastructure and education. Ensuring equity and inclusivity is also critical, as marginalized communities often bear the brunt of inadequate waste management systems.

To overcome these challenges and maximize the benefits of integration, a multi-stakeholder approach is essential. Governments, businesses, and civil society must collaborate to align economic, policy, and behavioral dimensions. Specific strategies include:

- Strengthening Policy Coherence: Ensuring that policies across different sectors, such as waste management, environmental protection, and economic development, are aligned and mutually reinforcing [55].

- Investing in Infrastructure: Developing accessible and efficient waste collection, processing, and recycling facilities to support policy implementation and citizen participation [56].

- Enhancing Public Engagement: Using participatory approaches to involve citizens in decision-making processes, fostering a sense of ownership and accountability [57].

- Promoting Innovation: Encouraging the development and adoption of new technologies and business models that support waste reduction and resource recovery [58].

- Monitoring and Evaluation: Establishing mechanisms to track progress, identify gaps, and adapt strategies as needed [59].

The integration of economy, policies, and citizen behavior is a cornerstone of sustainable solid waste management. By leveraging economic incentives, robust policy frameworks, and active public participation, stakeholders can create systems that are both effective and resilient. While challenges remain, the potential for transformative change underscores the importance of holistic and collaborative approaches [60]. As global waste generation continues to rise, the integration of these dimensions will be critical for achieving a sustainable and equitable future [61].

6. Conclusions

As solid waste management continues to evolve within the framework of the circular economy, future efforts must focus on strengthening the integration of economic mechanisms, policy frameworks, and citizen participation. Key recommendations include expanding the adoption of innovative financial instruments, such as extended producer responsibility and pay-as-you-throw schemes, to further incentivize sustainable waste practices. Policymakers should prioritize coherence across regulations, ensuring alignment with broader climate and resource efficiency goals.

Investments in waste management infrastructure, particularly in underserved regions, are critical to enabling equitable participation and enhancing overall system efficiency. Concurrently, public awareness campaigns and educational initiatives must be amplified to foster a culture of sustainability, leveraging behavioral nudges and community engagement strategies. Emerging technologies, including smart waste systems and advanced recycling methods, should be actively promoted to optimize resource recovery and reduce environmental impact.

By embracing innovation, fostering multi-stakeholder collaboration, and maintaining commitment to equity, solid waste management systems can transition toward a more resilient, resource-efficient future. These efforts will not only address the pressing challenges of waste management but also contribute significantly to global sustainability objectives.

Acknowledgments: This research is a part of the project VI-501-2/2024-14v-11 financed by Novi Sad City Administration for Environmental Protection, Autonomous Province of Vojvodina, Republic of Serbia.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Singh, J.; Laurenti, R.; Sinha, R.; Frostell, B. Progress and Challenges to the Global Waste Management System. *Waste Manag Res* **2014**, *32*, 800–812, doi:10.1177/0734242X14537868.
2. Khan, S.; Anjum, R.; Raza, S.T.; Ahmed Bazai, N.; Ihtisham, M. Technologies for Municipal Solid Waste Management: Current Status, Challenges, and Future Perspectives. *Chemosphere* **2022**, *288*, 132403, doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.132403.
3. Malinauskaite, J.; Jouhara, H.; Czajczyńska, D.; Stanchev, P.; Katsou, E.; Rostkowski, P.; Thorne, R.J.; Colón, J.; Ponsá, S.; Al-Mansour, F.; et al. Municipal Solid Waste Management and Waste-to-Energy in the Context of a Circular Economy and Energy Recycling in Europe. *Energy* **2017**, *141*, 2013–2044, doi:10.1016/j.energy.2017.11.128.
4. Gupt, Y.; Sahay, S. Review of Extended Producer Responsibility: A Case Study Approach. *Waste Manag Res* **2015**, *33*, 595–611, doi:10.1177/0734242X15592275.
5. Das, S.; Lee, S.-H.; Kumar, P.; Kim, K.-H.; Lee, S.S.; Bhattacharya, S.S. Solid Waste Management: Scope and the Challenge of Sustainability. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **2019**, *228*, 658–678, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.04.323.

6. Soni, A.; Das, P.K.; Hashmi, A.W.; Yusuf, M.; Kamyab, H.; Chelliapan, S. Challenges and Opportunities of Utilizing Municipal Solid Waste as Alternative Building Materials for Sustainable Development Goals: A Review. *Sustainable Chemistry and Pharmacy* **2022**, *27*, 100706, doi:10.1016/j.scp.2022.100706.
7. Pires, A.; Martinho, G.; Chang, N.-B. Solid Waste Management in European Countries: A Review of Systems Analysis Techniques. *Journal of Environmental Management* **2011**, *92*, 1033–1050, doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2010.11.024.
8. Nanda, S.; Berruti, F. Municipal Solid Waste Management and Landfilling Technologies: A Review. *Environ Chem Lett* **2021**, *19*, 1433–1456, doi:10.1007/s10311-020-01100-y.
9. Tumu, K.; Vorst, K.; Curtzwiler, G. Global Plastic Waste Recycling and Extended Producer Responsibility Laws. *Journal of Environmental Management* **2023**, *348*, 119242, doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2023.119242.
10. Alao, M.A.; Popoola, O.M.; Ayodele, T.R. Waste-to-energy Nexus: An Overview of Technologies and Implementation for Sustainable Development. *Cleaner Energy Systems* **2022**, *3*, 100034, doi:10.1016/j.cles.2022.100034.
11. Foschi, E.; Bonoli, A. The Commitment of Packaging Industry in the Framework of the European Strategy for Plastics in a Circular Economy. *Administrative Sciences* **2019**, *9*, 18, doi:10.3390/admsci9010018.
12. Ramos, T.R.P.; de Morais, C.S.; Barbosa-Póvoa, A.P. The Smart Waste Collection Routing Problem: Alternative Operational Management Approaches. *Expert Systems with Applications* **2018**, *103*, 146–158, doi:10.1016/j.eswa.2018.03.001.
13. Singh, D. The Centralisation of European Financial Regulation and Supervision: Is There a Need for a Single Enforcement Handbook? *Eur Bus Org Law Rev* **2015**, *16*, 439–465, doi:10.1007/s40804-015-0025-8.
14. Iacovidou, E.; Hahladakis, J.N.; Purnell, P. A Systems Thinking Approach to Understanding the Challenges of Achieving the Circular Economy. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* **2021**, *28*, 24785–24806, doi:10.1007/s11356-020-11725-9.
15. Kojić, N.; Prodanović, R. By-Products of Wine Production in the Service of the Circular Economy. *J Agron Technol Eng Manag* **2024**, *7*, 1245–1251, doi:10.55817/TPWZ4981.
16. Dada, M.A.; Obaigbena, A.; Majemite, M.T.; Oliha, J.S.; Biu, P.W. Innovative Approaches to Waste Resource Management: Implications for Environmental Sustainability and Policy. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal* **2024**, *5*, 115–127, doi:10.51594/estj.v5i1.731.
17. Ecer, K.; Güner, O. The European Union's Policies and Role in Tackling Climate Change in the Context of the European Green Deal. In *The Social Consequences of Climate Change*; Açikalin, Ş.N., Erçetin, Ş.Ş., Eds.; Emerald Publishing Limited, 2024; pp. 163–185 ISBN 978-1-83797-678-2.
18. Puvača, N.; Brkić, I. The Role of Bees in Green Agriculture. *Ekon: teor praks* **2024**, 82–103, doi:10.5937/etp243-2082P.
19. Möslinger, M.; Ulpiani, G.; Vetters, N. Circular Economy and Waste Management to Empower a Climate-Neutral Urban Future. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **2023**, *421*, 138454, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.138454.
20. Ribić, B.; Voća, N.; Ilakovac, B. Concept of Sustainable Waste Management in the City of Zagreb: Towards the Implementation of Circular Economy Approach. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association* **2017**, *67*, 241–259, doi:10.1080/10962247.2016.1229700.
21. Massarutto, A. Economic Aspects of Thermal Treatment of Solid Waste in a Sustainable WM System. *Waste Management* **2015**, *37*, 45–57, doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2014.08.024.
22. Daskalopoulos, E.; Badr, O.; Probert, S.D. Economic and Environmental Evaluations of Waste Treatment and Disposal Technologies for Municipal Solid Waste. *Applied Energy* **1997**, *58*, 209–255, doi:10.1016/S0306-2619(97)00053-6.

23. Bhojwani, S.; Topolski, K.; Mukherjee, R.; Sengupta, D.; El-Halwagi, M.M. Technology Review and Data Analysis for Cost Assessment of Water Treatment Systems. *Science of The Total Environment* **2019**, *651*, 2749–2761, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.363.
24. Aleluia, J.; Ferrão, P. Assessing the Costs of Municipal Solid Waste Treatment Technologies in Developing Asian Countries. *Waste Management* **2017**, *69*, 592–608, doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2017.08.047.
25. Abu-Qdais, H.; Al-Saleh, M. Developing an Extended Producer Responsibility System for Solid Waste Management in Jordan Using Multi-Criteria Decision-Making Approach. *Waste Manag Res* **2024**, *42*, 533–543, doi:10.1177/0734242X231198444.
26. Greyson, J. An Economic Instrument for Zero Waste, Economic Growth and Sustainability. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **2007**, *15*, 1382–1390, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2006.07.019.
27. Batista, M.; Goyannes Gusmão Caiado, R.; Gonçalves Quelhas, O.L.; Brito Alves Lima, G.; Leal Filho, W.; Rocha Yparraguirre, I.T. A Framework for Sustainable and Integrated Municipal Solid Waste Management: Barriers and Critical Factors to Developing Countries. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **2021**, *312*, 127516, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.127516.
28. Emmanouil, C.; Papadopoulou, K.; Papamichael, I.; Zorpas, A.A. Pay-as-You-Throw (PAYT) for Municipal Solid Waste Management in Greece: On Public Opinion and Acceptance. *Sustainability* **2022**, *14*, 15429, doi:10.3390/su142215429.
29. Marx, A. Public-Private Partnerships for Sustainable Development: Exploring Their Design and Its Impact on Effectiveness. *Sustainability* **2019**, *11*, 1087, doi:10.3390/su11041087.
30. Shamsuyeva, M.; Endres, H.-J. Plastics in the Context of the Circular Economy and Sustainable Plastics Recycling: Comprehensive Review on Research Development, Standardization and Market. *Composites Part C: Open Access* **2021**, *6*, 100168, doi:10.1016/j.jcomc.2021.100168.
31. Ezeudu, O.B.; Bristow, D. Financing Methods for Solid Waste Management: A Review of Typology, Classifications, and Circular Economy Implications. *Sustainable Development n/a*, doi:10.1002/sd.3256.
32. Afshari, H.; Gurtu, A.; Jaber, M.Y. Unlocking the Potential of Solid Waste Management with Circular Economy and Industry 4.0. *Computers & Industrial Engineering* **2024**, *195*, 110457, doi:10.1016/j.cie.2024.110457.
33. Gardašević, J.; Brkić, I.; Kovačević, M. Management of the Entrepreneurial Ecosystem. *J Agron Technol Eng Manag* **2024**, *7*, 1067–1073, doi:10.55817/KUNO3911.
34. Vapa Tankosić, J.; Mirjanić, B.; Prodanović, R.; Lekić, S.; Carić, B. Digitalization in Agricultural Sector: Agriculture 4.0 for Sustainable Agriculture. *J Agron Technol Eng Manag* **2024**, *7*, 1036–1042, doi:10.55817/GEQW8736.
35. Rigamonti, L.; Niero, M.; Haupt, M.; Grosso, M.; Judl, J. Recycling Processes and Quality of Secondary Materials: Food for Thought for Waste-Management-Oriented Life Cycle Assessment Studies. *Waste Management* **2018**, *76*, 261–265, doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2018.03.001.
36. Olawade, D.B.; Fapohunda, O.; Wada, O.Z.; Usman, S.O.; Ige, A.O.; Ajisafe, O.; Oladapo, B.I. Smart Waste Management: A Paradigm Shift Enabled by Artificial Intelligence. *Waste Management Bulletin* **2024**, *2*, 244–263, doi:10.1016/j.wmb.2024.05.001.
37. Raghu, S.J.; Rodrigues, L.L.R. Behavioral Aspects of Solid Waste Management: A Systematic Review. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association* **2020**, *70*, 1268–1302, doi:10.1080/10962247.2020.1823524.
38. Knickmeyer, D. Social Factors Influencing Household Waste Separation: A Literature Review on Good Practices to Improve the Recycling Performance of Urban Areas. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **2020**, *245*, 118605, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118605.

39. Aparcana, S. Approaches to Formalization of the Informal Waste Sector into Municipal Solid Waste Management Systems in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: Review of Barriers and Success Factors. *Waste Management* **2017**, *61*, 593–607, doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2016.12.028.
40. Xirogiannopoulou, A.; Papaioannou, G.; Vagiona, D. Recycling Drop-off Centre: Can It Have an Effect? *Environ. Res. Commun.* **2024**, *6*, 095026, doi:10.1088/2515-7620/ad78b8.
41. Concari, A.; Kok, G.; Martens, P. A Systematic Literature Review of Concepts and Factors Related to Pro-Environmental Consumer Behaviour in Relation to Waste Management Through an Interdisciplinary Approach. *Sustainability* **2020**, *12*, 4452, doi:10.3390/su12114452.
42. Sewak, A.; Deshpande, S.; Rundle-Thiele, S.; Zhao, F.; Anibaldi, R. Community Perspectives and Engagement in Sustainable Solid Waste Management (SWM) in Fiji: A Socioecological Thematic Analysis. *Journal of Environmental Management* **2021**, *298*, 113455, doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.113455.
43. Debrah, J.K.; Vidal, D.G.; Dinis, M.A.P. Raising Awareness on Solid Waste Management through Formal Education for Sustainability: A Developing Countries Evidence Review. *Recycling* **2021**, *6*, 6, doi:10.3390/recycling6010006.
44. Bennett, R.; Savani, S.; Ali-Choudhury, R. Effective Strategies for Enhancing Waste Recycling Rates in Socially Deprived Areas. *Journal of Customer Behaviour* **2008**, *7*, 71–97, doi:10.1362/147539208X290398.
45. Yamoah, F.A.; Sivarajah, U.; Mahroof, K.; Peña, I.G. Demystifying Corporate Inertia towards Transition to Circular Economy: A Management Frame of Reference. *International Journal of Production Economics* **2022**, *244*, 108388, doi:10.1016/j.ijpe.2021.108388.
46. Dioba, A.; Kroker, V.; Dewitte, S.; Lange, F. Barriers to Pro-Environmental Behavior Change: A Review of Qualitative Research. *Sustainability* **2024**, *16*, 8776, doi:10.3390/su16208776.
47. Mohandes, N.; Bayhan, S.; Sanfilippo, A.; Abu-Rub, H. Peer-to-Peer Trade and the Sharing Economy at Distribution Level: A Review of the Literature. *IEEE Access* **2023**, *11*, 122842–122858, doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3328228.
48. Marshall, R.E.; Farahbakhsh, K. Systems Approaches to Integrated Solid Waste Management in Developing Countries. *Waste Management* **2013**, *33*, 988–1003, doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2012.12.023.
49. Leclerc, S.H.; Badami, M.G. Extended Producer Responsibility for E-Waste Management: Policy Drivers and Challenges. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **2020**, *251*, 119657, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119657.
50. Ketin, S.; Kostić, B.; Plojović, Š. Agricultural Waste and Statistics. *Ekon: teor praks* **2024**, 66–81, doi:10.5937/etp243-2066K.
51. Shutaleva, A. Ecological Culture and Critical Thinking: Building of a Sustainable Future. *Sustainability* **2023**, *15*, 13492, doi:10.3390/su151813492.
52. Kuehnhanss, C.R. Nudges and Nodality Tools: New Developments in Old Instruments. In *Routledge Handbook of Policy Design*; Routledge, 2018 ISBN 978-1-351-25292-8.
53. Jotaworn, S.; Nitivattananon, V.; Kusakabe, K.; Xue, W. Partnership towards Synergistic Municipal Solid Waste Management Services in a Coastal Tourism Sub-Region. *Sustainability* **2021**, *13*, 397, doi:10.3390/su13010397.
54. Zhu, J.; Fan, C.; Shi, H.; Shi, L. Efforts for a Circular Economy in China: A Comprehensive Review of Policies. *Journal of Industrial Ecology* **2019**, *23*, 110–118, doi:10.1111/jiec.12754.
55. Antwi-Agyei, P.; Dougill, A.J.; Stringer, L.C. Assessing Coherence between Sector Policies and Climate Compatible Development: Opportunities for Triple Wins. *Sustainability* **2017**, *9*, 2130, doi:10.3390/su9112130.
56. Kirkman, R.; Voulvoulis, N. The Role of Public Communication in Decision Making for Waste Management Infrastructure. *Journal of Environmental Management* **2017**, *203*, 640–647, doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.06.002.

57. Rijal, S. The Importance of Community Involvement in Public Management Planning and Decision-Making Processes. *Journal of Contemporary Administration and Management (ADMAN)* **2023**, *1*, 84–92, doi:10.61100/adman.v1i2.27.
58. Nascimento, D.L.M.; Alencastro, V.; Quelhas, O.L.G.; Caiado, R.G.G.; Garza-Reyes, J.A.; Rocha-Lona, L.; Tortorella, G. Exploring Industry 4.0 Technologies to Enable Circular Economy Practices in a Manufacturing Context: A Business Model Proposal. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management* **2018**, *30*, 607–627, doi:10.1108/JMTM-03-2018-0071.
59. Dupuits, E.; Garcés, A.; Llambí, L.D.; Bustamante, M. Strategies for Monitoring and Evaluation of Climate Change Adaptation: Localizing Global Approaches into Andean Realities. *npj Clim. Action* **2024**, *3*, 1–11, doi:10.1038/s44168-023-00097-8.
60. Suryawan, I.W.K.; Lee, C.-H. Community Preferences in Carbon Reduction: Unveiling the Importance of Adaptive Capacity for Solid Waste Management. *Ecological Indicators* **2023**, *157*, 111226, doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2023.111226.
61. Bui, T.-D.; Tsai, F.M.; Tseng, M.-L.; Wu, K.-J.; Chiu, A.SF. Effective Municipal Solid Waste Management Capability under Uncertainty in Vietnam: Utilizing Economic Efficiency and Technology to Foster Social Mobilization and Environmental Integrity. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **2020**, *259*, 120981, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120981.