

**The Balkans Scientific Center of the
Russian Academy of Natural Sciences**



1st International Symposium:

**Modern Trends in Agricultural
Production and Environmental
Protection**

PROCEEDINGS

**Tivat-Montenegro
July 02-05.
2019.**

**The Balkans Scientific Center of the
Russian Academy of Natural Sciences
Belgrade**

1st International Symposium:

**Modern Trends in Agricultural
Production and Environmental
Protection**

PROCEEDINGS

Tivat-Montenegro

July 02-05. 2019

The Balkans Scientific Center of the Russian
Academy of Natural Sciences

Publisher

The Balkans Scientific Center of the Russian
Academy of Natural Sciences

For publisher

Academician dr Mitar Lutovac

In cooperation

Faculty of Agriculture, Lesak
Faculty of Agriculture Cacak
Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade, Zemun
Fruit Research Institute, Cacak
Faculty of Agriculture, East Sarajevo
Soil Science Institute, Belgrade
Faculty of Management, Sremski Karlovci
Pedagogical Club, Tivat

Editors

Academician dr Mitar Lutovac
Prof. dr Zoran Ž. Ilić

Technical editor

SaTCIP

ISBN

978-86-6042-008-6

Circulation

70 exemplars

Printed by

SaTCIP d.o.o. Vrnjačka Banja

2019.

1st International Symposium
Modern Trends in Agricultural Production and Environmental Protection

Organizing Committee

- Prof. dr Zoran Ilic**, Faculty of Agriculture Lesak, Serbia, Chairman
Acad. Prof. dr Dragutin Djukic Faculty of Agriculture, Cacak, Serbia
Vice-chairman
Dr Milan P. Petrovic, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade, Serbia,
Vice-chairman
Prof. dr Bozidar Milosevic, Faculty of Agriculture, Lesak, Serbia
Prof dr Zvonko Spasic, Faculty of Agriculture, Lesak, Serbia
Prof. dr Slavica Ciric, Faculty of Agriculture, Lesak, Serbia
Prof. dr Jovan Stojkovic, Faculty of Agriculture, Lesak, Serbia
Prof. dr Milan Biberdzic, Faculty of Agriculture, Lesak, Serbia
Prof. dr Bratislav Cirkovic, Faculty of Agriculture, Lesak, Serbia
Prof. dr Nebojsa Lalic, Faculty of Agriculture, Lesak, Serbia
Prof. dr Radojica Djokovic, Faculty of Agriculture, Cacak, Serbia
Prof. dr Vladimir Kurcubic, Faculty of Agriculture, Cacak, Serbia
Prof. dr Leka Mandic, Faculty of Agriculture, Cacak, Serbia
Prof. dr Aleksandar Paunovic, Faculty of Agriculture, Cacak, Serbia
Dr Violeta Caro Petrovic, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade, Serbia
Dr Dragana Ruzic Muslic, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade, Serbia
Dr Vlada Pantelic, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade, Serbia
Dr Cedomir Radovic, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade, Serbia
Dr Milan Lukic, Fruit Research Institute, Cacak, Serbia
Dr Marijana Pesakovic, Fruit Research Institute, Cacak, Serbia
Doc. dr Velibor Spalevic, University of Montenegro, Montenegro
Doc. dr Dejana Stanic, Faculty of Agriculture, East Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina
Doc. dr Zarko Gutalj, Faculty of Agriculture, East Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina
Dr Radmila Pivic, Soil Science Institute, Belgrade, Serbia
Dr Aleksandra Stanojkovic Sebic, Soil Science Institute, Belgrade, Serbia
Dr Jelena Maksimovic, Soil Science Institute, Belgrade, Serbia
Msc Milos Petrovic, Faculty of Agriculture Cacak
Dr Nikola Stolic, High Agricul Food School of Vocational Studies, Prokuplje, Serbia

The Balkans Scientific Center of the Russian
Academy of Natural Sciences

Scientific Committee

Acad. Prof. dr Ivanickaja Lida Vladimirovna, Vice President - Chief Scientific Secretary RAEN, Moscow, Russia Moscow, Russia, Chairman

Acad. Prof. dr Mitar Lutovac, Union Nikola Tesla University, Belgrade, Serbia, Chairman

Acad. Prof. dr Ghazaryan Surik (Grair) Bakhshiyevich, American Center of the Russian Academy Natural Sciences, California, United States, Chairman

Acad. Prof. dr Dragutin Djukic, Faculty of Agriculture, Cacak, Serbia, Chairman

Aleksandr M. Semenov. Leading Research Scientist. Ph.D., Doctor of Sciences in Biology. Department of Microbiology. Biological Faculty, Moscow State University (M.V. Lomonosov University). Moscow, Russia. Vice- chairman

Acad. Prof. dr Vaskrsije Janic, Academy of sciences and arts of the Republic of Srpska, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Vice- chairman

Prof. dr Zoran Ilic, Faculty of Agriculture Lesak, Serbia, Vice- chairman

Acad. Prof. dr Rudolf Kastori, Academy of sciences and arts of Vojvodina, Serbia

Acad. Prof. dr Gordan Karaman, Montenegrin Academy of Sciences and Arts, Montenegro

dr Milan P. Petrovic, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade, Serbia

Prof. dr Desimir Knezevic, Faculty of Agriculture, Lesak, Serbia

Prof. dr Moohamed Kenawi, Faculty of Agriculture, Minia, Egypt

Prof. dr Marina Ivanovna Selionovna, Russian Scientific Research Institute for Sheep and Goat Breeding, Stavropol, Russia

Prof. dr William C. Medrano, Isabela State University, Philippines

Prof. dr Tomo Milosevic, Faculty of Agriculture, Cacak, Serbia

Prof. dr Novo Przulj University of East Sarajevo, Faculty of Agriculture, Bosnia and Herzegovina

Prof. dr Dragi Dimitrievski, Cyril and Methodius university faculty of agriculture, Skopje, Macedonia

dr Valentine Bozhkova, Fruit growing institute, Plovdiv, Bulgaria

Prof. Igor S. Surovtsev, Voronezh State University of Agriculture and Civil Engineering, Russia

1st International Symposium
Modern Trends in Agricultural Production and Environmental Protection

Prof. dr Karoly Dublechz, University of Panonia, Georgicon faculty of agriculture,
Hungary

Prof. dr Ab van Kamen, Wageningen Agricultural University Department of Molecular
Biology, Netherlands

Prof. dr Sorin Mihai Cimpeanu, University of Agronomic Sciences and veterinary
Medicine of Bucharest, Romania

Prof. dr Miladin Gligoric, University of East Sarajevo, Faculty of Technology, Bosnia
and Herzegovina

Prof. dr Ольга Селицкая, Russian state agrarian university, Moskow Timiryazev, Russia

Dr. Argir Zivondov, Institute of Fruit Production, Plovdiv, Bulgaria

Prof. dr Boris Krska, Mendel University of Agriculture and Forestry Brno,

Faculty of Agriculture Lednice, Department of Pomology, Slovak

dr Sukhavitskaya Ludmila Antonovna, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus,
Institute of Microbiology, Belarus

Dr David L. Pinskiy, Russian Academy of Sciences, Institute of Physico-chemical and
Biological Problems in Soil Science, Russia

Acad. Prof. dr Angel S. Galabov, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Institute of
Microbiology, Bulgaria

Prof. Zsolt Polgar, University Panon, Georgikon faculty of agriculture, Potato research
Centre, Hungary

Doc. dr Velibor Spalevic, University of Montenegro, Montenegro

dr Milan Zdravkovic, Soil Science Institute, Belgrade, Serbia

dr Ivan Pavlovic, Scientific Institute for Veterinary Medicine, Belgrade, Serbia

dr Slavica Veskovic, Institute of Meat Technology, Belgrade, Serbia

Prof. dr Atanaska Taneva, Faculty of Forestry, Sofia, Bulgaria

Prof. dr Nikola Pacinovski, Cyril and Methodius university faculty of agriculture,
Skopje, Macedonia

Prof. dr Goce Cilev, Kliment Ohridski University Veterinary Faculty, Bitola,
Macedonia

Prof. dr Goran Kvrđić, Faculty of Management, Sremski Karlovci

Prof. dr Vesna Ćilerdžić, Faculty of Management, Sremski Karlovci

SPECIFICITY IN THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CELLULOLYTIC BACTERIA AND AZOTOBACTER

**NAJDENOVSKA Olga¹, ĐUKIĆ Dragutin², MANDIĆ Leka²,
STOJKOVIĆ Jasmina³, STANOJKOVIĆ-SEBIĆ Aleksandra⁴,
ĐUROVIĆ Vesna², PEŠAKOVIĆ Marijana⁵**

¹) *Agricultural Faculty, Skopje*

²) *University of Kragujevac, Faculty of Agronomy, Cara Dušana 34, 32000 Čačak, Serbia*

³) *High School of Applied Studies, Filipa Filipovica 20, 17501, Vranje*

⁴) *Institute of Soil Science, T. Drajzera 7, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia*

⁵) *Fruit Research Institute Čačak, Kralja Petra I 9, 32000 Čačak, Serbia*

Introduction

The highly diverse soil microbial community includes, inter alia, azotobacter and cellulolytic bacteria which, like other microorganisms, synthesize a wide spectrum of substances and release them into the environment, making them a vehicle for establishing specific interrelationships.

Relationships between azotobacter and cellulolytic bacteria have been studied by Jensen (1940, 1941) and Fedorov (1940), who defined them as being metabiotic, whereas Štucer (1945), Voznjakovskaja (1954) and Rubenčik (1960) determined the existence of symbiotic relationships between these bacteria, as later confirmed by the research results of numerous authors (Holsall and Gibson, 1985, 1986_a; Holsall and Goodchild, 1986_b; Chunzhi et al., 2002; Haiying; Xiuhong, 2012; Ahmad et al., 2013;).

The objective of this study was to conduct further research into the relationship between cellulolytic bacteria and azotobacter.

Material and methods

Two methods were used in this study. In the disk method, two layers of Fedorov's medium containing 1.5% and 0.8% agar, respectively, were poured into Petri dishes. The upper layer of the medium was inoculated with a thick suspension of azotobacter spread over the surface of the Petri dish. Agar disks impregnated with cellulolytic bacterial cultures were placed on

the surface of the inoculated medium. Thereafter, the Petri dishes were incubated for 48 hours at a temperature of 27⁰C.

The effect of cellulolytic bacteria on azotobacter was estimated based on heavier growth or absence of growth in the zone around the disk, and the intensity of the effect of some cellulolytic bacterial cultures was determined by the size of the zone around the disk.

The second method involved placement of Fedorov's medium inoculated with an azotobacter suspension on the surface of 15-day-old cultures of cellulolytic bacteria. Upon incubation for 48 hours at 27⁰C, the growth of azotobacter was monitored.

Results and discussion

The soil hosts many cellulolytic bacteria which are involved in the decomposition of harvest residues containing more than 50% of cellulose. In addition, the azotobacter present in the soil plays an important role in maintaining its nitrogen regime. Azotobacter utilizes cellulose decomposition products, while cellulolytic bacteria uptake azotobacter-producing nitrogen compounds. Therefore, importance was given to this research on the relationship between these highly beneficial microorganisms (Tabs. 1, 2).

Tab. 1. Effect of some cellulolytic bacteria on *Azotobacter chroococcum*

| Cellulolytic bacterial cultures | Strain designation | Azotobacter stimulators | Azotobacter inhibitors |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| <i>Sporocytophaga myxococcoides</i> | 1 | + | - |
| ” | 4 | + | - |
| ” | 17 | + | - |
| ” | 23 | + | - |
| ” | 115 | + | - |
| ” | 118 | - | + |
| ” | 120 | + | - |
| ” | 122 | - | + |
| ” | 128 | - | + |

1st International Symposium
Modern Trends in Agricultural Production and Environmental Protection

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|------|---|---|
| <i>Sporocytophaga ellipsospora</i> | 21 | - | + |
| ” | 127 | - | + |
| ” | 716 | - | + |
| ” | 771 | + | - |
| ” | 780 | - | * |
| <i>Cytophaga sp.</i> | 176 | + | - |
| ” | 176a | + | - |
| <i>Cellfalcicula sp.</i> | 101 | - | + |
| <i>Sorangium sp.</i> | 796 | - | + |

Tab. 2. Cellulolytic bacterial cultures as stimulators and inhibitors of *Azotobacter chroococcum*

| Cellulolytic bacterial cultures | Total number of strains | Stimulators | Inhibitors |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|------------|
| <i>Sporocytophaga myxococcoides</i> | 9 | 6 | 3 |
| <i>Sporocytophaga ellipsospora</i> | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| <i>Cytophaga sp.</i> | 2 | 2 | - |
| <i>Cellfalcicula sp.</i> | 1 | - | 1 |
| <i>Sorangium sp.</i> | 1 | - | 1 |

The tables show that 18 cellulolytic bacterial cultures, including 9 belonging to the species *Sporocytophaga myxococcoides*, 5 to *Sporocytophaga ellipsospora*, 2 to *Cytophaga sp.*, 1 to *Cellfalcicula sp.* and 1 to *Sorangium sp.*, and a culture of *Azotobacter chroococcum* were used in the study. The results revealed that some strains of cellulolytic bacteria stimulated azotobacter growth, as azotobacter utilized cellulose decomposition products for its source of carbon and energy. Similar findings were reported by Voznjakovskaja (1954), Rubenčik (1960), Ocampo et al. (1985), Holsall and Gibson (1985, 1986_a, 1986_b), Chunzhi et al. (2002), Alyl

et al. (2012), Haiyin and Xiuhong (2012) and Ahmad et al. (2013), who found physiological synergism between cellulolytic bacteria and free-living nitrogen-fixing bacteria.

Moreover, some strains of the tested cellulolytic bacteria were inhibitory to azotobacter growth. Of the 9 tested strains of *Sporocytophaga myxococcoides*, the number of strains (6) stimulating azotobacter growth was twice as high as that of inhibitory strains of this species. Almost all strains of *Sporocytophaga ellipsospora* had an inhibitory effect (4 out of 5 strains) on azotobacter growth. Both strains of *Cytophaga sp.* were found to be stimulators, whereas *Cellfalcicula sp.* and *Sorangium sp.* strains acted as inhibitors.

The intensity of the effect of cellulolytic bacteria on azotobacter varied across strains. The strongest stimulators were strains of *Sporocytophaga myxococcoides* designated by the numbers 4, 17, 23 and 120. The *Cytophaga sp.* strains designated as 176 and 176a exhibited a marked stimulatory effect on azotobacter. As for the inhibitory strains, the size of the inhibition zone ranged from 3.3 to 4.1 cm in *Sporocytophaga myxococcoides*, and from 3.7 to 4.2 cm in *Sporocytophaga ellipsospora*.

In most antagonistic cultures, next to the inhibition zone, there was a stimulation zone, with antibiotic substances released by the tested strains stimulating azotobacter growth (Jemcev, Đukić, 2000). The morphology of azotobacter was changed by almost all tested cultures of cellulolytic bacteria. For example, *Azotobacter chroococcum* was of doughy dry consistency and formed wrinkled colonies, but when affected by antagonistic strains or stimulators its consistency became slimy or even drier with colony surface increasingly wrinkling. Microscopic examination showed that azotobacter cells were somewhat smaller or even deformed within the inhibition zone range. In cases when the growth of the test cultures was stimulated, azotobacter cells were found to be larger in control Petri dishes.

Conclusion

The following conclusions can be drawn from this study:

- cellulolytic bacteria include both stimulators and inhibitors of azotobacter growth;
- representatives of *Cytophaga sp.* have a positive effect on azotobacter growth;
- a number of representatives of *Sporocytophaga myxococcoides* species act as stimulators of azotobacter growth, whereas *Sporocytophaga*

ellipsospora is dominated by strains which are inhibitory to azotobacter growth;

- the tested strains of *Cellfalcicula sp.* and *Sorangium sp.* have an adverse effect on azotobacter.

Acknowledgment

Research was financed by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development, Republic of Serbia, projects TR 31057.

References

Ahmad, B., Nigar, S., Malik, N.A., Bashir, S., Ali, J., Yousaf, S., Bangash, J. A., Jan, J. Isolation an Characterization of Cellulolytic Nitrogen Fixing Azotobacter Species from Wheat Rhizosphere of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. World Applied Sciences Journal 27 (1): 51-60, 2013.

Shunzhi, S., Yitao, Pu., Zongkun, Z., Rui Li, Effect of Nitrogen-Fixing Bacteria on Nitrogen Content of Compost. Minese Journal of Applied and Environmental Biology, 2002, 8 (4): 4419-421.

Федоров, М.В. Влијаније азотобактера на азотниј баланс почви и урожај сељскохозјаствених растениј при удобрени почви соломој. Микробиологија IX, (6), 1940.

Haiying, S., Xiuhong, Xu. Effect of inoculating cellulose-decomposing bacteria and Azotobacter on cow manure compost fermentation. Journal of American Science, 2012; 8 (5).

Holsall, D.M., Gibson, A.H.: Comparison of Two Cellulomonas Strains and Their Interaction with Azospirillum brasilense in Degradation of Wheat Straw and Associated Nitrogen Fixation. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 1986, apr., 51 (4); 855-61

Holsall, D.M., Gibson, A.H.: Cellulose Decomposition and Associated Nitrogen Fixation by Mixed Cultures of Cellulomonas gelida and Azospirillum Species or Baillus macerans. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 1985 oct.; 50 (4): 1021-1026.

Holsall, D.M., Goodchild, D.J: Nitrogen Fixation Associated with Development and Localization of Mixed Populations of Cellulomonas sp. and Azospirillum brasilense Grown on Cellulose or Wheat Straw. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 1986a: 51 (4): 849-854.

Jemcev, V. T., Đuikić, A. D., Mikrobiologija, Vojnoizdavački zavod, Beograd, 2000, 768 str.

Jensen, H.: Nitrogen fixation and cellulose-decomposition by soil microorganisms. Aerobic cellulose decomposers in association with Azotobacter. Proceed. Linn. Soc. N.S. Wales, 65, 543, 1949.

Magda M. Alyl, Hameda El-Sayed Ahmed El. Sayed D. Jastaniah. Synergistic Effect between Azotobacter vinelandii and Stretomyces sp. Isolated from Saline Soil on Seed Germination and Growth of Wheat Plant. J. Am. Sci. 2012; 8 (5): 667-676.

Ocampo, A.A., Barea, J.M., Montoya, E. Interactions between Azotobacter and "phosphobacteria" and their establishment in the rhizosphere as affected by soil fertility. Canadian Journal of Microbiology, 1975, 21 (8): 1160-1165.

Рубенчик. Л.И.: Азотобактер и јево применение в селском хозјајстве. Изд. АНУССР, Киев, 1960.

Штуцер, Ју. М.: О симбиотических отношениијах между целјулолизними бактеријама и азотобактером. Микробиологија, XIV, 2, 1945.

Возњаковскаја, Ју. М: Взаимоотношенија между целјулозоразлагјушћими бактеријама и азотобактером. Агробиологија 4, 1954.

CIP - Каталогизација у публикацији - Народна библиотека Србије,
Београд

63(082)

502/504(082)

INTERNATIONAL Symposium "Modern Trends in Agricultural Production
and
Environmental Protection" (1 ; 2019 ; Tivat)

1st International Symposium: Modern Trends in Agricultural Production
and Environmental Protection, Tivat-Montenegro, July 02-05. 2019 /
[editors Mitar Lutovac, Zoran Ž. Ilić]. - Belgrade : Balkans Scientific Center
of the Russian Academy of Natural Sciences, 2019 (Vrnjačka Banja :
SaTCIP). -

446 str. : ilustr. ; 25 cm

Tiraž 70. - Bibliografija uz svaki rad.

ISBN 978-86-6042-008-6

a) љопривреда - Зборници b) Животна средина - Зборници
COBISS.SR-ID 277459212