Left Main Revascularization With PCI or CABG in Patients With Chronic Kidney Disease



EXCEL Trial

Gennaro Giustino, MD,^{a,b} Roxana Mehran, MD,^{a,b} Patrick W. Serruys, MD, PHD,^c Joseph F. Sabik III, MD,^d Milan Milojevic, MD, MSc,^e Charles A. Simonton, MD,^f John D. Puskas, MD,^g David E. Kandzari, MD,^h Marie-Claude Morice, MD,ⁱ David P. Taggart, MD,^j Anthony H. Gershlick, MD,^k Philippe Généreux, MD,^{b,I,m} Zixuan Zhang, MS,^b Thomas McAndrew, PHD,^b Björn Redfors, MD, PHD,^b Michael Ragosta III, MD,ⁿ Irving L. Kron, MD,ⁿ Ovidiu Dressler, MD,^b Martin B. Leon, MD,^{b,o} Stuart J. Pocock, PHD,^p Ori Ben-Yehuda, MD,^{b,o} Arie Pieter Kappetein, MD, PHD,^e Gregg W. Stone, MD^{b,o}

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND The optimal revascularization strategy for patients with left main coronary artery disease (LMCAD) and chronic kidney disease (CKD) remains unclear.

OBJECTIVES This study investigated the comparative effectiveness of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) versus coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery in patients with LMCAD and low or intermediate anatomical complexity according to baseline renal function from the multicenter randomized EXCEL (Evaluation of XIENCE Versus Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery for Effectiveness of Left Main Revascularization) trial.

METHODS CKD was defined as an estimated glomerular filtration rate $<60 \text{ ml/min/1.73 m}^2$ using the CKD Epidemiology Collaboration equation. Acute renal failure (ARF) was defined as a serum creatinine increase $\geq 5.0 \text{ mg/dl}$ from baseline or a new requirement for dialysis. The primary composite endpoint was the composite of death, myocardial infarction (MI), or stroke at 3-year follow-up.

RESULTS CKD was present in 361 of 1,869 randomized patients (19.3%) in whom baseline estimated glomerular filtration rate was available. Patients with CKD had higher 3-year rates of the primary endpoint compared with those without CKD (20.8% vs. 13.5%; hazard ratio [HR]: 1.60; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.22 to 2.09; p = 0.0005). ARF within 30 days occurred more commonly in patients with compared with those without CKD (5.0% vs. 0.8%; p < 0.0001), and was strongly associated with the 3-year risk of death, stroke, or MI (50.7% vs. 14.4%; HR: 4.59; 95% CI: 2.73 to 7.73; p < 0.0001). ARF occurred less commonly after revascularization with PCI compared with CABG both in patients with CKD (2.3% vs. 7.7%; HR: 0.28; 95% CI: 0.09 to 0.87) and in those without CKD (0.3% vs. 1.3%; HR: 0.20; 95% CI: 0.04 to 0.90; $p_{interaction} = 0.71$). There were no significant differences in the rates of the primary composite endpoint after PCI and CABG in patients with CKD (2.3.4% vs. 18.1%; HR: 1.25; 95% CI: 0.79 to 1.98) and without CKD (13.4% vs. 13.5%; HR: 0.97; 95% CI: 0.73 to 1.27; $p_{interaction} = 0.38$).



Listen to this manuscript's audio summary by JACC Editor-in-Chief Dr. Valentin Fuster.



From ^aThe Zena and Michael A. Wiener Cardiovascular Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York; ^bClinical Trials Center, Cardiovascular Research Foundation, New York, New York; ^cImperial College of Science, Technology and Medicine, London, United Kingdom; ^dDepartment of Surgery, UH Cleveland Medical Center, Cleveland, Ohio; ^eErasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands; ^fAbbott Vascular, Santa Clara, California; ^gMount Sinai Heart at Mount Sinai St. Luke's, New York, New York; ^hPiedmont Heart Institute, Atlanta, Georgia; ⁱRamsay Générale de Santé, Hopital Privé

ABBREVIATIONS

AND ACRONYMS

ARF = acute renal failure

graft

stent(s)

filtration rate

artery disease

Renal Disease

intervention

CABG = coronary artery bypass

CKD = chronic kidney disease

eGFR = estimated glomerular

LMCAD = left main coronary

MDRD = Modification of Diet in

PCI = percutaneous coronary

EES = everolimus-eluting

hronic kidney disease (CKD) is an increasingly prevalent condition and is strongly associated with increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality (1). Renal dysfunction is associated with systemic inflammation, endothelial and dysfunction, accelerated atherosclerosis, enhanced thrombogenicity, which together heighten the risk for cardiovascular and cerebrovascular ischemic events (1-4). CKD is associated with a poor prognosis after coronary artery bypass graft surgery (CABG), due in part to the risk of acute renal failure (ARF) as well as associated comorbidities (2-4). However, the risk of ARF from contrast media, atheroemboli, and other mechanisms is also increased in

SEE PAGE 766

patients with CKD undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) (5,6). These risks likely explain why patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) and CKD are less likely to undergo revascularization than those with normal renal function (2-4), despite observational studies suggesting a survival benefit after PCI and CABG in patients with multivessel disease and CKD (4). Few data comparing PCI and CABG in patients with CKD from prospective randomized trials are available to guide clinical decision making in this high-risk group (7-11). We therefore examined the outcomes of patients with left main coronary artery disease (LMCAD) with and without CKD randomized to PCI with everolimus eluting-stents (EES) versus CABG in the EXCEL (Evaluation of XIENCE Versus Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery for Effectiveness of Left Main Revascularization) trial (12).

METHODS

STUDY DESIGN. The EXCEL trial design and principal results have been previously reported (12,13). In brief, EXCEL was an international, open-label, multicenter randomized trial that compared PCI using cobalt-chromium fluoropolymer-based EES (Xience, Abbott Vascular, Santa Clara, California) versus CABG in patients with LMCAD. Inclusion criteria were left main (LM) diameter stenosis \geq 70%, as estimated visually, or stenosis of 50% to <70% if hemodynamically

significant by noninvasive or invasive testing, plus low or intermediate anatomical complexity of CAD as defined by a site-determined SYNTAX (Synergy between PCI with Taxus and Cardiac Surgery) score \leq 32. Consensus among the members of the heart team for revascularization with either PCI or CABG was required. Clinical follow-up was performed at 1 month, 6 months, and 1 year, and then annually through 5 years. At the time of the current analysis, all patients have completed 3 years of follow-up. The investigation was approved by the ethics committee or institutional review board at each center, and all patients signed informed consent.

The primary endpoint was the composite of death from any cause, stroke, or myocardial infarction

Manuscript received March 16, 2018; revised manuscript received April 26, 2018, accepted May 11, 2018.

Jacques Cartier, Massy, France; ^jDepartment Cardiac Surgery, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, United Kingdom; ^kUniversity Hospitals of Leicester, Leicester, United Kingdom; ¹Gagnon Cardiovascular Institute, Morristown Medical Center, Morristown, New Jersey; "Hôpital du Sacré-Coeur de Montréal, Montréal, Québec, Canada; "Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Virginia Health System, Charlottesville, Virginia; ^oNew York-Presbyterian Hospital/Columbia University Medical Center, New York, New York; and the ^PLondon School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom. Dr. Mehran has received institutional research grant support from Eli Lilly/Daiichi-Sankyo, Bristol-Myers Squibb, AstraZeneca, The Medicines Company, OrbusNeich, Bayer, CSL Behring, Abbott Laboratories, Watermark Research Partners, Novartis Pharmaceuticals, Medtronic, AUM Cardiovascular, and Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center; has served on the executive committee of Janssen Pharmaceuticals and Osprey Medical.; has served on the data safety monitoring board of Watermark Research Partners; has served as a consultant to Medscape, The Medicines Company, Boston Scientific, Merck & Company, Cardiovascular Systems, Inc., Sanofi USA, Shanghai BraccoSine Pharmaceutical Corp., and AstraZeneca; has a spouse who has served as a consultant to The Medicines Company and Abiomed; and has equity in Claret Medical Inc. and Elixir Medical Corporation. Dr. Serruys has served as a consultant to Abbott, Biosensors, Medtronic, Micell Technologies, QualiMed, SINOMED, St. Jude Medical, Stentys, Svelte, Philips/Volcano, and Xeltis. Dr. Sabik has served as a consultant to Medtronic, Edwards, and Sorin; has served on the advisory board of Medtronic Cardiac Surgery; and has served as North American surgical principal investigator for the EXCEL Trial sponsored by Abbott. Dr. Simonton is an employee of Abbott Vascular. Dr. Kandzari has served as a consultant to Medtronic, Boston Scientific, Biotronik, Micell Technologies, and Cardinal Health; and has received institutional research/grant support from Medtronic, Boston Scientific, Biotronik, Micell Technologies, and Medinol, Dr. Gershlick has received lecture fees from Abbott Vascular. Dr. Genereux has received speaker fees from Edwards Lifesciences, Medtronic, Tryton Medical Inc., Cardinal Health, and Cardiovascular Systems Inc.; has received consulting fees from Boston Scientific, Cardiovascular Systems Inc., and Pi-Cardia; has received an institutional research grant from Boston Scientific; and has equity in SIG.NUM, SoundBite Medical Solutions, Saranas, and Pi-Cardia. Dr. Pocock has served as a consultant to Abbott Vascular. Dr. Kappetein is an employee of Medtronic. Dr. Stone's employer, Columbia University, receives royalties for sale of the MitraClip. All other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.



(MI) at 3 years. Major powered secondary endpoints included this composite rate at 30 days, and death, stroke, MI, or ischemia-driven revascularization at 3 years. Additional secondary endpoints included the components of the primary endpoint, as well as revascularization, stent thrombosis, symptomatic graft occlusion, bleeding complications, and a pre-specified composite of major adverse events occurring within 30 days. These endpoint definitions are reported elsewhere (12). Study monitors collected source documents of all primary and secondary endpoint events for adjudication by an independent clinical events committee. The extent and complexity of CAD and the SYNTAX score were also assessed by an independent angiographic core laboratory.

The present study is a pre-specified subgroup analysis from the EXCEL trial comparing PCI and CABG in patients with and without CKD. CKD was defined as an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) <60 ml/min/1.73 m² (corresponding to CKD stage 3A, 3B, 4, or 5), using the CKD Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) equation as per the National Kidney Foundation-Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative guidelines (Online Table 1) (14,15). This equation is preferentially endorsed by consensus guidelines as superior to other equations to discriminate between patients with versus without renal dysfunction and to predict adverse events in patients with CKD (16,17). ARF was defined in the protocol as a serum creatinine increase \geq 5.0 mg/dl from baseline or new requirement for dialysis (including hemodialysis, continuous venovenous hemofiltration, or peritoneal dialysis).

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS. All analyses were performed in the intention-to-treat population, which included all patients according to the group to which they were randomly assigned, regardless of the treatment received. The median duration of followup in the current analysis was 3 years (interquartile range: 3 to 3 years). Categorical variables were compared with the use of the chi-square test or Fisher exact test. Continuous variables were compared with the use of the Student's t-test or the Wilcoxon ranksum test for non-normally distributed data. Event rates were based on Kaplan-Meier estimates in timeto-first-event analyses and were compared with the log-rank test. The association between baseline renal function (as a continuous variable) and the 3-year hazard of adverse events was also evaluated using a smoothing spline function. Hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were generated with Cox regression models with treatment as the main effect. The statistical significance of differences in the treatment effect of PCI versus CABG in patients with and without CKD was assessed in Cox regression models for the full trial population, including main effect terms (e.g., CKD and assigned treatment) and interaction terms (e.g., CKD × assigned treatment) for each outcome of interest. Primary analyses were performed using the CKD-EPI formula to define baseline CKD (14). For sensitivity analysis, we assessed the comparative effectiveness of PCI versus CABG implementing alternative equations to estimate baseline renal function, specifically the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) equation (14) and the Cockcroft-Gault equation (18). The renal function equation definitions are shown in Online Table 1. A 2-sided p value ≤0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance. All statistical analyses were performed with the use of SAS software, version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina).

RESULTS

Baseline renal function was evaluable in 1,869 of 1,905 randomized patients (98.1%), among whom CKD was present in 361 (19.3%), 300 (16.1%), and 308 (16.5%) using the CKD-EPI, MDRD, and Cockcroft-Gault equations, respectively. The mean

eGFR using the CKD-EPI and MDRD was 77.2 \pm 19.1 ml/min/1.73 m² and 81.5 \pm 22.8 ml/min/1.73 m² in all patients, and 48.6 \pm 9.9 ml/min/1.73 m² and 49.2 \pm 9.7 ml/min/1.73 m² in patients with CKD, respectively. The mean creatinine clearance using the Cockcroft-Gault equation was 89.5 \pm 32.4 ml/min in all patients and 47.8 \pm 9.6 ml/min in those with CKD. The distribution of baseline eGFR using the CKD-EPI equation is illustrated in Figure 1. Only 3 of 361 enrolled patients with CKD at baseline were on dialysis (0.8%).

Baseline characteristics in patients with and without CKD estimated with the CKD-EPI equation are reported in Table 1. Patients with CKD were older, were more commonly female, and had more comorbidities. Patients with CKD were also more likely to have a history of prior MI, atrial fibrillation, valvular heart disease, and lower left ventricejection fraction. Baseline angiographic ular characteristics and procedural characteristics with PCI or CABG are reported in Table 2. There were no significant differences in site-reported or core laboratory-assessed SYNTAX scores between patients with and without CKD; however, patients with CKD were more likely to have diffuse or smallvessel disease. There were no significant differences in the number of non-LM stented or bypassed vessels in patients with and without CKD (Table 2). Medication use at discharge and through 3 years in patients with and without CKD were similar, except for greater use of chronic oral anticoagulants in those with CKD (Online Table 2).

EFFECT OF CKD ON OUTCOMES. Patients with compared to those without CKD had higher rates of 30-day composite major adverse events, including more frequent blood transfusions, major arrhythmias, infections, sternal wound dehiscence, and unplanned surgical and radiologic procedures (Online Table 3). In addition, the rate of ARF was $\sim 6 \times$ greater in patients with CKD compared to those without (5.0% vs. 0.8%; p < 0.0001). The 3-year primary composite endpoint of death, stroke, or MI was increased in patients with compared to those without CKD (Figure 2) (20.8% vs. 13.5%; HR: 1.60; 95% CI: 1.22 to 2.09; p = 0.0005), driven by greater cardiac and noncardiac mortality (Table 3). The rates of adverse outcomes incrementally increased as renal function worsened from eGFR >60 ml/min/1.73 m² (no CKD) to eGFR 45 to 60 ml/min/1.73 m² (Stage 3A CKD) to eGFR <45 ml/min/1.73 m² (Stage 3B, 4, or 5 CKD) (Online Table 4). When modeled as a continuous variable, progressively lower eGFR was associated with a steadily greater 3-year risk of death, stroke, or MI

ТΔ	BLE	1	Raseline	Charac	teristics

TABLE I Baseline characteristics			
	Chronic Kidney Disease (n = 361)	No Chronic Kidney Disease (n = 1,508)	p Value
Age, yrs	72.7 ± 7.8	64.3 ± 9.2	< 0.0001
Male sex	239/361 (66.2)	1,200/1,508 (79.6)	<0.0001
Medical history			
Hypertension	306/361 (84.8)	1,073/1,508 (71.2)	<0.0001
Hyperlipidemia	266/360 (73.9)	1,038/1,506 (68.9)	<0.0001
Current smoker	44/359 (12.3)	365/1,497 (24.4)	< 0.0001
Prior stroke or transient ischemic attack	37/361 (10.2)	80/1,507 (5.3)	0.0005
Congestive heart failure	43/361 (11.9)	79/1,503 (5.3)	< 0.0001
Diabetes mellitus	146/361 (40.4)	403/1,508 (26.7)	< 0.0001
Insulin-treated	46/361 (12.7)	101/1,508 (6.7)	
Peripheral artery disease	48/359 (13.4)	131/1,503 (8.7)	0.007
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	29/361 (8.0)	115/1,505 (7.6)	0.80
Anemia	61/358 (17.0)	121/1,505 (8.0)	< 0.0001
Carotid artery disease	45/359 (12.5)	109/1,502 (7.3)	0.001
Cardiac history			
Prior percutaneous coronary intervention	70/360 (19.4)	249/1,507 (16.5)	0.19
Prior myocardial infarction	77/357 (21.6)	246/1,497 (16.4)	0.02
Atrial fibrillation	29/361 (8.0)	42/1,508 (2.8)	< 0.0001
Any baseline mitral regurgitation*	115/327 (35.2)	400/1,405 (28.5)	0.02
Any baseline aortic regurgitation*	47/325 (14.5)	143/1,401 (10.2)	0.03
Any baseline tricuspid regurgitation*	94/323 (29.1)	355/1,392 (25.5)	0.18
Left ventricular ejection fraction, %	$\textbf{55.5} \pm \textbf{10.6}$	$\textbf{57.5} \pm \textbf{8.9}$	0.002
Clinical presentation			
Stable angina	189/360 (52.5)	799/1,502 (53.2)	0.81
Unstable angina	87/360 (24.2)	370/1,502 (24.6)	0.85
Non-STEMI†	43/357 (12.0)	199/1,498 (13.3)	0.52
STEMI†	5/357 (1.4)	22/1,498 (1.5)	0.92
Laboratory measures			
HbA1c, %	$\textbf{6.4} \pm \textbf{1.3}$	$\textbf{6.2} \pm \textbf{1.2}$	<0.0001
White blood cell count, ×10 ⁹ /l	$\textbf{7.8} \pm \textbf{2.1}$	7.8 ± 2.1	0.81
Hemoglobin, g/dl	12.7 ± 1.7	13.8 ± 1.5	< 0.0001
Platelet count, ×10 ⁹ /l	$\textbf{231.6} \pm \textbf{71.5}$	$\textbf{226.8} \pm \textbf{62.4}$	0.47
Brain natriuretic peptide, mg/l	$\textbf{450.8} \pm \textbf{981.9}$	$\textbf{202.2} \pm \textbf{453.5}$	< 0.0001
High-sensitivity C-reactive protein, mg/l	$\textbf{9.1} \pm \textbf{15.2}$	$\textbf{6.3} \pm \textbf{12.6}$	0.001
Serum creatinine, mg/dl	1.4 ± 0.7	$\textbf{0.9}\pm\textbf{0.2}$	<0.0001

Values are mean \pm SD or n/N (%). *All were moderate or less; severe value disease was an exclusion criterion. \pm twithin 7 days before randomization.

 $\mathsf{HbA1c} = \mathsf{hemoglobin} \; \mathsf{A1c} \text{; } \mathsf{STEMI} = \mathsf{ST}\text{-segment elevation myocardial infarction}.$

(HR per 10 ml/min/1.73 m² decrease: 1.09; 95% CI: 1.03 to 1.15; p = 0.004) and all-cause death (HR per 10 ml/min/1.73 m² decrease: 1.23; 95% CI: 1.14 to 1.34; p < 0.0001) (**Figures 3A and 3B**). Results were consistent using the MDRD and the Cockcroft-Gault equations (Online Tables 5 and 6).

PCI VERSUS CABG IN PATIENTS WITH AND WITHOUT CKD. PCI was associated with lower 30day rates of major adverse events compared with CABG, in patients with and without CKD (**Table 4**). PCI was also associated with shorter in-hospital stay

	Chronic Kidney Disease	No Chronic Kidney Disease	
	(n = 361)	(n = 1,508)	p Value
Baseline angiographic characteristics			
SYNTAX score, site-reported	$\textbf{21.0} \pm \textbf{6.0}$	$\textbf{20.4} \pm \textbf{6.2}$	0.11
Low complexity (<23)	211/361 (58.4)	917/1,506 (60.9)	
Intermediate complexity (23–32)	150/361 (41.6)	589/1,506 (39.1)	
SYNTAX score, core laboratory assessed	$\textbf{26.5} \pm \textbf{8.7}$	$\textbf{26.5} \pm \textbf{9.4}$	0.63
Low complexity (<23)	111/348 (31.9)	534/1,457 (36.7)	
Intermediate complexity (23–32)	157/348 (45.1)	568/1,457 (39.0)	
High complexity (>32)	80/348 (23.0)	355/1,457 (24.4)	
Left main diameter stenosis,	$\textbf{75.7} \pm \textbf{12.4}$	$\textbf{75.3} \pm \textbf{12.0}$	0.60
Bifurcation or trifurcation disease of the distal left main segment	275/352 (78.1)	1,212/1,491 (81.3)	0.18
Number of non-left main diseased vessels			
0	49/352 (13.9)	276/1,491 (18.5)	0.04
1	117/352 (33.2)	455/1,491 (30.5)	0.32
2	122/352 (34.7)	491/1,491 (32.9)	0.54
3	64/352 (18.2)	269/1,491 (18.0)	0.95
Diffuse or small vessel disease	36/356 (10.1)	76/1,482 (5.1)	0.0004
PCI characteristics			
Non-left main lesions stented per patient			
Left anterior descending artery	57/172 (33.1)	207/750 (27.6)	0.15
Left circumflex artery	31/172 (18.0)	122/750 (16.3)	0.58
Right coronary artery	41/172 (23.8)	203/750 (27.1)	0.39
Number of any stented lesions per patient	$\textbf{2.0} \pm \textbf{1.1}$	1.9 ± 1.1	0.34
Number of any stented vessels per patient	$\textbf{1.7}\pm\textbf{0.8}$	$\textbf{1.7}\pm\textbf{0.8}$	0.55
Number of stents implanted per patient	$\textbf{2.6} \pm \textbf{1.5}$	$\textbf{2.4} \pm \textbf{1.5}$	0.09
Total stent length, per patient	$\textbf{50.9} \pm \textbf{35.6}$	$\textbf{48.8} \pm \textbf{35.8}$	0.27
Intravascular imaging used	133/172 (77.3)	579/750 (77.2)	0.97
Fractional flow reserve used	13/171 (7.6)	70/750 (9.3)	0.48
Time in the catheterization laboratory, min	112.6 ± 53.1	111.0 ± 52.5	0.81
CABG characteristics			
Coronary segments of distal anastomosis (CASS)			
Left anterior descending artery	174/176 (98.9)	718/727 (98.8)	1.00
Left circumflex artery	154/176 (87.5)	644/727 (88.6)	0.69
Right coronary artery	73/176 (41.5)	268/727 (36.9)	0.26
Number of vessels bypassed per patient	$\textbf{2.3} \pm \textbf{0.6}$	2.2 ± 0.5	0.41
Number of conduits per patient	$\textbf{2.6} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	$\textbf{2.6} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	0.16
Number of arterial conduits per patient	1.3 ± 0.6	1.4 ± 0.6	0.31
Number of venous conduits per patient	1.3 ± 0.9	1.2 ± 1.0	0.10
Bypass duration, min	77.2 ± 33.1	85.3 ± 48.1	0.17
Time in the operating room, min	$\textbf{291.0} \pm \textbf{76.6}$	282.9 ± 75.0	0.11
Values are mean \pm SD or n/N (%), as appropriate. CASS = Coronary Artery Surgery Study.			

compared with CABG both in patients with CKD (6.7 \pm 7.0 days vs. 16.1 \pm 15.2 days; p < 0.0001) and without CKD (5.2 \pm 4.7 days vs. 11.9 \pm 7.4 days; p < 0.0001). At 30 days, PCI compared with CABG resulted in lower rates of the composite endpoint of death, MI, or stroke both in patients with CKD (6.2% vs. 9.3%; HR: 0.68; 95% CI: 0.32 to 1.45) and without CKD (4.5% vs. 7.4%; HR: 0.61; 95% CI: 0.40

to 0.93) ($p_{interaction} =$ 0.80). At 3 years (Figure 4), there were no significant differences in the rates of the primary composite endpoint of death, MI, or stroke after PCI versus CABG, an effect that was consistent in patients with and without CKD $(p_{interaction} = 0.36)$ (Table 5). The 3-year relative rates of the components of the primary endpoint as well as revascularization and bleeding after PCI versus CABG were also consistent in patients with and without CKD (Table 5). CABG was associated with less ischemia-driven revascularization during follow-up, the risk of which was consistent across varying levels of baseline renal function (Online Table 7). In the CKD group, 3-year mortality was increased after PCI compared with CABG, due to greater noncardiac deaths, specifically due to sepsis (5.4% vs. 1.1%; p = 0.02), which occurred more than 30 days post-procedure. There was no significant difference in cardiac mortality after PCI versus CABG either in patients with or without CKD. The comparative effectiveness of PCI versus CABG on the risk of death, MI, or stroke at 30 days and 3 years was consistent across varying definitions of CKD (Figure 5).

ARF AND OUTCOMES AFTER LM REVASCULARIZATION. Baseline clinical and procedural characteristics that were associated with the development of ARF within 30 days are reported in Online Table 8. Compared with CABG, PCI was associated with significantly lower rates of ARF at 30 days in both patients with CKD (2.3% vs. 7.6%; HR: 0.28; 95% CI: 0.09 to 0.87) and in those without CKD (0.3% vs. 1.3%; HR: 0.20; 95% CI: 0.04 to 0.90; $p_{interaction} = 0.71$) (Table 6). Dialysis was also required more frequently after CABG compared with PCI, regardless of baseline CKD status $(p_{interaction} = 0.87)$. Outcomes at 3 years in patients with versus without ARF within 30 days are reported in Online Table 9. The occurrence of ARF was strongly associated with increased 3-year risk of death, stroke, or MI at 3 years (50.7% vs. 14.4%; HR: 4.59; 95% CI: 2.73 to 7.73; p < 0.0001).

DISCUSSION

The **Central Illustration** demonstrates the major findings of the present pre-specified analysis from the EXCEL trial, in which we explored the relative effects of PCI with EES versus CABG in patients with LMCAD and low or intermediate SYNTAX scores according to baseline renal function. Progressively worse renal impairment in patients undergoing LM revascularization was associated with steadily increasing rates of cardiovascular and hemorrhagic adverse events and mortality during 3 years of follow-up. Compared with CABG, PCI was associated with lower rates of ARF, including dialysis, and 30-day major adverse events in both patients with and without CKD. The occurrence of ARF at 30 days was strongly associated with increased risk of adverse events and mortality over 3 years of follow-up. At 3 years, however, there were no significant differences in the rates of death, MI, or stroke between PCI-treated and CABG-treated patients, regardless of baseline CKD. Despite the fact that definite stent thrombosis occurred less frequently than symptomatic graft failure, ischemiadriven revascularization rates at 3 years were lower after CABG compared with PCI, an effect that was consistent in patients with preserved or reduced renal function. Finally, the impact of CKD and the comparative outcomes of PCI versus CABG in patients with and without CKD were consistent irrespective of the definition of renal dysfunction.

Evidence from prior randomized trials to inform revascularization decisions in patients with CKD is scarce, especially in LMCAD. Among diabetic patients with CKD and non-LM multivessel disease enrolled in the FREEDOM (Future Revascularization Evaluation in Patients with Diabetes Mellitus: Optimal Management of Multivessel Disease) trial, CABG compared with PCI with paclitaxel-eluting stents resulted in a 27% relative risk reduction in major adverse cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events (MACCE) at a median follow-up of 3.8 years (7). Among CKD patients with non-LM multivessel disease enrolled in the New York State outcomes registries, PCI with EES was associated with lower rates of MACCE at 30 days than CABG, but higher rates of MI and repeat revascularization at 4 years, with similar rates of death (19). In a pooled analysis from the PRECOMBAT



Kaplan-Meier time-to-first event curves for death, myocardial infarction, or stroke during 3 years of follow-up in patients with and without chronic kidney disease (CKD). CKD estimated with the CKD-EPI equation. CI = confidence interval; HR = hazard ratio.

(Bypass Surgery Versus Angioplasty Using Sirolimus-Eluting Stent in Patients With Left Main Coronary Artery Disease) and SYNTAX trials, PCI with firstgeneration paclitaxel-eluting and sirolimus-eluting stents was associated with comparable 5-year rates of MACCE and death compared with CABG in patients with LMCAD with and without CKD, without significant interaction (8).

TABLE 3 3-Year Outcomes in Patients With Versus Without Chronic Kidney Disease									
	Chronic Kidney Disease (n = 361)	No Chronic Kidney Disease (n = 1,508)	Hazard Ratio (95% Confidence Interval)	p Value					
Death, stroke, or myocardial infarction	20.8 (73)	13.5 (200)	1.60 (1.22-2.09)	0.0005					
Death	12.9 (45)	5.4 (80)	2.48 (1.72-3.57)	< 0.0001					
Cardiac death	7.3 (25)	3.3 (48)	2.27 (1.40-3.69)	0.0006					
Noncardiac death	6.0 (20)	2.2 (32)	2.78 (1.59-4.86)	0.0002					
Stroke	3.6 (12)	2.5 (36)	1.46 (0.76-2.80)	0.26					
Myocardial infarction	9.0 (31)	8.0 (118)	1.13 (0.76-1.68)	0.54					
Death, stroke, myocardial infarction, or ischemia-driven revascularization	24.2 (85)	19.9 (296)	1.25 (0.98-1.59)	0.07					
Ischemia-driven revascularization	8.6 (29)	10.3 (149)	0.85 (0.57-1.26)	0.42					
Stent thrombosis, definite or probable	1.1 (4)	0.6 (9)	1.93 (0.59-6.26)	0.27					
Graft stenosis or occlusion	2.3 (8)	2.7 (39)	0.89 (0.42-1.90)	0.76					
Definite stent thrombosis or symptomatic graft occlusion	2.6 (9)	3.1 (45)	0.87 (0.42-1.78)	0.70					
TIMI major or minor bleeding	11.1 (39)	6.9 (103)	1.61 (1.12-2.33)	0.01					
Values are Kanlan-Meier estimate (number of events) unless otherwise indicated									

Values are Kaplan-Meier estimate (number of events) unless otherwise indicated. TIMI = Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction.



The present large-scale study in which contemporary DES and revascularization techniques were used confirms and extends these prior findings to patients with LMCAD. Patients with CKD constituted ~25% of the EXCEL trial population, in whom the mean eGFR was 48.5 ± 9.9 ml/min/1.73 m², representing predominantly moderate CKD. PCI with EES in patients with LMCAD reduced 30-day periprocedural adverse events and the 30-day composite rate of death, stroke, or MI consistently in both CKD and non-CKD cohorts. Specifically, PCI resulted in reduced bleeding, need for transfusions, arrhythmias, and less ARF (including the need for dialysis) compared with CABG in patients with CKD,

adverse events which have been associated with long-term mortality (20-26). In this regard, ARF in the EXCEL trial was defined as an increase in serum creatinine \geq 5 mg/dl or a new requirement for dialysis, corresponding to acute kidney injury of stage III or greater in the most recent KDIGO (Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes) classification (27). ARF as so defined was strongly associated with worse outcomes over 3 years of follow-up. The reduced rate of ARF after PCI compared with CABG in both the CKD and non-CKD cohorts is 1 factor that should be considered when deciding between revascularization strategies to avoid further declines in renal function in patients with CKD. However,

TABLE 4 30-Day Major Adverse Events After PCI Versus CABG in Patients With Versus Without Chronic Kidney Disease										
	Chronic Kidney Disease (n = 361)				No Chronic Kidney Disease ($n = 1,508$)					
	PCI (n = 177)	CABG (n = 184)	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	p Value	PCI (n = 757)	CABG (n = 751)	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	p Value		
Major adverse events, any	10.9 (19)	29.8 (54)	0.36 (0.23-0.59)	<0.0001	6.2 (47)	21.5 (160)	0.29 (0.21-0.39)	< 0.0001		
Death	1.1 (2)	1.7 (3)	0.69 (0.12-4.08)	1.00	0.3 (2)	1.1 (8)	0.25 (0.05-1.16)	0.06		
Myocardial infarction	4.0 (7)	6.6 (12)	0.60 (0.24-1.50)	0.27	3.4 (26)	5.9 (44)	0.58 (0.36-0.94)	0.02		
Stroke	1.1 (2)	1.7 (3)	0.69 (0.12-4.08)	1.00	0.3 (2)	1.3 (10)	0.20 (0.04-0.90)	0.02		
Transfusion of ≥ 2 U blood	6.3 (11)	24.3 (44)	0.26 (0.14-0.48)	< 0.0001	2.7 (20)	15.6 (116)	0.17 (0.11-0.27)	< 0.0001		
TIMI major or minor bleeding	3.4 (6)	12.2 (22)	0.28 (0.12-0.68)	0.002	2.7 (20)	8.7 (65)	0.30 (0.19-0.50)	< 0.0001		
Major arrhythmia	2.3 (4)	19.9 (36)	0.11 (0.04-0.32)	< 0.0001	1.7 (13)	13.6 (101)	0.13 (0.07-0.22)	< 0.0001		
Unplanned coronary revascularization for ischemia	1.1 (2)	2.2 (4)	0.52 (0.10-2.79)	0.69	0.1 (1)	1.1 (8)	0.12 (0.02-0.98)	0.02		
Any unplanned surgery or therapeutic radiological procedure	0.6 (1)	8.3 (15)	0.07 (0.01-0.52)	0.0004	0.9 (7)	2.7 (20)	0.34 (0.15-0.81)	0.01		
Acute renal failure*	2.3 (4)	7.7 (14)	0.30 (0.10-0.88)	0.02	0.3 (2)	1.2 (9)	0.22 (0.05-1.01)	0.03		
Sternal wound dehiscence	0.0 (0)	3.3 (6)	0.08 (0.00-1.40)	0.03	0.0 (0)	0.4 (3)	0.14 (0.01-2.72)	0.12		
Infection requiring antibiotics	2.3 (4)	11.6 (21)	0.20 (0.07-0.56)	0.0006	0.8 (6)	8.2 (61)	0.10 (0.04-0.22)	< 0.0001		
Intubation for >48 h	0.6 (1)	3.9 (7)	0.15 (0.02-1.19)	0.07	0.4 (3)	2.4 (18)	0.16 (0.05-0.56)	0.0009		
Post-pericardiotomy syndrome 0.0 (0		0.0 (0)	-	-	0.0 (0)	0.3 (2)	0.20 (0.01-4.10)	0.25		

Values are % (N) unless otherwise indicated. *Defined as a serum creatinine increase \geq 5.0 mg/dl from baseline or a new requirement for dialysis.

CABG = coronary artery bypass graft; CI = confidence interval; PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention; TIMI = Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction.

the composite 3-year primary endpoint rate of death, MI, or stroke was similar after PCI and CABG, a finding that was consistent in patients with and without CKD. The lower rates of MI and revascularization during the follow-up period after CABG compared with PCI as initially described in EXCEL (7) may have offset the deleterious effects of ARF and surgical complications in the CKD cohort.

Renal dysfunction has been associated with late DES failure (28-30). Nonetheless, the 3-year rates of definite EES thrombosis were lower than the rates of symptomatic graft occlusion in patients with and without CKD, and ischemia-driven revascularization after EES within 3 years was required in only 10.9% of patients with CKD compared with 13.0% of patients without CKD. These observations demonstrate that the antithrombotic and antirestenotic properties of EES are preserved in higher-risk CKD patients and lesions (31,32). It thus follows that improved chronic medical therapy regimens are required to slow progressive atherosclerosis if the long-term prognosis of high-risk CKD patients is to be improved after coronary revascularization. Toward this end, insights may be gained from the ongoing ISCHEMIA-CKD (International Study of Comparative Health Effectiveness With Medical and Invasive Approaches-Chronic Kidney Disease) trial (NCT01985360), in which patients with stable ischemic heart disease and advanced CKD (eGFR <30 ml/min/1.73 m² or dialysis) are being assigned to an invasive revascularization strategy versus initial medical management.

STUDY LIMITATIONS. First, although the present study was pre-specified, the CKD and non-CKD subgroups were not individually powered to draw



Kaplan-Meier time-to-first event curves for death, myocardial infarction, or stroke during 3 years of follow-up according to randomized treatment with PCI versus CABG in patients with and without CKD. CKD estimated with the CKD-EPI equation. Abbreviations as in Figure 2 and 3.

TABLE 5 3-Year Outcomes for PCI Versus CABG in Patients With or Without Chronic Kidney Disease									
	Chronic Kidney Disease (n = 361)				No Chronic Kidney Disease (n = 1,508)				
	PCI (n = 177)	CABG (n = 184)	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	PCI (n = 757)	CABG (n = 751)	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	Pinteraction		
Death, stroke, or myocardial infarction	23.1 (40)	18.4 (33)	1.25 (0.79-1.98)	13.4 (100)	13.5 (100)	0.97 (0.73-1.27)	0.36		
Death	16.9 (29)	9.0 (16)	1.91 (1.04-3.52)	5.9 (44)	4.9 (36)	1.19 (0.77-1.85)	0.22		
Cardiac	8.3 (14)	6.2 (11)	1.34 (0.61-2.94)	3.5 (26)	3.0 (22)	1.15 (0.65-2.04)	0.77		
Noncardiac	9.2 (15)	2.9 (5)	3.15 (1.15-8.68)	2.5 (18)	2.0 (14)	1.25 (0.62-2.52)	0.14		
Stroke	3.1 (5)	4.0 (7)	0.75 (0.24-2.36)	2.2 (16)	2.8 (20)	0.78 (0.40-1.50)	0.95		
Myocardial infarction	9.5 (16)	8.4 (15)	1.11 (0.55-2.24)	7.7 (57)	8.3 (61)	0.91 (0.63-1.30)	0.62		
Death, stroke, myocardial infarction, or IDR	27.2 (47)	21.2 (38)	1.28 (0.84-1.97)	21.8 (163)	18.0 (133)	1.20 (0.95-1.50)	0.77		
IDR	10.9 (18)	6.4 (11)	1.74 (0.82-3.68)	13.0 (95)	7.5 (54)	1.75 (1.25-2.44)	0.96		
Stent thrombosis, definite or probable	2.3 (4)	-	-	1.2 (9)	-	-	-		
Graft occlusion, symptomatic	-	4.5 (8)	-	_	5.4 (39)	-	_		
Definite stent thrombosis or symptomatic graft occlusion	0.6 (1)	4.5 (8)	0.13 (0.02-1.03)	0.8 (6)	5.4 (39)	0.15 (0.06-0.35)	0.91		
TIMI major or minor bleeding	8.3 (14)	13.8 (25)	0.57 (0.29-1.09)	4.8 (36)	9.0 (67)	0.52 (0.35-0.78)	0.80		
Values are Kaplan-Meier estimate (number of events).									

KD = chronic kidney disease; IDR = ischemia-driven revascularization; other abbreviations as in Table

definitive conclusions as to whether PCI or CABG should be favored. Randomization was not stratified by renal function, and the role of unmeasured confounders cannot be excluded. Our findings should thus be considered hypothesis-generating. Second, while some patients with severe CKD were included, the majority had moderate renal impairment. Therefore, our findings cannot be extrapolated to a severe



TABLE 6 Acute Renal Failure at 30 Days in Patients With or Without CKD Undergoing PCI Versus CABG										
	Chro	nic Kidney Dise	ease (n = 361)	No Chro						
	PCI (n = 177)	CABG (n = 184)	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	PCI (n = 757)	CABG (n = 751)	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	Pinteraction			
Acute renal failure*	2.3 (4)	7.6 (14)	0.28 (0.09-0.87)	0.3 (2)	1.3 (10)	0.20 (0.04-0.90)	0.71			
New requirement for dialysis	1.1 (2)	5.4 (10)	0.20 (0.04-0.92)	0.1 (1)	0.5 (4)	0.25 (0.03-2.22)	0.87			
Hemodialysis	0.6 (1)	2.7 (5)	0.20 (0.02-1.76)	0.1 (1)†	0.4 (3)	0.33 (0.03-3.18)	0.76			
CVVH	0.6 (1)	2.7 (5)	0.20 (0.02-1.76)	0.1 (1)†	0.1 (1)	0.99 (0.06-15.89)	0.38			

Values are % (n) unless otherwise indicated. *Defined as the rise in serum creatinine >5 mg/dl or a new requirement for dialysis. †One patient in the no chronic kidney disease group had both CVVH and hemodialysis.

CVVH = continuous venovenous hemofiltration; other abbreviations as in Table 4.

CKD and end-stage renal disease population. Third, EXCEL enrolled patients with LMCAD and siteassessed low and intermediate anatomical complexity. Our findings therefore do not apply to patients with CAD and extreme anatomic complexity. Nonetheless, the mean core laboratory-assessed SYNTAX score in the EXCEL trial of 26.5 was roughly comparable to that from the FREEDOM trial



Risk and benefits of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) versus coronary artery bypass graft surgery (CABG) in patients with chronic kidney disease and left main coronary artery disease with site-assessed low or intermediate SYNTAX (Synergy between PCI with Taxus and Cardiac Surgery) scores. MI = myocardial infarction.

(mean 26.2) and the SYNTAX trial (mean 28.8), implying that the present results may inform outcomes in patients with more extensive CAD. Finally, follow-up in EXCEL is complete through only 3 years. Longer-term follow-up (currently planned for 5 years) is required to determine whether additional late differences between PCI and CABG emerge.

CONCLUSIONS

In patients with LMCAD and site-assessed low or intermediate SYNTAX scores undergoing revascularization, the presence of CKD was associated with a substantially greater risk of periprocedural adverse events and mortality during 3-year follow-up. Although PCI with EES was associated with significantly lower 30-day rates of ARF and major adverse events compared with CABG, there were no significant differences between the revascularization modalities for the primary composite endpoint or components of death, MI, or stroke at 3 years, with no interaction according to baseline CKD status. Both PCI and CABG are thus acceptable revascularization approaches in selected high-risk patients with LMCAD and CKD. Individual patient comorbidities, the likelihood to safely obtain complete revascularization, and patient preferences as to the early benefits of PCI versus the late benefits of CABG should thus be factored into the heart team decision-making process in high-risk patients with LMCAD and CKD.

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Dr. Gregg W. Stone, Columbia University Medical Center, Cardiovascular Research Foundation, 1700 Broadway, 8th Floor, New York, New York 10019. E-mail: gs2184@columbia.edu. Twitter: @GreggWStone, @g_giustinoMD, @Drroxmehran.

PERSPECTIVES

COMPETENCY IN MEDICAL KNOWLEDGE: Patients with CKD and LMCAD undergoing revascularization are at substantially greater risk of acute renal failure, periprocedural adverse events, and mortality during 3 years of follow-up. Those with low or intermediate anatomical complexity undergoing PCI with EES exhibit lower 30-day rates of adverse events including ARF, major bleeding, and arrhythmias compared with those undergoing CABG. Over 3 years of follow-up, however, PCI and CABG were associated with similar rates of death, MI, and stroke, irrespective of baseline renal function.

TRANSLATIONAL OUTLOOK: Future studies should evaluate enhanced medical therapies that reduce the progression of atherosclerosis to improve the long-term prognosis of high-risk patients with CKD undergoing coronary revascularization.

REFERENCES

1. Go AS, Chertow GM, Fan D, McCulloch CE, Hsu CY. Chronic kidney disease and the risks of death, cardiovascular events, and hospitalization. N Engl J Med 2004;351:1296-305.

2. Mathew RO, Bangalore S, Lavelle MP, et al. Diagnosis and management of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease in chronic kidney disease: a review. Kidney Int 2017;91:797-807.

3. Bangalore S. Diagnostic, therapeutic, and clinical trial conundrum of patients with chronic kidney disease. J Am Coll Cardiol Inty 2016;9:2110-2.

4. Volodarskiy A, Kumar S, Amin S, Bangalore S. Optimal treatment strategies in patients with chronic kidney disease and coronary artery disease. Am J Med 2016;129:1288–98.

5. Mehran R, Aymong ED, Nikolsky E, et al. A simple risk score for prediction of contrastinduced nephropathy after percutaneous coronary intervention: development and initial validation. J Am Coll Cardiol 2004;44:1393-9.

6. Giustino G, Baber U, Mastoris I, et al. One-year results of the ICON (lonic versus non-ionic Contrast to Obviate worsening Nephropathy after angioplasty in chronic renal failure patients) Study. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2016;87:703-9.

7. Baber U, Farkouh ME, Arbel Y, et al. Comparative efficacy of coronary artery bypass surgery vs. percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with diabetes and multivessel coronary artery disease with or without chronic kidney disease. Eur Heart J 2016;37:3440-7.

8. Cavalcante R, Sotomi Y, Lee CW, et al. Outcomes after percutaneous coronary intervention or bypass surgery in patients with unprotected left main disease. J Am Coll Cardiol 2016;68: 999–1009.

 Piccolo R, Giustino G, Mehran R, Windecker S.
Stable coronary artery disease: revascularisation and invasive strategies. Lancet 2015;386:702-13.

10. Giustino G, Mehran R. PCI and CABG surgery in 2014: CABG surgery versus PCI in CAD-surgery strikes again! Nat Rev Cardiol 2015;12:75-7.

11. Milojevic M, Head SJ, Mack MJ, et al. The impact of chronic kidney disease on outcomes following percutaneous coronary interventions versus coronary artery bypass grafting in patients with complex coronary artery disease: 5-year follow-up of the SYNTAX trial. EuroIntervention 2018;14:102-11.

12. Stone GW, Sabik JF, Serruys PW, et al. Everolimus-eluting stents or bypass surgery for left main coronary artery disease. N Engl J Med 2016; 375:2223-35.

13. Kappetein AP, Serruys PW, Sabik JF, et al. Design and rationale for a randomised comparison of everolimus-eluting stents and coronary artery bypass graft surgery in selected patients with left main coronary artery disease: the EXCEL trial. EuroIntervention 2016;12:861-72.

14. Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, et al. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. Ann Intern Med 2009;150:604-12.

15. Stevens PE, Levin A, for the Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes Chronic Kidney Disease Guideline Development Work Group Members. Evaluation and management of chronic kidney disease: synopsis of the kidney disease: improving global outcomes 2012 clinical practice guideline. Ann Intern Med 2013;158:825–30.

16. Parsh J, Seth M, Aronow H, et al. Choice of estimated glomerular filtration rate equation impacts drug-dosing recommendations and risk stratification in patients with chronic kidney disease undergoing percutaneous coronary interventions. J Am Coll Cardiol 2015;65:2714-23.

17. Levey AS, Stevens LA. Estimating GFR using the CKD Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI)

765

creatinine equation: more accurate GFR estimates, lower CKD prevalence estimates, and better risk predictions. Am J Kidney Dis 2010;55: 622-7.

18. Cockcroft DW, Gault MH. Prediction of creatinine clearance from serum creatinine. Nephron 1976;16:31-41.

19. Bangalore S, Guo Y, Samadashvili Z, Blecker S, Xu J, Hannan EL. Revascularization in patients with multivessel coronary artery disease and chronic kidney disease: everolimus-eluting stents versus coronary artery bypass graft surgery. J Am Coll Cardiol 2015;66:1209-20.

20. Mehran R, Pocock SJ, Nikolsky E, et al. A risk score to predict bleeding in patients with acute coronary syndromes. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010;55: 2556-66.

21. Filardo G, Hamilton C, Hebeler RF Jr., Hamman B, Grayburn P. New-onset postoperative atrial fibrillation after isolated coronary artery bypass graft surgery and long-term survival. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes 2009;2:164–9.

22. Warren J, Mehran R, Baber U, et al. Incidence and impact of acute kidney injury in patients with acute coronary syndromes treated with coronary artery bypass grafting: Insights from the Harmonizing Outcomes With Revascularization and Stents in Acute Myocardial Infarction (HORIZONS-AMI) and Acute Catheterization and Urgent Intervention Triage Strategy (ACUITY) trials. Am Heart J 2016;171:40-7.

23. Giacoppo D, Madhavan MV, Baber U, et al. Impact of contrast-induced acute kidney injury after percutaneous coronary intervention on short- and long-term outcomes: pooled analysis from the HORIZONS-AMI and ACUITY Trials. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 2015;8:e002475.

24. Genereux P, Giustino G, Witzenbichler B, et al. Incidence, predictors, and impact of postdischarge bleeding after percutaneous coronary intervention. J Am Coll Cardiol 2015;66:1036-45.

25. Baber U, Dangas G, Chandrasekhar J, et al. Time-dependent associations between actionable bleeding, coronary thrombotic events, and mortality following percutaneous coronary intervention: results from the PARIS registry. J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2016;9:1349–57.

26. Baber U, Mehran R, Giustino G, et al. Coronary thrombosis and major bleeding after pci with drug-eluting stents: risk scores from PARIS. J Am Coll Cardiol 2016;67:2224–34.

27. Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Acute Kidney Injury Work Group. KDIGO clinical practice guideline for acute kidney injury. Section 2: AKI Definition. Kidney Int Suppl 2012;2: 19–36.

28. Lee JM, Kang J, Lee E, et al. Chronic kidney disease in the second-generation drug-eluting stent era: pooled analysis of the Korean Multicenter Drug-Eluting Stent Registry. J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2016;9:2097-109.

29. Lu R, Tang F, Zhang Y, et al. Comparison of drug-eluting and bare metal stents in patients

with chronic kidney disease: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am Heart Assoc 2016;5:e003990.

30. Baber U, Giustino G, Sartori S, et al. Effect of chronic kidney disease in women undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention with drugeluting stents: a patient-level pooled analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2016;9:28–38.

31. Chieffo A, Tanaka A, Giustino G, et al. The DELTA 2 registry: a multicenter registry evaluating percutaneous coronary intervention with new-generation drug-eluting stents in patients with obstructive left main coronary artery disease. J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2017;10:2401-10.

32. Giustino G, Baber U, Aquino M, et al. Safety and efficacy of new-generation drug-eluting stents in women undergoing complex percutaneous coronary artery revascularization: from the WIN-DES Collaborative Patient-Level Pooled Analysis. J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2016; 9:674-84.

KEY WORDS chronic kidney disease, coronary artery bypass grafting, coronary artery disease, left main, percutaneous coronary intervention

APPENDIX For supplemental tables, please see the online version of this paper.