THE DARK HORIZON OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND ChatGPT: A TRANSHUMANISTIC PERSPECTIVE

Borivoje V. Baltezarević
The Institute of Serbian Culture Priština – Leposavić, Republic of Serbia, baltezb@yahoo.co.uk

Abstract: This paper delves into the multifaceted implications of artificial intelligence (AI) and ChatGPT within the context of transhumanism. The juxtaposition of utopian and dystopian perspectives is employed to illuminate both the remarkable potential and the looming threats associated with these technologies. From a utopian viewpoint, AI and ChatGPT hold promise in augmenting human capabilities. These systems have demonstrated the capacity to streamline various facets of our daily lives, from information retrieval to creative content generation. Their potential to catalyze scientific research and enhance decision-making processes is indeed impressive. However, a shift to the dystopian perspective reveals a starkly contrasting reality. The rapid proliferation of AI and ChatGPT raises significant concerns related to privacy, ethics, and control. Research indicates that biased algorithms can exacerbate societal inequalities, while the misuse of these technologies may pose threats to democracy and personal autonomy. The risks of AI superintelligence, pose existential risks to humanity. Drawing from the body of current research, we observe that overreliance on AI and ChatGPT for social interactions may undermine genuine human connections, fostering a fragmented society. Additionally, the phenomenon of algorithmic determinism, raises questions about the potential loss of individual agency and the emergence of surveillance capitalism as AI systems accumulate vast amounts of personal data.
In a transhumanistic context, we confront the profound implications of AI and ChatGPT for the very essence of human existence. As we inch closer to a world where machines and humans merge, we do recognize a threat of the possibility of losing our essence as Homo sapiens. The quest for enhancement through AI implants and neural interfaces might lead to unforeseen consequences, challenging the very fabric of human identity. This paper underscores the urgency of adopting a balanced approach to the integration of AI and ChatGPT into our lives. The duality of utopian and dystopian perspectives reminds us of the ethical, social, and philosophical dilemmas posed by these technologies. The future of transhumanism depends on our ability to harness the potential of AI while safeguarding against its inherent threats. This necessitates a holistic and multidisciplinary approach, combining technological innovation with rigorous ethical scrutiny and robust regulatory frameworks. Only by navigating this complex terrain with prudence can we hope to shape a future where AI and ChatGPT contribute to the betterment of humanity rather than its detriment.
Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, ChatGPT, Transhumanism, Dystopian Concerns, Ethical Challenges

1. INTRODUCTION

The contemporary landscape of artificial intelligence (AI) has prompted an array of debates among scholars, ethicists, and practitioners, delineating a paradigm where utopian and dystopian narratives frequently clash. The exponential growth in computational capabilities, machine learning techniques, and specialized subfields such as natural language processing has led to the creation of advanced conversational agents like ChatGPT. On one hand, the utopian vision posits these advancements as a continuum of human evolution, echoing the transhumanist ideologies that advocate for using technology to augment human capabilities. On the other hand, the dystopian narrative views the ascent of AI and ChatGPT as potential precursors to existential risks, ethical quandaries, and societal disruptions. Within the scholarly discourse, transhumanism serves as an apt framework for exploring these dualities. Transhumanism refers to a cultural, intellectual, and sometimes even religious movement that advocates for employing advanced technologies to enhance human cognitive and physical abilities, thereby transcending the limitations inherent to the human condition (Bostrom, 2005). This vision seems poised to capitalize on AI-driven developments. However, its precepts may simultaneously sow the seeds for a range of unforeseen ethical and existential pitfalls. The complexity of the implications, both positive and negative, necessitates rigorous scholarly investigation to understand the full spectrum of potential outcomes. In particular, issues related to ethical governance, data privacy, social inequalities, and psychological well-being warrant a deeper probe to establish a balanced perspective. This is especially significant given that technologies like AI and ChatGPT do not exist in a vacuum but rather are deeply embedded in social, cultural, and political ecosystems.By acknowledging that AI and ChatGPT technologies are not merely tools but active mediators of human experience, this essay seeks to synthesize a range of scholarly viewpoints to present a nuanced understanding. Grounded in empirical research and critical theory, the essay integrates findings from existing scientific literature published primarily within the last five years. Moreover, it grapples with the research question: What are the negative aspects and threats of AI and ChatGPT

when viewed through a transhumanistic lens, and how do these contrast with the utopian promises frequently attributed to these technologies? The urgency of these questions lies in their implications for policy-making, ethical frameworks, and future research agendas. In an era where AI-powered systems like ChatGPT can write poetry, compose music, or even emulate human conversation, we must scrutinize what it means for our species in the long run. Therefore, the following sections engage in a critical examination of both utopian and dystopian perspectives on AI and ChatGPT within the context of transhumanism, with a focus on identifying and addressing their inherent threats and ethical complexities. Thus, the scope of this essay is delineated by its commitment to a balanced, scholarly investigation into a subject that continues to evolve and challenge our understanding of technology's role in human evolution and societal structure.

2. DISCUSSIONS

The utopian view of AI and ChatGPT emphasizes their utility in solving complex problems, augmenting human abilities, and generating transformative opportunities. ChatGPT, a product of this AI boom, has potential use-cases in mental health support, educational assistance, and customer service, transcending barriers of geography and time (Vaidyam et al., 2019). The capacity for these technologies to augment human effort and knowledge cannot be underestimated. But while the upside is compelling, a comprehensive review must not ignore the ethical and sociological dimensions that come with this progress. One major concern centers on data privacy. In the era of big data, AI systems often require substantial amounts of data to operate efficiently. This massive data collection has led to significant privacy compromises. A notable example is the unauthorized use of data in the context of social media to influence political decisions, as was observed in the Cambridge Analytica scandal (Cadwalladr & Graham-Harrison, 2018). Moreover, the use of facial recognition technology in surveillance poses a serious threat to individual privacy (Fussey & Murray, 2019). The dystopian outlook is also troubled by the ethical implications of decision-making by algorithms. Algorithms trained on biased historical data can reinforce and even exacerbate existing social inequalities. Recent studies have shown that machine learning algorithms deployed in judicial systems, for instance, have perpetuated racial biases (Angwin et al., 2016). The ethical dilemma extends to the employment sector, where automated screening algorithms may discriminate against certain demographics inadvertently (Dastin, 2018). Additionally, there is a psychological toll associated with the broad integration of AI into social life. Turkle (2015) notes that the excessive use of AI for social interaction can diminish the quality of human relationships, diluting emotional depth and the complexities of interpersonal communication. In the realm of ChatGPT, this issue manifests as a potential for emotional detachment and a decrease in the need for genuine human interaction (Lucas et al., 2020). As we navigate through these ethical and sociological quandaries, it is pertinent to consider the surveillance economy that Zuboff (2019) explores in-depth. Zuboff argues that AI systems, by virtue of their data-intensive nature, form the backbone of a new kind of capitalism that profits from surveillance. This is particularly troubling in the context of ChatGPT, which is capable of intimate conversation and potentially storing sensitive information. The commodification of user data can lead to the user becoming the product, rather than the consumer, in the new age of surveillance capitalism. Furthermore, there is a significant concern about the potential misuse of AI technology in authoritarian regimes. In autocratic societies, AI can serve as a tool of repression and control. For example, advanced algorithms can automate censorship, monitor public sentiment, and identify dissent, thereby furthering state control and silencing opposition (King et al., 2017). In the case of ChatGPT, its natural language understanding could theoretically be used to monitor communications for dissent or to spread disinformation at an unprecedented scale. The discussion around the negative implications of AI and ChatGPT also intersects with ongoing debates in transhumanism. Transhumanism is predicated on the idea that technology will allow humans to transcend biological limitations, offering an enhanced or "augmented" state of existence. However, Harari (2018) points out that transhumanism may come at the cost of creating profound inequality between those who can afford to augment themselves and those who cannot. AI and machine learning could serve to create a bio-technological divide, perpetuating social inequalities on an unprecedented scale. Another transhumanistic concern is the alteration of human identity through AI interventions, such as neural implants, that aim to enhance cognitive abilities. These intrusive technologies could redefine the very essence of humanity, and not necessarily for the better (Hildt, 2019). Bostrom (2014) considers these issues from an existential risk perspective, cautioning that the creation of superintelligent AI could result in human extinction if misaligned with human values.

In contrast, a transhumanistic utopia could theoretically emerge where AI technologies democratize opportunities and benefits. However, such an ideal state is predicated on responsible governance, ethical usage, and equitable distribution of AI resources, something that currently appears increasingly difficult to ensure given rapid technological advancements and the ethical lag that accompanies it (Sarewitz, 2015). The expansive capabilities of AI also force us to reckon with potential job displacement. Automation has long been a concern in industrial settings, but AI and machine learning pose a threat to white-collar jobs as well (Chui et al., 2016). Accountants,

lawyers, and even medical professionals could see significant portions of their workload automated, leading to uncertain economic futures for vast swaths of the population. Thus, in dissecting the implications of AI and ChatGPT, it becomes evident that the ethical, psychological, and social issues are as compelling as the technological advantages. While AI and machine learning promise to revolutionize numerous sectors, their negative impacts could be profound if not responsibly managed. These technologies, developed and deployed in an unregulated fashion, could lead to a dystopian future characterized by exacerbated social inequalities, mass surveillance, ethical quandaries, and an undermined human condition.

3. CONCLUSIONS

The unprecedented advancements in AI and natural language processing, exemplified by platforms like ChatGPT, represent a transformative moment in human history. However, this transformation is fraught with challenges that extend beyond the mere technical. Ethical, psychological, and social implications necessitate comprehensive scrutiny. From the utopian perspective, these technologies promise an extraordinary augmentation of human capabilities, pushing the boundaries of what is possible in science, medicine, and social interaction. But the counter-narrative, imbued with dystopian elements, suggests a more cautionary tale that cannot be ignored. The ethical dilemmas posed by AI and ChatGPT are both intricate and pervasive. The potential for biased algorithms to perpetuate societal injustices, as highlighted by O'Neil (2016) and Eubanks (2018), requires immediate attention. Additionally, surveillance capitalism, a term coined by Zuboff (2019), represents a pivotal challenge to individual privacy and autonomy in the digital age. Further aggravating these issues are concerns about the misuse of AI in authoritarian regimes, as discussed by King et al. (2017), which could facilitate greater state control and oppression. Transhumanism offers a frame of reference for some of the most profound questions surrounding the advancement of AI. The ideological dichotomy between potential human enhancement and the risk of catastrophic failure or inequality adds another layer of complexity. Bostrom's (2014) existential warnings stand as a counterbalance to Harari's (2018) critiques of the social and identity-related issues connected to transhumanism. Given the intricate interplay between these multifaceted considerations, a balanced, holistic approach to the integration of AI technologies into societal frameworks is imperative. As Sarewitz (2015) argues, technological advancements must be complemented by equivalent strides in ethical considerations. Regulatory oversight, public discourse, and multidisciplinary input are essential in navigating this complex terrain. As we continue to push the boundaries of technological capabilities, let us not lose sight of the equally intricate ethical and social landscapes that these technologies will invariably impact. The future—be it utopian or dystopian—will be shaped by the choices we make today concerning the governance and application of AI and related technologies.

REFERENCES

Angwin, J., Larson, J., Mattu, S., & Kirchner, L. (2016). Machine bias: There's software used across the country to predict future criminals. And it's biased against blacks. ProPublica.Bostrom, N. (2005). A history of transhumanist thought. Journal of Evolution and Technology, 14(1), 1-25.

Bostrom, N. (2014). Superintelligence: Paths, Dangers, Strategies. Oxford University Press.

Ceulemans, K., Lozano, R., & Alonso-Almeida, M. (2015). Sustainability reporting in higher education: Interconnecting the reporting process and organisational change management for sustainability. *Sustainability*, *7*(7), 8881-8903.

Chui, M., Manyika, J., & Miremadi, M. (2016). Where machines could replace humans and where they can't (yet). McKinsey Quarterly.

Conger, J. A., & Kanungo, R. N. (1998). Charismatic leadership in organizations. Sage Publications.

Doppelt, B. (2017). *Leading change toward sustainability: A change-management guide for business, government and civil society*. Routledge.

Dastin, J. (2018). Amazon scraps secret AI recruiting tool that showed bias against women. Reuters.

Eubanks, V. (2018). Automating inequality: How high-tech tools profile, police, and punish the poor. St. Martin's Press.

Fussey, P., & Murray, D. (2019). Independent report on the London Metropolitan Police Service's trial of live facial recognition technology. University of Essex Human Rights Centre.

Goldstein, I. L. (1991). Training in work organizations. Consulting Psychologists Press.

Harari, Y. N. (2018). Homo Deus: A Brief History of Tomorrow. Harper.

Hayes, J. (2018). *The theory and practice of change management*. Palgrave.

Hildt, E. (2019). Cognitive enhancement. In J. Clausen & N. Levy (Eds.), Handbook of Neuroethics. Springer.

King, G., Pan, J., & Roberts, M. E. (2017). How the Chinese Government Fabricates Social Media Posts for Strategic Distraction, not Engaged Argument. American Political Science Review, 111(3), 484-501.

Lucas, G. M., Gratch, J., King, A., & Morency, L.-P. (2020). It's only a computer: Virtual humans increase willingness to disclose. Computers in Human Behavior, 37, 94-100.

Lines, B. C., Sullivan, K. T., Smithwick, J. B., & Mischung, J. (2015). Overcoming resistance to change in engineering and construction: Change management factors for owner organizations. *International Journal of Project Management*, *33*(5), 1170-1179.

Lozano, R., Ceulemans, K., & Seatter, C. S. (2015). Teaching organisational change management for sustainability: designing and delivering a course at the University of Leeds to better prepare future sustainability change agents. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *106*, 205-215.

O'Neil, C. (2016). Weapons of Math Destruction: How Big Data Increases Inequality and Threatens Democracy. Crown.

Rainey, H. G. (2009). Understanding and managing public organizations. John Wiley & Sons.

Stewart, D. W., & Shamdasani, P. N. (2014). Focus groups: Theory and practice (Vol. 20). Sage publications.

Sarewitz, D. (2015). CRISPR: Science can't solve it. Nature, 522(7557), 413-414.

Tang, K. N. (2019). Change management. In *Leadership and Change Management* (pp. 47-55). Springer, Singapore.

Todnem By, R. (2005). Organisational change management: A critical review. *Journal of change management*, *5*(4), 369-380.

Turkle, S. (2015). Reclaiming Conversation: The Power of Talk in a Digital Age. Penguin Press.

Vaidyam, A. N., Wisniewski, H., Halamka, J. D., Kashavan, M. S., & Torous, J. B. (2019). Chatbots and Conversational Agents in Mental Health: A Review of the Psychiatric Landscape. The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry, 64(7), 456-464.